November 30, 2020

Andrew Wheeler Administrator US EPA One Potomac Yard 2777 S. Crystal Drive Arlington, Virginia 22202-3553

RE: Will County Generating Station, Midwest Generation, LLC Alternate Closure Demonstration, 40 CFR Part 257.103

Administrator Wheeler,

The purpose of this correspondence is to submit to the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) a Demonstration for a Site-Specific Alternative Deadline to Initiate Closure documentation for the Will County Generating Station, located at 529 E. Romeo Road, Romeoville, Illinois 60446. Will County Generating Station (the Station) is owned and operated by Midwest Generation, LLC (MWG).

The Station is subject to 40 CFR Part 257 Subpart D "The Federal CCR Rule", effective April 17, 2015 and subsequent amendments including *Hazardous and Solid Waste Management System: Disposal of Coal Combustion Residuals from Electric Utilities: A Holistic Approach to Closure Part A: Deadline to Initiate Closure, effective September 28, 2020.* The facility's South Ash Pond 2 currently does not meet the liner design criteria as promulgated by 40 CFR Part 257.71 and by rule the station must cease placing the CCR and non-CCR wastestreams currently sent to South Ash Pond 2 and initiate closure as soon as technically feasible but no later than April 11, 2021, unless an alternative closure timeline is granted by the EPA in accordance with 40 CFR 257.103 based on a Site-Specific Demonstration for No Alternative Disposal Capacity.

MWG has concluded that no alternative disposal capacity is available and that it is technically infeasible to obtain alternative disposal capacity for these wastestreams on- or off-site by April 11, 2021. Accordingly, pursuant to 40 CFR 257.103(f)(1)(iv)(A), MWG has prepared the following demonstration and workplan detailing its proposed development of alternative disposal capacity and a timeline to replace South Ash Pond 2.

We look forward to working with the USEPA on this request and proceeding with our project to establish alternative capacity. Please contact me at (302)-540-0327 or david.bacher@nrgenergy.com to address any questions or concerns regarding this submittal.

Sincerel

David Bacher Senior Regional Manager Environmental Business, NRG Energy, Inc. 29416 Power Plant Road Dagsboro, Delaware 19939

CC: Kirsten Hillyer (US EPA), Frank Behan (USEPA), Richard Huggins (USEPA) W. Stone (NRG), S. Shealey (MWG), W. Shander (MWG)



Midwest Generation, LLC Will County Generating Station

Demonstration for a Site-Specific Alternative Deadline to Initiate Closure

Report SL-015499 Revision 0 November 30, 2020 Issue Purpose: Use Project No.: 12661-099

55 East Monroe Street Chicago, IL 60603-5780 USA 312-269-2000 www.sargentlundy.com



LEGAL NOTICE

This workplan was prepared by Sargent & Lundy, L.L.C. (S&L) expressly for the sole use of Midwest Generation, LLC (Client) in accordance with the contract agreement between S&L and Client. This workplan was prepared using the degree of skill and care ordinarily exercised by engineers practicing under similar circumstances. Client acknowledges: (1) S&L prepared this workplan subject to the particular scope limitations, budgetary and time constraints, and business objectives of Client; (2) information and data provided by others, including Client, may not have been independently verified by S&L; and (3) the information and data contained in this workplan are time-sensitive and changes in the data, applicable codes, standards, and acceptable engineering practices may invalidate the findings of this workplan. Any use or reliance upon this workplan by third parties shall be at their sole risk. Midwest Generation, LLC Will County Generating Station S&L Project No. 12661-099

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Legal	Notic	е	i					
Table	of Co	ntents	ii					
Exect	utive S	Summary	iii					
1.0	1.0 Development of Alternative Capacity 1-1							
	1.1	Background Information	. 1-1					
	1.2	General Strategy for Compliance with EPA Regulations	. 1-8					
	1.3	Alternative Disposal Solutions Considered	. 1-8					
	1.4	Conceptual Design of Multiple Technology Solution	1-20					
	1.5	Explanation & Justification of Time Requested	1-26					
2.0	Proje	ct Schedule: Visual Timeline	. 2-1					
3.0	Proje	ct Schedule: Narrative Discussion	. 3-1					
	3.1	Installation Activities & Projected Workflow	. 3-1					
	3.2	Plant Operations	. 3-2					
	3.3	Permitting	. 3-3					
	3.4	Engineering & Design	3-11					
	3.5	Contractor Selection	3-18					
	3.6	Equipment Fabrication & Delivery	3-19					
	3.7	Construction	3-23					
	3.8	Start-Up & Implementation	3-28					
4.0	Proje	ct Schedule: Progress to Date	. 4-1					
5.0	Demo	onstration of Compliance	. 5-1					
	5.1	Signed Certification of Compliance	. 5-1					
	5.2	Visual Representation of Site Hydrogeology	. 5-1					
	5.3	Groundwater Monitoring Constituent Concentrations	. 5-1					
	5.4	Narrative of Site Hydrogeology	. 5-1					
	5.5	Corrective Measures Assessments	. 5-1					
	5.6	Corrective Action Remedy Reports	. 5-2					
	5.7	Structural Stability Assessment	. 5-2					
	5.8	Safety Factor Assessment	. 5-2					
6.0	Refer	ences	. 6-1					
Appe	ndix A	A — Conceptual Design Drawings						
Appe	ndix E	B — Process Flow Diagrams						
Appe	ndix C	C — Compliance Documentation						

Appendix D — Proposed Illinois CCR Rule

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

South Ash Pond 2 and South Ash Pond 3 at the Will County Generating Station ("Will County" or the "Station") in Romeoville, Illinois do not meet the liner design criteria or the uppermost aquifer location criteria promulgated by 40 CFR Part 257 Subpart D ("the EPA CCR Rule"). Therefore, Will County must cease placing CCR and non-CCR wastestreams into South Ash Ponds 2 and 3 as soon as technically feasible but no later than April 11, 2021, unless an alternative deadline is granted by the EPA in accordance with 40 CFR 257.103. Because the Station does not need to have both of its CCR surface impoundments in service to generate power – and pursuant to the revised EPA CCR Rule – Will County will not send CCR or non-CCR wastestreams to South Ash Pond 3 after April 11, 2021 and does not plan on sending any wastestreams to that basin in the interim. However, after evaluating several on- and off-site alternative disposal solutions for the wastestreams currently being sent to South Ash Pond 2 – both permanent and temporary – Midwest Generation, LLC (MWG), the operator of the Station, has concluded that no alternative disposal capacity is available for these wastestreams, and that it is technically infeasible to obtain alternative disposal capacity for these wastestreams on- or off-site by April 11, 2021. Accordingly, pursuant to 40 CFR 257.103(f)(1)(iv)(A), MWG has prepared the following workplan detailing its proposed development of alternative disposal capacity to replace South Ash Pond 2.

Will County currently sends the following CCR and non-CCR wastestreams to South Ash Pond 2: Unit 4 ash sluice water (CCR), overflow from the Unit 4 slag tank (non-CCR), overflow from the Station's South Area Runoff Basin (non-CCR), and sludge recycle from the Station's two clarifiers (non-CCR). After evaluating several options for providing alternative disposal capacity to South Ash Pond 2 for these waste streams, MWG elected to implement a multiple technology system: install a remote SSC for Will County's CCR wastestreams and construct a new Low Volume Waste Basin for the Station's non-CCR wastestreams currently being managed by South Ash Pond 2. This multiple technology system will be developed in two phases. The first phase will bring Will County into compliance with the EPA CCR Rule and will separate the CCR and non-CCR wastestreams that are currently being commingled in South Ash Pond 2. This will set up the second phase in which MWG will bring the Station into compliance with the EPA's recently-revised effluent limitation guidelines for steam electric power generating stations ("ELG Rule") by converting Will County's bottom ash-handling system into a closed-loop system.

MWG will begin developing this multiple technology system by clean-closing South Ash Pond 3. After the pond has been closed, it will be repurposed to support the installation and operation of the remote SSC. To install the remote SSC, structural fill will be placed within the cleaned South Ash Pond 3 area to support the SSC, its ancillary equipment, and its enclosure. The structural fill will be sloped to promote positive drainage into the existing concrete overflow trough at the western end of South Ash Pond 3. The remote SSC will be tied into Will County's bottom ash-handling system by extending new ash sluice piping to the existing ash sluice lines at the Station's outside piping valve station east of South Ash Ponds 2 and 3. Effluent from the

SSC will first be sent through a clarifier to reduce the concentration of total suspended solids (TSS) in the water before it drains to the aforementioned concrete overflow trough at the western end of South Ash Pond 3. The effluent will ultimately be conveyed to the Station's Recycle Pump Station through the Station's existing run-off collection pipes. Additional TSS controls like lamella plates and chemical injection will also be implemented to ensure the concentrations are conducive to the existing recycle pumps in the Recycle Pump Station.

Ash that settles out of the sluice water in the SSC will be collected in a hopper and subsequently conveyed up an inclined ramp where it will then be discharged into a temporary ash storage pile within the SSC enclosure's ash dewatering bunker. Water that drains from the ash pile will be collected by a trench network and sump pit, which will subsequently pump collected water to the aforementioned concrete overflow trough. Once the ash is dewatered enough to handle, it will be recovered from the bunker using front-end loaders or similar earthwork equipment and transferred onto haul trucks which will transport the ash to a permitted disposal or beneficial use facility offsite. The dewatering bunker will be sized to provide several days' worth of ash storage based on the Station's anticipated ash make rate.

While South Ash Pond 3 is being clean closed and repurposed to support the remote SSC, an empty, unused stormwater runoff pond just south of South Ash Pond 3 will be repurposed as the Station's new Low Volume Waste Basin to handle the non-CCR wastestreams currently being sent to South Ash Pond 2. First, the vegetation in the area will be cleared and grubbed. The pond floor and slopes will then be regraded and compacted as necessary before ultimately being lined with a geomembrane liner. To convey non-CCR wastestreams to this new Low Volume Waste Basin, new piping will be routed from the Station's outside piping valve station, where it will tie into the existing low-volume waste piping, to the northeastern corner of the new basin. An outlet structure with a sump pump will be installed at the northwestern corner of the new basin to convey basin effluent to South Ash Pond 3's concrete overflow trough to ultimately be recirculated back into Station operations via the Recycle Pump Station.

This proposed multiple technology solution to replace South Ash Pond 2 will be installed in accordance with the EPA CCR Rule and with the Illinois EPA's forthcoming regulations and permit program for CCR surface impoundments ("Final Illinois CCR Rule"), which is expected to be adopted by the Illinois Pollution Control Board into the Illinois Administrative Code in late March 2021. Pursuant to the Illinois Public Act authorizing the Illinois EPA to prepare and the Illinois Pollution Control Board to adopt the Final Illinois CCR Rule, MWG cannot "close any CCR surface impoundment without a permit granted by the [Illinois EPA]." Accordingly, both the design of and timeframes for the first phase of this proposed project is highly dependent on the future regulations and permitting requirements established by the Final Illinois CCR Rule.

Based on the anticipated timeframes for engineering/designing, permitting, constructing, and commissioning the remote SSC and Low Volume Waste Basin, MWG is requesting the EPA allow South Ash Pond 2 to

continue receiving the noted CCR wastestreams until July 27, 2023 and the noted non-CCR wastestreams until April 28, 2023. Further details on South Ash Pond 2, the wastestreams currently being managed therein, the forthcoming Illinois CCR Rule, and MWG's development of alternative disposal capacity for these wastestreams are provided throughout this workplan. Finally, MWG's demonstration of Will County's compliance with the EPA CCR Rule is also provided herein.

1.0 DEVELOPMENT OF ALTERNATIVE CAPACITY

This section presents the option selected by Midwest Generation, LLC (MWG) to provide alternative disposal capacity for the coal combustion residual (CCR) and non-CCR wastestreams currently sent to South Ash Pond 2 and South Ash Pond 3 at the Will County Generating Station. This section also provides background information on the Will County Generating Station, the routine operations of South Ash Ponds 2 and 3 and the wastestreams managed within the two CCR surface impoundments, and the adverse impact to plant operations if South Ash Ponds 2 and 3 were both shut down by April 11, 2021. This section also describes the processes MWG undertook to select the alternative disposal capacity that is being proposed in this workplan and provides a narrative description of the alternative disposal capacity design. Finally, an explanation and justification for the time being requested to operate South Ash Pond 2 beyond April 11, 2021 is provided in this section.

1.1 BACKGROUND INFORMATION

1.1.1 WILL COUNTY GENERATING STATION

MWG operates the Will County Generating Station ("Will County" or the "Station"), which is a coal-fired steam electric power generating station located in Romeoville, Illinois, adjacent to the Des Plaines River to the west and to the Chicago Sanitary and Ship Canal to the east. The station's address is 529 Romeo Road, Romeoville, IL 60446. The plant consists of one operating unit, Unit 4; Units 1 and 2 have been retired, and Unit 3 has been deactivated. Unit 4 is a pulverized coal boiler with an approximate nameplate capacity of 600 MW. Drawing WC-CSK-001 in Appendix A shows the location of the Station and a general layout of the facilities pertinent to this demonstration.

1.1.2 SOUTH ASH PONDS 2 & 3

Will County has two active CCR surface impoundments regulated by the EPA's CCR Rule (40 CFR Part 257 Subpart D, Ref. 1): South Ash Pond 2 and South Ash Pond 3. As shown on drawing WC-CSK-001, these ponds are adjacent to each other and are located west of the Station's generating units and switchyards and east of and adjacent to the Des Plaines River. Characteristics for both ponds are listed in Table 1.

Pond	Crest Elevation (ft)	Floor Elevation (ft)	Storage Area (acres)	Storage Capacity (cu. yd.)		
South Ash Pond 2	590.5	582.5	2.2	21,300		
South Ash Pond 3	590.5	582.5	2.4	24,400		

Table T – South Ash Pond Z & South Ash Pond S Characteristics	Table	1 –	South	Ash	Pond 2	2&	South	Ash	Pond 3	3	Characteristics
---	-------	-----	-------	-----	--------	----	-------	-----	--------	---	-----------------

Note: Listed elevations are based on the National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929 (NGVD 29).

1.1.2.1 ASH POND OPERATIONS

The primary purpose of South Ash Ponds 2 and 3 is to manage the ash sluice water from Will County's generating units prior to being recirculated back into station operations or being discharged to the Chicago Sanitary and Ship Canal in accordance with the Station's National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit (NPDES Permit No. IL0002208). Unit 4 is equipped with an ash-handling system that sluices bottom ash and economizer ash to South Ash Ponds 2 and 3. These CCR materials are sluiced to this area via several outdoor pipes spanning from Will County's power block to an outside piping valve station.

Only one ash pond operates at any given time. The Station controls which ash pond receives wastewater through an outside piping valve station located east of the ponds, as shown on drawing WC-CSK-001. After entering the pond, the ash transport water is then treated via sedimentation, whereby the ash particles suspended in the sluice water settle to the pond floor as the wastewater migrates from the inlet pipes towards a concrete overflow trough at the western end of the pond. Water that enters the trough then flows into a 36-inch diameter reinforced concrete pipe (RCP) at the low point of the trough, which directs the water into a 48-inch diameter collector pipe that runs along the ponds' eastern dikes. This collector pipe ultimately conveys collected water to the Recycle Pump Station north of South Ash Ponds 2 and 3. During normal operating conditions, water conveyed to the Recycle Pump Station is recirculated back to the Station through a 30-in.-diameter return line to be reused in the bottom ash handling system. In situations where there is a surplus of make-up water or a significant rainfall event, the water is instead conveyed through a 16-in.diameter blowdown line to one of two clarifiers north of the Recycle Pump Station and west of the Station's coal pile. Treated blowdown wastewater is then discharged to the Chicago Sanitary and Ship Canal via NPDES-permitted Outfall 002. This process is illustrated on drawing WC-CSK-PFD-001, which is a process flow diagram (PFD) that shows how Will County currently manages the wastestreams produced by its coalfired steam electric generating process.

When one pond reaches its respective storage capacity, ash transport water from Unit 4 is re-directed to the other pond. The station then draws down the free surface water in the full pond. Will County's "Ash Management Contractor" will then mobilize to the site and begin dewatering the pond's inventory of CCR. After the ash has been dewatered sufficiently to handle the material, the Ash Management Contractor will then dredge/excavate the dry-to-moist CCR out of the pond and transport it offsite to a beneficial-use or permitted-disposal facility.

1.1.2.2 POND INFLOWS

Based on MWG's responses to the EPA's "Questionnaire for the Steam Electric Power Generating Effluent Guidelines" submitted in 2010 (Ref. 2), sluice water containing bottom and economizer ash particles is pumped from Unit 4 to South Ash Pond 2 or 3 (whichever is active) at an average rate of 0.9 million gallons per day (MGD).

In addition to the ash sluice water, three non-CCR, low-volume wastestreams are sent to Will County's ash ponds for settling of suspended solids before being recirculated back into station operations or being conveyed to one of the Station's two clarifiers prior to final discharge to the Chicago Sanitary and Ship Canal. As illustrated on drawing WC-CSK-PFD-001, these three wastestreams are:

- Overflow from the Station's South Area Runoff Basin,
- Overflow from the Unit 4 boiler slag tanks, and
- Recycled sludge from the Station's clarifiers.

Table 2 summarizes the Will County wastestreams currently managed in South Ash Ponds 2 and 3 pursuant to the Station's NPDES permit. Of the four flows (CCR and non-CCR) listed in the table, two are continuously produced during power-generating operations (*i.e.*, "typical" flows): the ash sluice water from Unit 4 and the overflow from the Unit 4 slag tanks. Based on the flow rates listed in the table, these wastestreams collectively account for 1.2 MGD of wastewater placed into South Ash Ponds 2 and 3.

In addition to the two aforementioned typical inflows, South Ash Ponds 2 and 3 receive two intermittent wastestreams: overflow from the South Area Runoff Basin and sludge recycled from the Station's clarifiers. The South Area Runoff Basin collects stormwater run-off from the southern portion of the Station's property (*i.e.*, "contact" stormwater). To prevent overtopping of the pond during significant storm events, water in the South Area Runoff Basin will overflow into a concrete trench and will be subsequently pumped to South Ash Pond 2 or 3 depending on which pond is in service at the time of the storm event. This intermittent flow has an average daily flow rate of approximately 0.02 MGD. Meanwhile, the Station periodically removes sludge build-up in its clarifiers to maintain a working volume in the clarifiers. Recycled sludge is conveyed through a return line to the ash ponds at an average rate of 11 tons per day (TPD) to remove the solid materials through sedimentation.

Wastestream	Description	Average Flow (Type)
CCR Wastestreams		0.9 MGD
Ash Sluice Water	Sluice water from Unit 4 containing suspended bottom ash and economizer ash particles.	0.9 MGD (Typical)
Non-CCR Wastestreams	0.32 MGD	
South Area Runoff Basin Overflow	 Overflow water from the Station's South Area Runoff Basin. In addition to contact stormwater run-off from the southern portion of the Station's property, includes water from: Plant roof drains, Sorbent mill wash and area stormwater runoff, Non-chemical metal cleaning wastewater, and Filter backwash from the Station's reverse osmosis (RO) system. 	0.02 MGD (Intermittent)
Slag Tank Overflow	Overflow water from the Unit 4 boiler slag tanks. Includes wastewater from plant floor drains.	0.3 MGD (Typical)
Clarifier Sludge	Sludge recycled from the Station's clarifiers and pumped back for sedimentation.	11 TPD (Intermittent)

Table 2 – Inflows into Will County South Ash Pond 2 / South Ash Pond 3

Source: MWG's responses to EPA's 2010 "Questionnaire for the Steam Electric Power Generating Effluent Guidelines" (Ref. 2).

1.1.2.3 APPLICABLE REGULATIONS

1.1.2.3.1 FEDERAL & STATE CCR REGULATIONS

Since the rule went into effect in October 2015, South Ash Ponds 2 and 3 have been regulated by the EPA CCR Rule. Per the 2016 Water Infrastructure Improvements for the Nation (WIIN) Act, South Ash Ponds 2 and 3 will continue to be subject to the requirements prescribed in the EPA CCR Rule until the EPA approves a CCR permit program developed and submitted by the Illinois EPA. On July 30, 2019, the governor of Illinois signed Illinois Public Act 101-0171 (Ref. 3, also formerly known as "Illinois Senate Bill 9") into law which instructed the Illinois EPA to prepare regulations for CCR surface impoundments owned and/or operated by the state's coal-fired power plants. In December 2019, the Illinois EPA published its draft regulations for CCR surface impoundments for public comment. The Illinois EPA accepted public comments on its draft regulations until mid-January 2020, after which the agency reviewed and considered these comments as it continued preparing a proposed rule to submit to the Illinois Pollution Control Board.

On March 30, 2020, the Illinois EPA submitted its final proposal for regulating CCR surface impoundments in the state of Illinois to the Illinois Pollution Control Board. These proposed regulations are hereafter referred to collectively as the "Proposed Illinois CCR Rule" and are provided in Appendix D. As required by Illinois Public Act 101-0171, the Illinois EPA proposed regulations that the agency considers to be at least as protective as the EPA CCR Rule and also proposed a corresponding statewide CCR surface impoundment permit program. Per Illinois Public Act 101-0171, the Illinois Pollution Control Board (IPCB) has a year to adopt the CCR surface impoundment regulations into Title 35 of the Illinois Administrative Code (35 III. Adm. Code). This timeline would establish a Final Illinois CCR Rule and corresponding CCR permit program by the end of March 2021. In the interim, the IPCB held several hearings with stakeholders and the general public on the Proposed Illinois CCR Rule. MWG was an active participant in this rulemaking process.

The Illinois EPA has yet to publish a timeline for submitting its proposed CCR permit program to the EPA for approval. Therefore, it is currently unknown when the EPA would accept the Illinois EPA's CCR surface impoundment regulations and permitting program to operate in lieu of the EPA CCR Rule. Consequently, Illinois is currently considered a Nonparticipating State per 40 CFR 257.53. However, the Proposed Illinois CCR Rule generally appears to be at least as comprehensive and protective as the EPA CCR Rule, with some specific design and closure criteria proposed in the rule seemingly being more protective. Therefore, it is anticipated that the EPA will accept the Final Illinois CCR Rule to operate in lieu of the federal version at some point during the development of alternative CCR disposal capacity at Will County. However, until that time, Will County's CCR surface impoundments will be subject to both the federal and state rules.

1.1.2.3.2 FEDERAL ELG RULE

In addition to the federal and state regulations for CCR surface impoundments, the operation of South Ash Ponds 2 and 3 – specifically discharges through NPDES-permitted Outfall 002 – is also subject to compliance with the EPA's effluent limitation guidelines for steam electric power plants ("ELG Rule"). The 2020 update to the ELG Rule (Ref. 4) sets new limits for discharging bottom ash transport water and other wastestreams generated by steam electric power plants to waters of the U.S. Pursuant to the new 40 CFR 423.13(k)(1)(i) and (k)(2)(i)(A), the ELG Rule establishes a zero-liquid discharge (ZLD) standard for Will County's bottom ash transport water – including any low-volume wastestreams that come into contact with bottom ash transport water – except under the following conditions:

- To maintain the bottom ash system's water balance during:
 - o Significant precipitation events (10-year, 24-hour storm event or longer), and
 - Situations where excessive quantities of other wastestreams regularly handled by the bottom ash system compromise the system's ability to handle recycled bottom ash transport water;
- To maintain the bottom ash system's water chemistry, and
- To conduct maintenance when water volumes cannot be managed by redundancies, tanks, etc.

In any of the preceding situations, the plant would not be permitted to purge more than 10% of the bottom ash system's maximum volumetric capacity for bottom ash transport water (calculated on a 30-day rolling average and excluding redundancies, maintenance systems, *etc.*).

Will County will be subject to the ZLD standard for bottom ash transport water promulgated by the updated ELG Rule upon incorporation into the facility's NPDES permit by a date determined by the Illinois EPA, which is required by the new 40 CFR 423.13(k)(1)(i) to occur no later than December 31, 2025.

1.1.2.3.3 ILLINOIS EPA NPDES PERMIT

Will County discharges wastestreams to the Chicago Sanitary and Ship Canal in accordance with its NPDES permit issued by the Illinois EPA (NPDES Permit No. IL0002208). The Station's existing permit was effective on May 15, 2014 and expired on April 30, 2019. In October 2018, more than 180 days before the permit's expiration date, MWG submitted an NPDES permit renewal application to the Illinois EPA. So, although the Station's existing NPDES permit has expired, it has been administratively continued until the permit renewal is issued by the Illinois EPA. To date, MWG has not received a draft NPDES permit renewal for Will County.

1.1.2.4 FUTURE REPLACEMENT

While both ponds are lined with a geomembrane liner over a 3-foot-thick, compacted granular underlayment, South Ash Ponds 2 and 3 are not compliant with the liner design criteria promulgated by 40 CFR 257.71(a)(3). In addition, both ponds are less than five feet above the upper limit of the site's uppermost aquifer (Ref. 5). Thus, per 40 CFR 257.101(a)(1), (a)(3), (b)(1)(i), and (b)(4), Will County must cease placing the CCR and non-CCR wastestreams listed in Table 2 into these ponds as soon as technically feasible and no later than April 11, 2021, unless an alternative deadline is granted by the EPA. Pursuant to 40 CFR 257.103(f)(1)(vi)(A), the maximum possible alternative deadline extension for an unlined CCR surface impoundment is October 15, 2023.

In early October 2020, Will County took South Ash Pond 3 out of service for routine cleaning. Since the Station does not need to have both of its CCR surface impoundments in service to generate power – and pursuant to the revised EPA CCR Rule – Will County will not send CCR or non-CCR wastestreams to South Ash Pond 3 after April 11, 2021 and does not plan on sending any wastestreams to that pond in the interim. However, as detailed herein, MWG is requesting that the EPA allow Will County to continue sending the CCR and non-CCR wastestreams listed in Table 2 to South Ash Pond 2 after April 11, 2021, while MWG develops alternative capacity to replace this pond because: (1) no existing alternative disposal capacity is available on- or off-site for these wastestreams, and (2) it was technically infeasible to develop the alternative capacity selected by April 11, 2021 for these wastestreams.

1.1.3 ADVERSE IMPACT TO PLANT OPERATIONS WITHOUT SOUTH ASH POND 2

In order to generate power at Will County, it is necessary to dispose of the bottom and economizer ash produced during the Station's coal-fired steam electric generating process. As demonstrated herein, South Ash Pond 2 is the only available site for Will County's bottom and economizer ash disposal. There is currently no alternative on- or off-site disposal available for Will County's bottom and economizer ash. Therefore, if Will County was no longer able to use South Ash Pond 2 to dispose of its bottom and economizer ash, the Station could no longer generate power and would be forced to shut down until MWG develops alternative disposal capacity for the Station's ash, which is not expected to be completed until July 27, 2023.

There are three MWG facilities affected by the EPA CCR Rule – the Will County, Powerton, and Waukegan Generating Stations. None of these generating facilities have alternative options for ash disposal, and if they cannot dispose of their ash at existing locations they will also be forced to shut down. All three plants are located in the same subregion of the regional power market. Specifically, they are located in the ComEd zone of the PJM regional transmission organization. The ComEd zone consists of most of northern Illinois including the Chicago metropolitan area. These three MWG facilities provide 2,730 megawatts of installed capacity to electricity customers in PJM, or more than 10% of the total capacity needed in the ComEd zone. All three facilities have "cleared" in the PJM forward capacity auction to meet the region's reliability needs and therefore have an obligation to supply this capacity in future years. Ceasing use of South Ash Pond 2 at Will County and the other CCR surface impoundments at the Powerton and Waukegan Generating Stations would cause the loss of this substantial quantity of capacity beginning in April 2021. Shutdown would cause

major financial harm and loss of jobs and could potentially increase the cost of capacity for ComEd zone customers. The financial impact could be so great as to cause the permanent shutdown of Will County and the other two MWG power plants. The potential for substantial harm from loss of this capacity is disproportionate with the low risk of allowing operation of South Ash Pond 2 for the additional time needed to bring alternative disposal capacity into service without major disruptions to the company, its employees, and its customers.

1.2 GENERAL STRATEGY FOR COMPLIANCE WITH EPA REGULATIONS

MWG has evaluated several different handling and/or disposal alternatives for Will County's CCR and non-CCR wastestreams since 2015, shortly after the EPA's new CCR Rule and the amendment to its ELG Rule were published. Given the ZLD standards established for bottom ash transport water in the 2015 ELG Rule (Ref. 6), wastestreams which included (and still include) non-CCR wastestreams that are commingled with bottom ash transport water, MWG evaluated alternatives that either eliminated Will County's need for bottom ash transport water or allowed it to be recirculated back into the plant's bottom ash system in a closed-loop system. In options where bottom ash transport water would be recirculated in a closed-loop system, MWG sought to separate Will County's CCR and non-CCR wastestreams (which are currently commingled in South Ash Ponds 2 and 3) to ensure the latter were not subject to the stricter ELGs for bottom ash transport water.

1.3 ALTERNATIVE DISPOSAL SOLUTIONS CONSIDERED

As discussed in more detail in Section 1.5.1, MWG has been evaluating different disposal alternatives to replace South Ash Ponds 2 and 3 in some capacity since 2015. In accordance with MWG's strategy for compliance with the EPA's CCR and ELG Rules, these evaluations assessed not only permanent disposal solutions for Will County's bottom ash transport water, but also the low-volume wastestreams managed by these ponds as required by the amended EPA CCR Rule. After the August 2018 *Utility Solid Waste Activities Group (USWAG)* decision by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit (Ref. 7), in which the Court ordered the provisions in the EPA CCR Rule allowing unlined ash ponds to continue operating be vacated and remanded, MWG started refining the conceptual designs of the potential disposal alternatives identified in previous studies for South Ash Ponds 2 and 3 and started preparing budgetary cost estimates and implementation schedules. In addition, MWG has continued evaluating and refining these alternative disposal options throughout Illinois's rulemaking process towards a Final Illinois CCR Rule. The final assessment of alternative disposal solutions considered to replace Will County's South Ash Ponds 2 and 3 is summarized in Section 1.3.3.

Pursuant to the recently-revised alternative closure requirements for CCR surface impoundments in the EPA CCR Rule, MWG also evaluated whether existing capacity is available on- or off-site for each wastestream currently being sent to South Ash Pond 2. For those wastestreams where existing capacity is not available,

MWG evaluated whether it was technically feasible to obtain alternative disposal capacity – either temporary or permanent – by April 11, 2021. The following subsections discuss the alternative disposal solutions considered for each wastestream managed in South Ash Pond 2 and how these wastestreams were ultimately dispositioned.

1.3.1 EXISTING ON-SITE DISPOSAL SOLUTIONS

As shown in the PFD on drawing WC-CSK-PFD-001 in Appendix B, Will County relies on several settling ponds to treat the total suspended solids (TSS) in wastestreams produced during the Station's steam electric generating process and in contact stormwater from various plant facilities. These settling ponds are shown on drawing WC-CSK-001 and are referred to as:

- South Ash Pond 2 (CCR surface impoundment),
- South Ash Pond 3 (CCR surface impoundment),
- North Area Runoff Basin (non-CCR surface impoundment), and
- South Area Runoff Basin (non-CCR surface impoundment).

1.3.1.1 CCR WASTESTREAMS

Will County's ash sluice water contains suspended CCR particles (bottom ash and economizer ash), and is therefore considered a CCR wastestream. Consequently, these wastestreams must be disposed of in a CCR unit. Per the preceding list, the only two CCR units at Will County are South Ash Ponds 2 and 3. As previously stated, both ponds are not compliant with the EPA CCR Rule's liner design criteria or uppermost aquifer location restriction. Thus, there is no existing, compliant alternative disposal capacity to South Ash Pond 2 at Will County for the Station's ash sluice water.

1.3.1.2 NON-CCR WASTESTREAMS

MWG evaluated four scenarios for providing alternative disposal capacity for the non-CCR wastestreams currently being sent to South Ash Pond 2: (1) divert a given non-CCR wastestream to the Station's North Runoff Collection Basin, (2) divert a given non-CCR wastestream to the Station's concrete retention basin, (3) divert a given non-CCR wastestream to the Station's clarifiers, or (4) hold a given non-CCR wastestream in its existing temporary storage facility/unit upstream of South Ash Pond 2.

1.3.1.2.1 DIVERT TO NORTH AREA RUNOFF BASIN

As shown on drawing WC-CSK-001, Will County has two non-CCR surface impoundments and a concrete retention basin on site that manage non-CCR wastestreams. Of these, the North Area Runoff Basin and concrete retention basin would be the only existing facilities to divert the non-CCR wastestreams currently going into South Ash Pond 2 since wastewater collected in the South Area Runoff Basin currently overflows into South Ash Pond 2.

As shown on drawing WKG-CSK-001 in Appendix A, the North Area Runoff Basin is located west of Will County's coal pile and north of the Station's clarifiers. Per the PFD on drawing WC-CSK-PFD-001, this non-CCR surface impoundment currently manages contact stormwater run-off from the northern portion of Will County's property (including the coal pile), washwater and contact stormwater run-off from the Station's sorbent mill, and wastewater from the coal handling building's dust-capturing system. Effluent from the North Area Runoff Basin is discharged to the Station's clarifiers to further reduce the concentration of suspended solids in the wastewater before ultimately being discharged to the Chicago Sanitary and Ship Canal via NPDES-permitted Outfall 002.

To divert the South Area Runoff Basin and Unit 4 slag tank overflow water to the North Area Runoff Basin, MWG would need at least four to five months after initiating the project to perform the engineering and design work to determine the mechanical infrastructure required to convey these wastestreams to the basin, which is approximately 2,000 feet away from the outside piping valve station and approximately 2,300 feet away from the South Area Runoff Basin itself). This work would include routing and designing new pipes and pipe rack structures to span this significant distance. Depending on the appropriate engineering solution, the new slag tank overflow piping may need to be routed through the congested Station boiler areas if it is not possible to tie into or near the existing outside piping valve structure. New pumps would also need to be evaluated and procured to convey both wastestreams the significant distance to the North Area Runoff Basin.

To divert the clarifier sludge to the North Area Runoff Basin, MWG would need less time to engineer a solution than the South Area Runoff Basin and Unit 4 slag tank overflows given the clarifiers' relatively close proximity to the North Area Runoff Basin (approximately 400 feet). However, two to three months would still be necessary to route and design new pipes and supports, as well as evaluate whether new underflow pumps would be required.

In addition to engineering solution to convey the South Area Runoff Basin overflow, Unit 4 slag tank overflow, and clarifier sludge to the North Area Runoff Basin, MWG would need to also verify that the basin can in fact manage these non-CCR wastestreams in addition to the inflows it already handles without an interim treatment or storage facility (*e.g.*, tank) upstream of it. If interim capacity is required, additional engineering and design time may be required. Finally, the engineering and design work would include preparation of revised PFDs and other necessary documentation to be included in the NPDES permit application forms for this project.

Because the handling and treatment of these non-CCR wastestreams would be modified, MWG would need to apply for an NPDES construction permit to install the system and eventually modify its existing NPDES permit with the Illinois EPA to incorporate this new treatment method. MWG cannot currently modify Will County's existing NPDES permit because, as discussed in Section 1.1.2.3.3, the Station's current permit

expired in April 2019 and is administratively extended by MWG's timely permit renewal application that was submitted in October 2018. To date, MWG has not received a draft NPDES permit renewal from the Illinois EPA.

Based on recent experience in obtaining NPDES construction and renewal permits from the Illinois EPA, MWG anticipates an NPDES construction permit and the NPDES renewal permit would take approximately six and 18 months, respectively, given the time required for the agency to perform an initial review, accept public comments, review public comments, and draft the permits, not to mention the agency's current focus on establishing a CCR permit program.

Finally, it would likely take about two months and four to five months to install and commission this system, respectively. These timelines assume a contractor has already been procured and materials have been ordered and are being fabricated (*e.g.*, structural steel for the pipe racks) once the necessary permits are issued by the Illinois EPA. This installation time accounts for the time required to install the length of piping required to divert the slag tank and South Area Runoff Basin overflows to the North Area Runoff Basin, which will require constructing one or more new pipe racks in between the tie-in point(s) and the North Area Runoff Basin, commissioning time would also be required to ensure the Station can meet the discharge limits established in its NPDES permit.

Given the preceding timeframe, MWG expects that it would take about 2.5 years (*i.e.*, spring 2023) to temporarily divert the sludge recycled from the Station's clarifiers and almost 3 years (*i.e.*, fall 2023) to temporarily divert the overflows from the South Area Runoff Basin and Unit 4 slag tanks to the North Area Runoff Basin. As shown in the visual timeline representation in Section 2.0, MWG expects to develop new alternative disposal capacity for these non-CCR wastestreams within a similar or shorter timeframe (April 28, 2023). Consequently, MWG does not consider the North Area Runoff Basin to be an appropriate alternative disposal solution for the non-CCR wastestreams currently going into South Ash Pond 2.

Even if MWG could receive an NPDES construction permit and Will County's NPDES renewal permit for this project sooner than forecasted (six and 18 months, respectively), MWG would be submitting at least one more permit application for the Illinois EPA to review for this site (the NPDES construction permit) in addition to the four CCR permit applications (two operating and two construction) that will need to be submitted to comply with the Final Illinois CCR Rule and to develop the alternative disposal capacity selected to replace South Ash Ponds 2 and 3. (An NPDES permit renewal application will be required for either project.) Given the Illinois EPA's current focus on developing and implementing a new permit program for the 73 CCR surface impoundments the agency identified across 23 Illinois power plants (Ref. 8; Statement of Reasons, VI. Affected Facilities), MWG believes it is a more appropriate use of the agency's resources to submit only the permit applications necessary to develop the permanent alternative disposal solution proposed for Will

County rather than submitting additional permit applications for a temporary solution that may or may not be permitted faster than the permanent solution. Moreover, given that MWG's proposed alternative disposal capacity solution for Will County includes closing South Ash Ponds 2 and 3, and given Illinois's general focus on its current rulemaking process for regulating CCR surface impoundments, MWG expects that the Illinois EPA would prioritize the CCR surface impoundment closure construction permit applications included in the permanent solution than the NPDES construction permit application required for temporarily diverting wastestreams to a non-CCR surface impoundment at Will County.

In conclusion, diverting the non-CCR wastestreams currently entering South Ash Pond 2 to the North Area Runoff Basin would not be an appropriate solution because it would take a longer time to implement than the permanent alternative disposal solution selected for these wastestreams.

1.3.1.2.2 DIVERT TO CONCRETE RETENTION BASIN

The concrete retention basin east of Will County's Recycle Pump Station currently manages contact stormwater run-off in its immediate vicinity. Historically, this basin managed boiler slag from Units 1 and 2 which are now retired. Given its proximity to South Ash Ponds 2 and 3 (approximately 580 feet) and lower elevation than the Station's outside piping valve station, the South Area Runoff Basin overflow, Unit 4 slag tank overflow, and clarifier recycle sludge wastestreams could likely be conveyed via gravity through a pipeline extension from the valve station to the retention basin. This would require less engineering and design work to route, size, and provide support for the pipes and would require significantly less time to install. However, it is unknown whether the concrete retention basin's size (about a third of an acre and 8-feet deep) would promote enough sedimentation to remove the suspended solids present in the subject non-CCR wastestreams to levels conducive for the existing pumps in the Recycle Pump Station. Moreover, the empty, unused stormwater runoff pond south of South Ash Ponds 2 and 3 that is being repurposed as the Station's new Low Volume Waste Basin (see Section 1.4.2 and drawing WC-CSK-100) will take a similar amount of time to design, permit, and construct given its similar proximity and elevation difference to the outside piping valve station. This new basin will be similar in size to South Ash Ponds 2 and 3 and thus should be sufficient to manage the subject non-CCR wastestreams.

In conclusion, diverting the non-CCR wastestreams currently entering South Ash Pond 2 to the Station's concrete retention basin would not be a technically feasible solution given its small size and that the permanent alternative disposal capacity solution being developed can be implemented in a similar timeframe.

1.3.1.2.3 DIVERT TO CLARIFIERS

In lieu of redirecting the South Area Runoff Basin overflow, Unit 4 slag tank overflow, and clarifier sludge to the North Area Runoff Basin or concrete retention basin, MWG evaluated sending these wastestreams

directly to the Station's two clarifiers instead. These clarifiers are currently used to remove suspended solids remaining in the overflow from the North Area Runoff Basin and in the effluent from South Ash Ponds 2 and 3 prior to being discharged to the Chicago Sanitary and Ship Canal. The latter only occurs when there is a surplus of make-up water in the system or the concentration of suspended solids is too high to recirculate back into plant operations. Given the detention time provided by the surface impoundments and temporary storage facilities upstream of these clarifiers (*e.g.*, South Ash Ponds 2 and 3) and the infrequency of sending wastewater to the clarifiers, the clarifiers do not collect or treat a large volume of sludge. The sludge that is collected is discharged back to South Ash Ponds 2 and 3 for sedimentation to avoid an otherwise excessive build-up of sludge at the bottoms of the clarifiers.

Given the proximity of the Station's clarifiers to the North Area Runoff Basin, it would take approximately the same amount of time to design and install new piping, pipe racks, and pumps to convey the subject non-CCR wastestreams to the clarifiers as it would to convey these wastestreams to the North Area Runoff Basin. As previously demonstrated in Section 1.3.1.2.1, temporarily diverting these wastestreams to the North Area Runoff Basin was determined to be a technically infeasible solution given that it would require more time to implement as the permanent disposal solution selected for these wastestreams.

Instead of conveying these wastestreams directly to the clarifiers, the South Area Runoff Basin and Unit 4 slag tank could be diverted to the Recycle Pump Station, which already has the infrastructure in place to then send these wastestreams to the Station's clarifiers. This would require significantly less design and construction time than directly conveying these wastestreams to the clarifiers given the Recycle Pump Station's proximity to the outside piping valve station. In this scenario, however, MWG would need to design modifications to the clarifiers to handle these flows, which will increase the volume and frequency of inflows to the clarifiers because the Station only blows down wastewater to the clarifiers when there is excessive make-up water in the system or when the concentration of suspended solids in the wastewater prohibits the water being recirculated into plant operations.

To handle this additional flow, the clarifiers would need to be upgraded and an alternative disposal area would need to be installed for the sludge, which currently goes to South Ash Ponds 2 and 3. Like the other on-site disposal solutions considered, this change in treatment for these wastestreams would still necessitate an NPDES construction permit and a renewal of Will County's NPDES permit. As discussed in the evaluation for diverting non-CCR wastestreams to the North Area Runoff Basin in Section 1.3.1.2.1, the timeframe to develop this temporary solution would be similar to, if not longer, than that estimated for the permanent alternative disposal solution being developed for these non-CCR wastestreams. Therefore, diverting the South Area Runoff Basin and Unit 4 slag tank overflows to the Station's clarifiers via the Recycle Pump Station would also not be an appropriate solution given the longer path to compliance anticipated with the NPDES permitting timeframes.

1.3.1.2.4 HOLD IN EXISTING TEMPORARY STORAGE FACILITY

Finally, MWG evaluated whether it would be possible to hold any of the non-CCR wastestreams currently going into South Ash Pond 2 at their sources in lieu of discharging them to the ash pond. However, this evaluation is only appropriate for the intermittent South Area Runoff Basin overflow. The Unit 4 slag tank was designed to discharge to the ash ponds at regular intervals and would inherently not have sufficient capacity for long-term storage of the wastestreams it receives from plant operations. Similarly, the Station cannot allow sludge to build up to excessive levels in its clarifiers without risk of damaging the equipment and/or affecting its ability to settle solids out of the wastewater prior to discharging to the Chicago Sanitary and Ship Canal.

Based on MWG's projected date of obtaining alternative disposal capacity for the South Area Runoff Basin overflow (April 28, 2023), the South Area Runoff Basin would need to be capable of providing approximately 2.5 years' worth of storage for the wastestreams it receives. The basin has an approximate storage capacity of 1.2 million gallons. Based on an average flow of 0.02 MGD of contact stormwater into the South Area Runoff Basin would become full within 60 days. Thus, the South Area Runoff Basin would not be capable of retaining the stormwater sent to it until the spring of 2023 without discharging to South Ash Pond 2.

1.3.2 OFF-SITE DISPOSAL

Although the EPA itself has acknowledged that it is not feasible to transport wet-generated CCR to an off-site disposal facility (Ref. 9), MWG performed its due diligence and evaluated the feasibility of temporarily transporting the average daily volume of CCR and non-CCR wastestreams currently being sent to South Ash Pond 2 to an off-site disposal facility. Because the Illinois EPA generally prohibits solid waste landfills from receiving bulk or noncontainerized liquid wastes (Ref. 10), wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) are the only technically feasible alternative disposal facilities off-site for the CCR and non-CCR wastestreams currently being sent to South Ash Pond 2. Per the average flow rates listed in Table 2, an average daily volume of 1.2 million gallons of CCR and non-CCR wastewater would need to be sent to a WWTP, as well as 11 tons of sludge per day. Thus, to be a viable option, a WWTP would need to receive the full or significant portion of the 1.2 MGD of CCR and non-CCR wastewater and 11 TPD of sludge generated by Will County in addition to the daily volume of wastewater the WWTP currently manages.

Ten WWTPs were identified within 20 miles of the Station, and five of these plants had listed design capacities of 3 MGD or less and thus would be unlikely to receive 1.2 MGD of additional wastewater to treat in addition to the daily volume they currently manage. The other five WWTPs had design capacities greater than 7 MGD and therefore may be technically feasible solutions for temporarily handling the CCR and non-CCR wastestreams currently going into South Ash Pond 2. Inquiries were placed with these five WWTPs to determine if they had sufficient capacity for an additional 1.2 MGD of wastewater and 11 TPD of sludge. Of

the three WWTPs that responded, each expressed concerns regarding the water chemistry, particularly wastestreams containing heavy metals.

Even if the WWTPs' water chemistry concerns were alleviated, the technical feasibility of an offsite disposal solution is contingent on MWG's ability to transport the wastewater to one (or multiple) of these WWTPs. Given the Station's existing infrastructure, trucks with tank trailers ("tankers") would likely be the only transportation method that could be established for the Station's CCR and non-CCR wastestreams prior to the April 11, 2021 deadline for ceasing all flows into South Ash Pond 2. In this scenario, new infrastructure would be installed as necessary to pump a given wastestream from its interim holding facility (*e.g.*, South Area Runoff Basin) into a tanker.

Illinois state law limits the overall gross vehicle weight to 80,000 pounds (Ref. 11). Assuming the specific weight of suspended solids in the subject CCR and non-CCR wastestreams is equal to that of water (*i.e.*, 62.4 pounds per cubic foot (pcf)), and assuming an empty tanker weight of 12,000 pounds, an 8,200-gallon tank trailer would be the largest tank trailer that would be permitted to transport wastewater off-site. Therefore, it would take about 150 truckloads to transport the 1.2 MGD of CCR and non-CCR wastewater currently being sent to South Ash Pond 2. Even if trucks were operating 24 hours a day, 7 days a week ("24/7"), this would require a truck to enter the Will County site, get cleared by security, load the wastewater, and leave the site travelling over City of Romeoville roadways every 10 minutes on average. This is not technically feasible, especially during winter weather conditions (*i.e.*, snow and ice) which would only exacerbate the logistical issues of hauling this volume of waste to an off-site disposal facility.

Even if the Station could support the number of tankers required to keep up with its daily production of CCR and non-CCR wastewater, there would be significant logistics concerns in coordinating 150 trips to and from the Station's property. Trucks would have to enter the Will County site via East Romeo Road, which they would likely access via U.S. Interstate 55 or U.S. Interstate 355. Based on traffic data compiled by the Illinois Department of Transportation (Ref. 12), the average annual daily traffic (AADT) in 2018 for commercial trucks along this road near the entrance to the Will County facility was 1,675 trucks. Therefore, the 150 truckloads required to transport South Ash Pond 2's daily intake of CCR and non-CCR wastewater would effectively increase the daily volume of truck traffic currently on Romeo Road by approximately nine percent.

Based on the preceding estimates, transporting Will County's daily generation of CCR and non-CCR wastestreams off-site would impose an increase in air pollution emissions, congestion issues on the fourlane road, and an increased potential for traffic accidents. These factors may pose short-term risks to human health and the environment that have not been present at South Ash Pond 2, which is lined with a 60-mil HDPE geomembrane liner and has not caused any groundwater protection standard exceedances. Finally, it is not technically feasible to route 150 trips' worth of trucks per day to an off-site disposal facility until alternative disposal capacity is available on-site.

1.3.3 NEW ON-SITE DISPOSAL SOLUTIONS

Based on the preceding evaluations, no alternative disposal capacity currently exists on- or off-site for the CCR and non-CCR wastestreams currently being sent to South Ash Pond 2. Consequently, MWG is in the process of developing alternative disposal capacity at Will County for these wastestreams. This subsection presents the alternatives MWG evaluated as potential replacements for South Ash Ponds 2 and 3, the alternative disposal capacity option that MWG ultimately selected, and why MWG selected this solution.

1.3.3.1 EVALUATION OF BOTTOM ASH DISPOSAL METHODS

In the summer of 2015, shortly after the EPA finalized its new CCR rule, MWG started developing and subsequently evaluating conceptual designs for different disposal alternatives for the bottom ash wastestreams at its Will County, Powerton, and Waukegan facilities. When the 2015 update to the EPA ELG Rule was published, MWG expanded the scopes of these studies to evaluate multiple technology solutions that would provide compliance with the revised ELGs. Then following the Illinois EPA's publication of its draft regulations for CCR surface impoundments, MWG updated these conceptual designs and the corresponding analysis as needed to comply with the draft CCR regulations and align with the EPA's proposed ELG regulations. Finally, in the second quarter of 2020, MWG performed a final update to its conceptual alternative disposal solutions after the Illinois EPA published the Proposed Illinois CCR Rule at the end of March of 2020.

For Will County, MWG evaluated the following options for managing the Station's bottom ash transport water in lieu of the existing South Ash Ponds 2 and 3:

- Retrofitting South Ash Pond 3,
- Installing geotextile filter tubes,
- Installing a concrete ash-settling tank,
- Installing an under-boiler or remote submerged scraper conveyer.

1.3.3.1.1 RETROFITTED SOUTH ASH POND 3

South Ash Pond 3 would be suitable for future bottom ash disposal if it was retrofitted with an Illinois EPAcompliant liner system and its base was raised to be at least five feet above the upper limit of the site's uppermost aquifer. In this scenario, MWG would first draw down the water level in the pond and then dewater and remove the ash stored therein (including any impacted soils). Pursuant to the proposed 35 Ill. Adm. Code 845.770, the pond's existing liner would also need to be removed. Following the removal of these materials, the pond's base would be raised using general fill, and the new pond floor would be lined with a composite liner consisting of a 60-mil HDPE geomembrane over a 2-ft-thick, compacted clay layer. The compacted clay layer would be installed such that its permeability would be no greater than 1×10^{-7} cm/sec. In addition to the composite liner, the Proposed IL CCR Rule also requires a leachate collection and removal system (LCRS) be installed within retrofitted CCR surface impoundments. The proposed 35 III. Adm. Code 845.420 requires the LCRS to be placed above the composite liner; consist of highly permeable, granular drainage material; contain collection pipes; extend at least two feet above the collection pipes; have a filter layer placed above it; and have a slope of at least 3% towards the collection pipes. The LCRS conceptualized for this retrofit option would consist of, from bottom to top:

- A collection pipe network (4-in.-diameter, perforated polyvinyl-chloride (PVC) pipes) installed within a 6-in.-thick sand drainage layer,
- A 22-in.-thick gravel drainage layer, and
- A non-woven geotextile to filter out solids from the water to prevent fouling of the gravel drainage layer and clogging of the collection pipes.

In order to protect the geotextile, and LCRS components from being damaged by equipment excavating CCR throughout the retrofitted pond's lifetime in accordance with historical Station cleaning practices, an 18in.-thick protective soil layer would be installed over the engineered liner system. This protective layer would consist of six inches of crushed stone installed over 12 inches of sand.

1.3.3.1.2 GEOTEXTILE FILTER TUBES

Another option that was considered for replacing South Ash Ponds 2 and 3 was installing a series of geotextile filter tubes, which are containers with oval-shaped cross sections that are composed of engineered fabric that can filter out fine particles within water. Thus, Will County's CCR could be sluiced directly to these tubes to filter out the bottom and economizer ash particles still in suspension in the transport water. As the ash particles are consolidated within each tube, filtered sluice water would percolate out of each tube's outer fabric onto an impermeable pad with appropriate run-off control measures. Once a tube is full of ash particles, the bottom ash transport water would be redirected to another tube while the full tube is dewatered. After the filtered ash has been sufficiently dewatered, the full tube would be cut open, loaded onto trucks, and transported off-site to a beneficial-use or permitted-disposal facility.

1.3.3.1.3 CONCRETE ASH-SETTLING TANK

MWG also considered replacing Will County's two ash-settling surface impoundments with a concrete ashsettling tank. This self-supporting, reinforced concrete tank would operate similar to South Ash Ponds 2 and 3. It would be comprised of two primary settling cells in parallel trains with a common surge cell. New piping would be installed to convey the Station's bottom ash transport water to the primary settling cells, which would function like the existing ash ponds. The Station would sluice CCR to one primary cell at a time, switching after the given cell reaches capacity to permit dewatering and cleaning of the full cell. Water in each primary cell would overflow into the common surge cell where the remaining finer ash particles would settle. Effluent from the surge cell would then be discharged into the existing concrete overflow trough before ultimately being conveyed to the Recycle Pump Station to be recirculated back to the Station or blown down to the clarifiers.

Ash stored in each primary cell of the concrete ash-settling tank would be removed with a front-end loader, backhoe, or similar equipment. Excavated CCR would be piled onto a concrete slab for dewatering. Concrete curbs and pushwalls would contain the stacked ash and water therefrom within the dewatering area, and water from the stacked ash would ultimately drain back into the cells. Following dewatering, the CCR would be loaded onto trucks and transported offsite to a beneficial-use or permitted-disposal facility.

1.3.3.1.4 SUBMERGED SCRAPER CONVEYOR

Finally, MWG considered replacing Will County's South Ash Ponds 2 and 3 with a submerged scraper conveyor (SSC). Both an under-boiler SSC and a remote SSC were considered. Other than physical location, both SSC types operate similarly. An SSC contains a water-filled trough that promotes sedimentation of suspended ash particles in the transport water. As its name suggests, the trough in an under-boiler SSC is positioned directly under the boiler to catch and cool falling bottom ash. Conversely, piping is used to sluice ash to a remote SSC located elsewhere on the Station's site. Chains and flight scrapers then move the ash along the trough to an inclined ramp. As the ash is conveyed up the ramp, gravity causes it to dewater. Water removed from the ash as it moves up the inclined ramp is ultimately drained down the ramp back into the trough. Once the ash reaches the top of the ramp, the ash is deposited into a temporary storage bunker where it is ultimately recovered and transported off-site to a beneficial-use or permitted-disposal facility.

1.3.3.2 OPTION SELECTED & JUSTIFICATION

Ultimately, MWG elected to replace South Ash Ponds 2 and 3 with a multiple technology solution:

- Installing a remote SSC within South Ash Pond 3, which will be clean-closed and repurposed for this use, to manage Will County's ash sluice water, and
- Repurpose an empty, unused stormwater runoff pond south of South Ash Pond 3 as a new Low Volume Waste Basin to manage the non-CCR wastestreams currently being managed within South Ash Pond 3.

Of the new, permanent on-site disposal alternatives considered to replace South Ash Ponds 2 and 3, the multiple technology system selected – install a remote SSC and repurpose an empty, unused stormwater runoff pond south of South Ash Pond 3 as a new Low Volume Waste Basin – is the alternative disposal capacity option that is technically feasible and expected to be implemented the fastest. The selected multiple technology solution takes advantage of the station's existing infrastructure – primarily the ash sluice and low volume waste piping and the recirculation equipment – which reduces design and construction time.

Moreover, MWG intends to install a portable remote SSC with a modularized design, which significantly reduces the lead time required to install this type of equipment relative to more traditional designs (see Section 1.4.1.3). This option also separates the CCR and non-CCR wastestreams in the primary treatment facility for those wastestreams (remote SSC for CCR wastestreams and Low Volume Waste Basin for non-CCR wastestreams). Although both facilities will ultimately discharge to and commingle in South Ash Pond 3's concrete overflow trough (or the Recycle Pump Station downstream) in the interim, this option will allow MWG to readily implement a closed-loop bottom ash recirculation system for ELG Rule compliance.

To retrofit South Ash Pond 3, the base of the pond would first need to be elevated to at least five feet above the upper limit of the site's uppermost aquifer. As noted in Table 1, the floor and crest of South Ash Pond 3 are at EL. 582.5 feet and EL. 590.5 feet, respectively. Per the groundwater contour maps provided in Appendix C2.2, the maximum static water elevation recorded at Will County's ash ponds is EL. 584.14 feet (MW-5 on July 5, 2019). Thus, the base for the retrofitted South Ash Pond 3 would need to be at least five feet above this elevation. Given that the dike crest elevation for this pond is EL. 590.5 feet, the fill required to bring South Ash Pond 3 into compliance with the Proposed Illinois CCR Rule would effectively eliminate all of the pond's existing capacity. Therefore, South Ash Pond 3's existing dikes would need to be vertically expanded if it was to be retrofitted to provide alternative disposal capacity for the wastestreams currently going into South Ash Pond 2.

In addition to raising the pond's base to establish at least five feet between the retrofitted South Ash Pond 3 and the upper limit of the site's uppermost aquifer, a composite liner system and LCRS compliant with the Proposed Illinois CCR Rule would need to be installed. The combined thickness of these layers would be approximately 70 inches (*i.e.*, 5'-10") per the conceptual design presented in Section 1.3.3.1.1. To retrofit South Ash Pond 3 and maintain its existing depth, its dikes would need to be vertically expanded about 14 feet (approximately 6 feet for the liner system and an 8-foot depth). The corresponding horizontal expansion required to maintain the dikes' existing slopes (3H:1V) and crest widths would be over 100 feet. This expansion could not be supported as it would encroach on South Ash Pond 2 (25 feet away) which needs to remain operational while South Ash Pond 3 is being retrofitted. Such an expansion would also encroach on the regulatory 100-year floodway of the Des Plaines River (50 feet away) (Ref. 13).

To limit the horizontal expansion required, the pond could be retrofitted with a thinner composite liner system that meets the alternative composite liner criteria promulgated by the Proposed IL CCR Rule. Instead of two feet of clay under the 60-mil HDPE geomembrane, a geosynthetic clay liner (GCL) could be utilized. Although a GCL is only about a quarter-of-an-inch thick, the high-swell, low-permeability, and self-repair characteristics of its bentonite layer would be more effective than two feet of compacted, low-permeability clay. Therefore, a composite liner consisting of HDPE geomembrane over GCL would be an acceptable alternative composite liner pursuant to the proposed 35 III. Adm. Code 845.400(c).

By using GCL instead of compacted clay, the thickness of the liner system that would be installed in the retrofitted South Ash Pond 3 would be about 24 inches thinner than the liner system proposed previously. Accordingly, a 12-foot vertical expansion would be required in lieu of a 14-foot vertical expansion. However, the required horizontal expansion on either side of the surface impoundment would still be about 100 feet, which is not available for South Ash Pond 3 given its location relative to South Ash Pond 2 and the regulatory 100-year floodway of the Des Plaines River.

Based on the preceding evaluation, MWG elected to replace South Ash Ponds 2 and 3 with a different wastewater treatment technology.

Although geotextile filter tubes have been successfully installed and operated to serve a variety of industrial purposes (including dewatering bottom ash ponds) and could also be installed relatively quickly, they could be considered a "first-of-a-kind" technology for dewatering a power plant's daily ash production. Moreover, limited information is available on the successful operation of geotextile filter tubes in winter conditions. Because Will County operates under peak load conditions during the winter months, the reliable operation of geotextile tubes during this time would be crucial. Consequently, this option has significant uncertainties, especially as it pertains to dewatering and filtering out very fine economizer ash particles. Therefore, physical trials of geotextile tubes at the Will County site would be warranted to determine filter aids that would be necessary to ensure the finer ash particles in the Station's bottom ash transport water are captured by the geotextile filter tubes. Testing would also be required during the winter months to certify with a high degree of certainty that this option is a technically feasible replacement for South Ash Pond 2.

While a concrete ash-settling tank is being installed at MWG's Powerton Generating Station, it will be located downstream of dewatering tanks that will remove most of the ash in the sluice water before the wastewater enters the concrete tank. Conversely, Will County would be conveying its ash sluice water directly to the concrete ash-settling tank, which would then be the primary treatment facility for settling the suspended ash particles in the sluice water. Settling out the Station's full economizer ash load may not be technically feasible without the aid of another ash-handling technology (*e.g.*, dewatering bins) or converting the economizer ash system to a dry system.

Given the technical feasibility concerns for geotextile filter tubes and a concrete ash-settling tank being able to manage Will County's ash sluice water, MWG opted to install an SSC, an industry-tested and proven technology for managing bottom ash transport water. MWG elected to install a remote SSC in lieu of an under-boiler SSC because there is not enough space under the Unit 4 boiler to install and operate the latter.

1.4 CONCEPTUAL DESIGN OF MULTIPLE TECHNOLOGY SOLUTION

This section describes MWG's conceptual designs for the remote SSC to manage Will County's CCR wastestreams and for the new Low Volume Waste Basin within an empty, unused stormwater runoff pond

just south of South Ash Pond 3 to manage the low-volume wastestreams currently being managed within South Ash Pond 2. The conceptual designs for the remote SSC and Low Volume Waste Basin are graphically illustrated on the drawings in Appendix A, and the modifications to Will County's management of the CCR and non-CCR wastestreams impacted by this multiple technology solution are shown in the modified PFD on drawing WC-CSK-PFD-002 in Appendix B. This PFD reflects the point at which Will County has developed alternative disposal capacity for the CCR and non-CCR wastestreams currently entering South Ash Pond 2.

1.4.1 REMOTE SUBMERGED SCRAPER CONVEYOR

1.4.1.1 SITE SELECTION

MWG selected South Ash Pond 3 as the future site for Will County's remote SSC primarily because of its proximity to the Station's existing ash-handling infrastructure, specifically the ash sluice piping and collection system to the Recycle Pump Station (*e.g.*, concrete overflow trough in South Ash Pond 3), which provides the fastest means of installing the mechanical and electrical infrastructure required to tie the SSC into the Station's existing bottom ash-handling system. However, this site requires MWG to first clean close South Ash Pond 3 and repurpose the area for this use, which requires MWG to first obtain a closure construction permit from the Illinois EPA under the forthcoming Final Illinois CCR Rule. Given this potential delay in installing a remote SSC relative to an undeveloped site, MWG also evaluated two other potential sites of adequate size near the Recycle Pump Station on Will County's property:

- 1. Area east of and adjacent to the former North Ash Pond, and
- 2. Area south of and adjacent to the plant's switchyard.

The area east of and adjacent to the Station's former North Ash Pond and northeast of the existing concrete retention basin was identified as a potential location for the SSC because of its proximity to the station's Recycle Pump Station, which has the necessary infrastructure in place to recirculate overflow from the SSC back into the Unit 4 bottom ash handling system (see drawing WC-CSK-001). The area is also relatively open, although an old drain chain and some trees would need to be cleared to provide truck access to the area. Some transmission poles are also present in the area but could worked around.

Despite its proximity to the Recycle Pump Station, this site also has some drawbacks. Significant extensions of the station's existing ash sluice piping would be necessary to convey ash transport water to this area. The site is also quite narrow with just enough room to install one SSC, an enclosure, and the ancillary mechanical and electrical equipment. While trucks have successfully accessed and navigated this area in the past to collect material dredged from the retention pond, both access to this location and maneuverability within the planned truck loading area are limited. Finally, this area is immediately adjacent to the Station's former North Ash Pond which will have to be closed in accordance with the Proposed IL CCR Rule shortly after the

closure regulations and corresponding permitting program are finalized. Given the quantity of earthwork required to clean close this former unit and the aforementioned space restrictions at this site, this closure will likely need to be completed before construction can commence on the SSC enclosure foundation.

Given the space limitations for operating and accessing an SSC and the closure activities required at the former North Ash Pond, MWG eliminated this area from consideration as a potential site for the new remote SSC.

MWG also considered a 1-acre lot south of and adjacent to the plant's switchyard (refer to drawing WC-CSK-001). This lot is currently used as a temporary parking lot and laydown yard but could be repurposed for remote SSC operations. This area would require minimal modifications to the existing ash sluice piping but would require significant infrastructure (mainly piping and pumps) to convey effluent from the SSC system to the Recycle Pump Station to be recycled back into plant operations. Relative to the site adjacent to the former North Ash Pond, this site is larger and is more accessible for truck traffic.

Despite the benefits of this site, there are several overhead transmission lines traversing this area given its proximity to the plant switchyard. These transmission lines would likely need to be modified to accommodate the construction of the SSC enclosure. However, these transmission lines are owned and operated by Commonwealth Edison, so it would be at their discretion if and when the lines could be taken out of service and modified to accommodate installation of a remote SSC at this site.

Given the potential safety concerns related to constructing the SSC enclosure in the vicinity of the overhead transmission lines from the Station's switchyard, MWG eliminated this area from consideration as a potential site for the new remote SSC.

Based on the preceding evaluation, MWG concluded that siting the remote SSC within South Ash Pond 3 was the only technically feasible solution of the options considered. As previously mentioned, its proximity to the existing ash sluice piping and runoff collection pipes to the Recycle Pump Station significantly reduces the time required to design and install the mechanical equipment required for the SSC system relative to the other two sites considered. Although MWG must first receive a closure construction permit from the Illinois EPA for South Ash Pond 3 and close the pond before installing the remote SSC, the permitting time for this site is expected to be similar to that required for the other two sites given the status of Will County's NPDES permit. Moreover, Will County is currently in the process of performing a routine cleaning of South Ash Pond 3 in accordance with Station operations. This will facilitate a quicker closure of South Ash Pond 3 once a closure construction permit is received, as only the pond's liner and any CCR-impacted soils will then need to be removed at that point.

1.4.1.2 SITE DEVELOPMENT

As shown on drawing WC-CSK-100 in Appendix A, MWG plans to install the remote SSC within South Ash Pond 3. MWG primarily selected this site because of its proximity to the existing Station's existing ash-handling infrastructure, specifically the ash sluice piping and concrete overflow trough, which ultimately directs wastewater to the Station's existing recirculation equipment in the Recycle Pump Station. Accordingly, this site provides the fastest means of installing the mechanical and electrical infrastructure required to tie the SSC into the Station's existing bottom ash-handling system. Only about 300 feet of new ash sluice piping will be required to tie into the ash sluice piping at the outside piping valve station east of South Ash Pond 3 to redirect Will County's CCR wastestreams to the SSC. Locating the SSC adjacent to the concrete overflow trough will also keep effluent piping from the SSC and ancillary equipment to a minimum, and the effluent can be pumped back to the Station in accordance with existing plant operations (*i.e.*, via the Recycle Pump Station). Finally, power and service water and air piping for the SSC can likely be provided from the Recycle Pump Station, which provides power for the recirculation pumps back to the plant (see drawing WC-CSK-001).

In order to construct the SSC within the existing South Ash Pond 3, MWG must first repurpose this area for this use by clean closing the area in accordance with the Proposed Illinois CCR Rule. MWG plans to clean close South Ash Pond 3 by removing the CCR and any impacted soils from the pond in accordance with the proposed 35 Ill. Adm. Code 845.740. As previously stated, Will County has already taken South Ash Pond 3 out of service for routine cleaning. Consistent with the Station's current ash-handling operations, the Station will draw down the water level in the pond, and then Will County's Ash Management Contractor will begin dewatering and removing the ash therein. Ash will be removed down to the top of the existing liner, loaded onto trucks, and ultimately transported offsite to a beneficial-use or permitted-disposal facility.

Pursuant to the Proposed Illinois CCR Rule, South Ash Pond 3's existing liner will also be removed. Prior to removing the liner, however, MWG will submit a closure construction permit application to the Illinois EPA pursuant to the proposed 35 III. Adm. Code 845.220. After receiving a final permit from the agency, the contractor hired to execute the pond closure work will mobilize to the site and start excavating and/or dredging the existing liner materials and any underlying soils impacted by CCR. All materials removed from the basin will be transported offsite in accordance with the requirements stipulated in the proposed 35 III. Adm. Code 845.740(b)(1). Finally, after South Ash Pond 3 has been certified as closed in accordance with the proposed 35 III. Adm. Code 845.740(e), the contractor will begin placing structural fill to support the remote SSC, its ancillary equipment, and its enclosure. The structural fill will be sloped to promote positive drainage into the existing concrete overflow trough. Once the structural fill for the SSC has been placed, the contractor will then proceed with installing its foundation and then the equipment itself.

1.4.1.3 SSC COMPONENTS

MWG will purchase the remote SSC from a vendor who specializes in designing, furnishing, manufacturing, delivering, and installing this type of ash-handling system. MWG has already engaged in high-level conceptual design discussions with potential SSC vendors for budgetary purposes and, after considering multiple available options for this type of system, has elected to install a remote SSC that is prefabricated and a modularized design. Consequently, the lead time for installing this type of SSC is significantly less than that for a more traditional SSC.

The remote SSC will be tied into Will County's bottom ash-handling system by extending new ash sluice piping to the existing ash sluice lines from the outside piping valve station adjacent to South Ash Pond 3. Effluent from the SSC system will drain via gravity to the existing concrete overflow trough like the effluent from the existing ash pond. Given the presence of economizer ash particles in Will County's ash sluice water, the SSC will be outfitted with lamella plates at its rear to further reduce the TSS in the sluice water. To improve the level of TSS removed from the sluice water prior to being conveyed to the Recycle Pump Station, MWG also intends to install a mobile clarifier downstream of the SSC. Finally, flocculant, coagulant, and caustic may be injected into the SSC to further reduce the TSS levels in the ash sluice water as necessary to reach levels conducive to the existing recycle pumps in the Recycle Pump Station.

Ash that settles out of the sluice water in the SSC will be collected in a hopper and subsequently conveyed up an inclined ramp. When the ash reaches the top of the ramp at the head of the SSC, it will be discharged into a temporary ash storage pile within the SSC enclosure's ash dewatering bunker. Water that drains from the ash pile will be collected by a trench network and sump pit, which will subsequently pump collected water to the existing concrete overflow trough. Once the ash is dewatered enough to handle, it will be recovered from the bunker using front-end loaders or similar earthwork equipment and transferred onto haul trucks which will transport the ash to a permitted disposal or beneficial use facility offsite. The dewatering bunker will be sized to provide several days' worth of ash storage based on the Station's anticipated ash make rate.

1.4.1.4 BALANCE OF PLANT COMPONENTS

The SSC will be installed within an enclosed structure to prevent fugitive dust emissions from the temporary ash pile in the dewatering bunker and protect the SSC from detrimental weather conditions (*e.g.*, severe cold during winter). Per drawing WC-CSK-101 in Appendix A, the building will be divided into three areas: the process area, the ash dewatering bunker, and the truck loading area. Except for the end of its inclined ramp, the SSC will be located in the processing area which will also include the mechanical and electrical equipment ancillary to the SSC's operation. This equipment will include service air and water piping and a power distribution center (PDC). The latter will house the motor control centers (MCCs) and switchgear required to operate the remote SSC. Power is expected to be fed from the existing Recycle Pump Station that powers the return pumps that recirculate water back to the Station. A transformer will be installed

adjacent to the PDC to step the voltage down as necessary. Finally, MWG intends to construct a building large enough to support a second remote SSC that would provide redundancy in case one SSC breaks down unexpectedly which would cause an unplanned outage at the Station.

As previously discussed, temporary storage of the dewatered ash deposited by the SSC will be contained within the SSC enclosure's ash dewatering bunker. In accordance with the proposed 35 III. Adm. Code 845.120, concrete pushwalls will be installed along the perimeter of this area to contain the CCR material as its handled by the equipment loading it into trucks to be hauled offsite. The walls will be sufficiently designed to resist the impact forces from the equipment operating in this area (*e.g.*, front-end loader), and appropriate measures will be taken to ensure the walls are sufficiently durable to withstand repeated occurrences of these impact forces. Finally, the concrete floors in the bunker area will be sloped away from the temporary ash storage pile towards a runoff collection trench to ensure the CCR-impacted water is contained within the enclosure. This water will be ultimately collected by a sump and drain to the existing concrete overflow trough at the western end of South Ash Pond 3 to then be sent to the Recycle Pump Station.

Currently, MWG intends to erect a fabric enclosure over the remote SSC, dewatering bunker, and truck loading area. MWG would procure a fabric enclosure from a vendor specializing in these types of structures. This fabric enclosure would be supported by an internal metal roof truss spanning between and supported by the external concrete walls of the SSC enclosure.

Finally, MWG plans to repave the existing gravel roads at the ash pond area with asphalt so that the roads can withstand the increased truck traffic to this area, especially during the winter. Trucks hauling the dewatered ash offsite will receive the material within a delineated area adjacent to the SSC enclosure's dewatering bunker. The pavement in this area will be sloped towards the storage area's collection trench to ensure any CCR-impacted runoff is contained. Appropriate fugitive dust control measures will be implemented during the loading process, including the use of water sprays or similar dust suppressants.

1.4.2 LOW VOLUME WASTE BASIN

Concurrent with the closure of South Ash Pond 3, MWG will repurpose the empty, unused stormwater runoff pond south of South Ash Pond 3 as the Station's new Low Volume Waste Basin to handle the non-CCR wastestreams currently being sent to South Ash Pond 2. As shown on drawing WC-CSK-102 in Appendix A, this new pond will provide approximately 2.4 acres of storage area for non-CCR wastestreams produced by the Station (*i.e.*, approximately the same area as South Ash Pond 3 per Table 1). MWG plans to line the pond with a geomembrane liner.

To construct the Station's new Low Volume Waste Basin, a contractor will first clear and grub the unused stormwater runoff pond site to remove any existing vegetation within the pond's footprint. The contractor will then regrade the basin floor and side slopes as necessary to restore it to a relatively smooth and stable

surface after the vegetation has been removed. Any necessary subgrade improvements will be performed at this time, including but not limited to compaction. After the basin floor and side slopes have been regraded, the contractor will finally line the basin with geomembrane panels.

To convey non-CCR wastestreams to this new Low Volume Waste Basin, MWG will tie into the existing lowvolume waste piping near the outside piping valve station with new piping that will extend to the new basin's inlet at its northeast corner. An outlet structure with a sump pump will be installed at the basin's northwest corner to convey basin effluent to the existing concrete overflow trough at the western edge of South Ash Pond 3. Consistent with current plant operations, this effluent will then be sent to the Recycle Pump Station and ultimately recirculated back into Station operations or blown down to the Station's clarifiers for additional treatment before being discharged to the Chicago Sanitary and Ship Canal.

1.5 EXPLANATION & JUSTIFICATION OF TIME REQUESTED

Per the visual timeline representation and narrative discussion of the project schedule presented in Sections 2.0 and 3.0, respectively, MWG is requesting the EPA allow South Ash Pond 2 to continue operating until construction of the multiple technology solution discussed in the previous section is completed, which is currently expected to be July 27, 2023. During this period, the following CCR and non-CCR wastestreams would be placed into South Ash Pond 2 since they do not currently have alternative disposal options available at Will County or offsite:

- Unit 4 ash sluice water (until July 27, 2023),
- Unit 4 slag tank overflow water (until April 28, 2023),
- South Area Runoff Basin overflow water (until April 28, 2023), and
- Recycled sludge from the Station's clarifiers (until April 28, 2023).

MWG is requesting this additional time to continue operating South Ash Pond 3 because it is technically infeasible to clean close South Ash Pond 3 and repurpose it to support installation of a remote SSC prior to April 11, 2021. It is also technically infeasible to repurpose the empty, unused stormwater runoff pond south of South Ash Pond 3 into a new Low Volume Waste Basin prior to April 11, 2021. This is primarily due to the ongoing Illinois rulemaking for regulating CCR surface impoundments. A detailed explanation and justification for the time required to clean close South Ash Pond 3, install the remote SSC, and construct the Low Volume Waste Basin are provided in the narrative of the project schedule in Section 3.0.

Finally, pursuant to the recently-revised alternative closure requirements in the EPA CCR Rule, MWG also evaluated whether temporary storage could be provided for the preceding CCR and non-CCR wastestreams that will be sent to South Ash Pond 2 until the remote SSC and Low Volume Waste Basin are operational. This evaluation is summarized in Section 1.5.3.

1.5.1 DEVELOPMENT & EVALUATION OF ALTERNATIVE DISPOSAL METHODS

The analysis of alternative disposal capacity options to replace Will County's South Ash Ponds 2 and 3 presented in Section 1.4 is the result of several years' worth of evaluations and studies performed by MWG. In the summer of 2015, shortly after the EPA finalized its new CCR Rule, MWG initiated a study of potential alternative bottom ash disposal options to replace the existing South Ash Ponds 2 and 3 in case they were determined to violate the Rule's groundwater protection standards or uppermost aquifer location restriction and therefore be subject to the closure-for-cause provisions in 40 CFR 257.101. Following the 2015 update to the EPA ELG Rule, MWG expanded the scope of this study to evaluate multiple technology solutions that would provide compliance with both the EPA CCR and ELG Rules for the CCR and non-CCR wastestreams currently managed in South Ash Ponds 2 and 3. Although there was no regulatory driver to replace South Ash Ponds 2 and 3 at the time as neither pond required corrective measures be implemented to remedy statistically significant exceedances of groundwater protection standards, MWG continued to evaluate and refine the conceptual designs for the multiple technology solutions proposed in this study through 2016 and 2017.

As shown in the visual timeline representation of the project schedule in Section 2.0, and as previously stated in Section 1.3, MWG commenced detailed assessments of the different alternative disposal methods for the CCR wastestreams at its Will County, Powerton, and Waukegan facilities shortly after the August 2018 *USWAG* decision since the active CCR surface impoundments at these three facilities were all determined to be non-compliant with the EPA CCR Rule's liner design criteria. These assessments expanded the studies performed between 2015 and 2017 and evaluated each option's technical feasibility and implementation requirements (*e.g.*, schedule and physical space). During this planning phase, MWG also prepared budgetary cost estimates and high-level implementation schedules for each option to determine forthcoming capital expenditures and asset retirement obligations.

1.5.2 ILLINOIS EPA RULEMAKING & PERMITTING

While MWG was refining its conceptual designs for developing alternative bottom ash disposal capacity at Will County, Illinois Senate Bill 9 was introduced in the Illinois Senate, which sought to establish state-specific regulations for constructing, operating, and closing CCR surface impoundments at Illinois power plants. Illinois Senate Bill 9 was first introduced in the Illinois Senate in early January 2019 (Ref. 14) and ultimately passed by the Illinois General Assembly on May 27, 2019. On July 30, 2019, the governor signed the bill into law as Illinois Public Act 101-0171. A primary purpose of the Act was to authorize and instruct the Illinois EPA to propose rules regulating the construction, operation, and closure of CCR surface impoundments at Illinois power plants (Ref. 3, § 22.59(g)). Moreover, § 22.59(b)(2) of the Act prohibits the construction, installation, modification, operation, or closure of any CCR surface impoundment without a permit issued by the Illinois EPA. Thus, MWG cannot implement the multiple technology solution selected to

replace South Ash Ponds 2 and 3 at Will County or, in fact, any solution involving the construction of a new CCR surface impoundment and/or retrofit or closure of Will County's existing CCR surface impoundments until a Final Illinois CCR Rule is adopted by the Illinois Pollution Control Board and the Illinois EPA issues the appropriate construction permits.

As discussed in Section 1.1.2.3.1, the Illinois EPA published its draft regulations for CCR surface impoundments in December of 2019 for public comment. At this time, MWG reviewed the draft regulations and updated its 2018-2019 evaluation of alternative bottom ash disposal options for Will County based on the Illinois EPA's draft regulations. MWG performed a similar update after the Illinois EPA finalized its draft regulations and submitted the Proposed Illinois CCR Rule to the Illinois Pollution Control Board on March 30, 2020. Per § 22.59(g) of Illinois Public Act 101-0171, the Illinois Pollution Control Board has one year to adopt the Final Illinois CCR Rule into 35 Ill. Adm. Code. This timeline would establish a Final Illinois CCR Rule and corresponding permit program by the end of March 2021.

As previously discussed in Section 1.4.1.1, two other potential sites were evaluated within the Station's property in addition to South Ash Pond 3 to install the SSC and its ancillary equipment. Ultimately, MWG concluded that the South Ash Pond 3 site was the only location where it would be technically feasible to install a remote SSC. However, given the statutory limitations on constructing, modifying, and closing CCR surface impoundments at Illinois power plants and the ongoing rulemaking and development of the Illinois EPA's permitting program, MWG is unable to initiate the work required to repurpose South Ash Ponds 2 and 3 to support the multiple technology solution selected to replace these non-compliant ash ponds.

Because a Final Illinois CCR Rule and corresponding permit program is not expected until the end of March 2021, it is technically infeasible for MWG to implement this solution – or any solution involving the modification of South Ash Ponds 2 and 3 and/or construction of a new CCR surface impoundment – by April 11, 2021. Further, MWG is unable to complete final engineering and initiate any procurement activity until the Final Illinois CCR Rule is published, the Illinois EPA's requirements are known, and planning is approved by the Illinois EPA within the agency's permit process. However, as previously discussed, planning components of the multiple technology solution that could be initiated without a Final Illinois CCR Rule are indeed ongoing. Accordingly, MWG has developed a plan for implementing the option selected that minimizes the schedule impacts of the Illinois EPA's current rulemaking and future permitting processes, thereby providing alternative disposal capacity for the CCR and non-CCR wastestreams currently being sent to South Ash Pond 2 as soon as technically feasible. This plan is illustrated in the visual representation of the project schedule and corresponding narrative discussion in Sections 2.0 and 3.0, respectively.

Although construction of the new Low Volume Waste Basin proposed for the Station is not contingent on modifying South Ash Pond 2 or 3, MWG cannot construct this new basin without an NPDES construction permit and a renewal of its existing NDPES permit since the handling and treatment of the subject non-CCR

wastestreams will be altered. MWG cannot currently modify Will County's existing NPDES permit because, as discussed in Section 1.1.2.3.3, the Station's current permit expired in April 2019 and is administratively extended by MWG's timely permit renewal application that was submitted in October 2018. To date, MWG has not received a draft NPDES permit renewal from the Illinois EPA. Based on recent experience in obtaining NPDES construction and renewal permits from the Illinois EPA, MWG anticipates an NPDES construction permit and the NPDES renewal permit would take approximately six and 18 months, respectively, given the time required for the agency to perform an initial review, accept public comments, review public comments, and draft the permits, not to mention the agency's current focus on establishing a CCR permit program. Thus, it is technically infeasible to construct the new Low Volume Waste Basin by April 11, 2021.

1.5.3 TEMPORARY ON-SITE DISPOSAL OF WASTESTREAMS

MWG considered two temporary disposal solutions for the CCR and non-CCR wastestreams that will continue to be sent to South Ash Pond 2 until the remote SSC and Low Volume Waste Basin are operational on July 27, 2023 and April 28, 2023, respectively: tanks and water treatment trailers.

1.5.3.1 STORAGE TANKS

Based on MWG's current forecast of obtaining permanent alternative disposal capacity to replace South Ash Pond 2, enough tanks would need to be procured and installed at the site to provide approximately 32 months' worth of storage for the CCR wastestreams produced by the plant. Similarly, the station would need to install enough tanks to provide about 28 months' worth of storage for the non-CCR wastewater produced by the plant that is currently being sent to South Ash Pond 2. Given the average daily inflows of 0.9 and 0.32 MGD of CCR and non-CCR wastewater currently going into South Ash Pond 2 (see Table 2), these temporary tanks would need to provide almost 1.2 billion gallons' worth of storage.

A temporary solution to store the CCR and non-CCR wastestreams currently going into South Ash Pond 2 would be to install a network of large modular tanks on the Station's available property. The largest modular tank identified during MWG's review of tanks available on the market for temporary wastewater storage was a 1.7-million-gallon tank (Ref. 15). The effluent from Will County's South Area Runoff Basin, which is contact stormwater runoff from the southern portion of the Station's property, is the smallest wastestream (based on flow) currently managed by South Ash Pond 2 (0.02 MGD per Table 2). For this wastestream, approximately 12 of these modular tanks would need to be installed to provide adequate storage for just this wastestream. Approximately 11 acres of land would need to be identified at the Will County site to support this many tanks (each tank occupies approximately 0.90 of an acre).

Will County's property consists of approximately 150 acres of land which has been predominately developed to support the Station's operations. Of these 150 acres, the only generally open area large enough to support
a network of large, modular tanks would be east of the South Area Runoff Basin. However, to provide sufficient space for 12 modular tanks, which is only enough storage for the South Area Runoff Basin overflow, MWG would need to clear three or more acres of trees and other woody vegetation. There are also several transmission towers in this area that would restrict the sites for these modular tanks. Even if a network of modular tanks could be installed around the transmission towers, MWG does not consider the elimination of several acres of trees and woody vegetation for a temporary solution to be environmentally conscious, especially since doing so would only provide enough storage capacity for one non-CCR wastestream currently going into South Ash Pond 2.

Based on the preceding evaluation, modular tanks are not a technically feasible solution for this wastestream or the other CCR and non-CCR wastestreams currently being sent to South Ash Pond 2.

1.5.3.2 WASTEWATER TREATMENT TRAILERS

While it is technically infeasible to use tanks to temporarily store and/or treat the large CCR and non-CCR flows currently going into South Ash Pond 2, wastewater treatment trailers from a vendor that specializes in such technology could provide a temporary solution for these wastestreams. These trailers can remove TSS, oil, and grease from and neutralize the pH of the CCR and non-CCR wastestreams currently going into South Ash Pond 2 (all of which are required under Will County's existing NPDES permit), among other treatment capabilities. These trailers can also remove heavy metals from the CCR wastestreams. The amount of wastewater a trailer can treat is dependent on the water chemistry, but 1 MGD is generally achievable.

Per Table 2, approximately 1.2 MGD of CCR and non-CCR wastestreams are currently being managed by South Ash Pond 2. Therefore, it would take about two wastewater treatment trailers to handle and treat the wastestreams currently going into South Ash Pond 2. While it would be feasible to find space on the plant site for two trailers, the implementation of this temporary system would require time to perform the engineering and design of piping to and from the trailers, obtain an NPDES construction permit, and installation of the system itself. Moreover, it should be recognized that there is a limited number of these wastewater treatment trailers available on the market, which is an important consideration given the number of power plants that may need to implement temporary treatment solutions to comply with the alternative closure standards in the EPA CCR Rule.

Assuming Will County is able to procure and find space for two wastewater treatment trailers, it would take a similar timeframe to implement this temporary solution as it would to divert the non-CCR wastestreams from South Ash Pond 2 to the Station's clarifiers (2.5 years). Based on the discussion in Section 1.3.1.2.2 about utilizing the existing Station clarifiers for the subject non-CCR wastestreams, MWG does not consider wastewater treatment trailers to be an appropriate alternative solution for the wastestreams currently being

sent to South Ash Pond 2 because (1) the permanent alternative disposal capacity solution system proposed herein will be operational within a similar timeframe, and (2) the Illinois EPA will likely prioritize the closure construction permit applications for South Ash Ponds 2 and 3 incorporated into the modified bottom ash treatment system proposed herein over the permit applications required to construct a temporary treatment system.

2.0 PROJECT SCHEDULE: VISUAL TIMELINE

This section presents a visual timeline representation of MWG's schedule for installing a remote SSC and its ancillary equipment and constructing a new Low Volume Waste Basin. Pursuant to 40 CFR 257.103(f)(iv)(1)(A)(2), the following visual timeline representation of the project schedule shows:

- How each phase and the steps within that phase interact with or are dependent on each other and the other phases,
- All of the steps and phases that can be completed concurrently, and
- The total time needed to install a remote SSC and its ancillary equipment and to construct a new Low Volume Waste Basin.

As shown in its visual timeline representation, the project schedule is divided into the following phases:

- Plant Operations,
- Permitting,
- Engineering & Design,
- Contractor Selection,
- Equipment Fabrication & Delivery,
- Construction, and
- Start-Up & Implementation.

See Section 3.0 for the corresponding narrative discussion of the project schedule.

Midwest Genera Will County Gen	tion, LLC erating Station - Remote SSC		Enginee	ring, P	rocu	iremer	nt and Co	onstruct	tion Sche	dule			
ctivity ID	Activity Name	Dur Start	Finish	%	2020			2	001			2022	
				Ju	in Jul A	S Oct 1	N D Jan F M	/ar Apr M Jur	Jul Aug S Oct	N Dec Jan	F Mar Apr N	V Jun Ju	l Aug
Will County Ge	enerating Station - Remote SSC												
Plant Operatio	ns								~ ~	Plant Operation:	3		
South Ash Pon	3 Water & Ash Removal (by Existing Ash Management Co	ontractor)							<u>+ + + + +</u> +	South Ash Porid	3 Water & Ast	n Remova	(by E
1.1.1	Take South Ash Pond 3 Out of Service for Cleaning	0 02-Oct-20	A 02-Oct-20 A	100%									
1.1.2	Draw Down Water & Dewater Impounded Ash	215 05-Oct-20	A 30-Jul-21	0%									
1.1.3	Excavate Ash & Transport to Offsite Facility	55 02-Aug-21	15-Oct-21	0%									
Permitting				-									
Illinois Pollutio	n Control Board			_				📕 Illinois Pollu	tion Control Board				
Illinois CCR Ru	Ilemaking							🔫 Illinois CCF	Rulemaking				
2.1.1.1	Illinois EPA Submits Proposed Illinois CCR Rule to IPCB	0 30-Mar-20	A 30-Mar-20 A	100%									
2.1.1.2	Prepare & Submit Pre-Filed Questions to Illinois EPA	60 31-Mar-20	A 23-Jun-20 A	100%	4			++					
2.1.1.3	Prepare & Submit Pre-Filed Testimonies to IPCB	46 24-Jun-20	A 27-Aug-20 A	100% 🕨	-								
2.1.1.4	Participate in First IPCB Hearing on Proposed Illinois CCR Rule	2 11-Aug-20	A 13-Aug-20 A	100%									
2.1.1.5	Participate in Second IPCB Hearing on Proposed Illinois CCR Rule	2 29-Sep-20	A 01-Oct-20 A	100%									
2.1.1.6	IPCB Closes Record on Proposed Illinois CCR Rulemaking	1 06-Nov-20	A 06-Nov-20 A	100%									
2.1.1.7	IPCB Adopts CCR Regulations into Title 35 of Illinois Admin. Code	1 30-Mar-21	30-Mar-21	0%				-					
Illinois Environ	mental Protection Agency							V		_		_	
South Ash Por	d 2 & South Ash Pond 3 Closure Construction Permits												
2.2.1.01	Illinois EPA Publishes Permit Application Forms	1 30-Mar-21	30-Mar-21	0%				-					
2.2.1.02	Determine Closure Category Designation (845.700(c))	21 31-Mar-21	28-Apr-21	0%				-					
2.2.1.03	Submit Closure Category Designation (845.700(c))	1 29-Apr-21	29-Apr-21	0%		-++							
2.2.1.04	Pre-Application Meeting with Illinois EPA	5 30-Apr-21	06-May-21	0%				-					
2.2.1.05	Perform Closure Alternatives Analysis (845.710(b))	118 31-Mar-21	10-Sep-21	0%									
2.2.1.06	Schedule & Hold Public Meetings (845.710(e) & 845.240(a))	30 13-Sep-21	22-Oct-21	0%									
2.2.1.07	Finalize Closure Plan (845.710(f) & 845.720(b))	21 25-Oct-21	22-Nov-21	0%					-				
2.2.1.08	Submit Closure Construction Permit Applications	1 22-Nov-21	22-Nov-21	0%									
2.2.1.09	Illinois EPA Reviews Permit Application	108 23-Nov-21	21-Apr-22	0%									
2.2.1.10	Illinois EPA Issues Draft Permit (845.250)	1 22-Apr-22	22-Apr-22	0%									
2.2.1.11	Illinois EPA Publishes Public Notice of Draft Permit (845.260(b))	5 09-May-22	2 13-May-22	0%							- i 🖌 🛃		
2.2.1.12	Illinois EPA Accepts Public Comments (845.260(c))	23 16-May-22	2 15-Jun-22	0%								–	
2.2.1.13	Illinois EPA Issues Notice of Public Hearing (845.260(e))	10 16-Jun-22	29-Jun-22	0%									
2.2.1.14	Illinois EPA Holds Public Hearing (845.260(d))	10 18-Jul-22	29-Jul-22	0%									<u> -</u>
2.2.1.15	Illinois EPA Reviews Public Comments (845.270(a))	62 01-Aug-22	25-Oct-22	0%									
2.2.1.16	Illinois EPA Issues Final Permit (845.270(b))	1 26-Oct-22	26-Oct-22	0%									
Will County NP	DES Permit Modification								· · · · · ·				
2.2.2.01	Pre-Application Meeting with Illinois EPA	5 30-Apr-21	06-May-21	0%				└►□					
2.2.2.02	Prepare & Submit Permit Application	169 31-Mar-21	22-Nov-21	0%									
2.2.2.03	Illinois EPA Reviews Permit Application	108 23-Nov-21	21-Apr-22	0%									
2.2.2.04	Illinois EPA Issues Draft Permit	1 22-Apr-22	22-Apr-22	0%									
2.2.2.05	Illinois EPA Publishes Public Notice of Draft Permit	5 09-May-22	2 13-May-22	0%									
2.2.2.06	Illinois EPA Accepts Public Comments	23 16-May-22	2 15-Jun-22	0%									
2.2.2.07	Illinois EPA issues Notice of Public Hearing	10 16-Jun-22	29-Jun-22	0%									_
2.2.2.08	Illinois EPA Holds Public Hearing	10 18-Jul-22	29-Jui-22	0%									
2.2.2.09	Illinois EPA Reviews Fublic Comments	02 01-Aug-22	25-00-22	0%									1
2.2.2.10		1 20-00-22	20-001-22	0%		· - +							
Engineering &	Design												
General								General					
Alternative Dis	posal Capacity Evaluation					 Alternative 	Disposal Capacit	y Evaluation					
3.1.1.1	Develop & Evaluate Alternative Disposal Capacity Solutions	507 19-Sep-18	A 28-Aug-20 A	100%									
Demonstration	for a Site-Specific Alternative Deadline to Initiate Closure							Demonstra	tion for a Site-Speci	ic Alternative De	eadline to Initia	te Closure	
3.1.2.1	Prepare & Review	242 26-Dec-19	A 27-Nov-20 A	100%		· · ·							
3.1.2.2	Submit to U.S. EPA	1 30-Nov-20	30-Nov-20	0%									
3.1.2.3	U.S. EPA Reviews Demonstration	51 01-Dec-20	09-Feb-21	0%									
		ilaatana mara						TAOV					
			Summal y			Ра	ye i 015	IASK	mer. An Activities	,			
Remaining	WORK Gurrent Milestone WBS Su	minary Act						Datab	ase Location: FP ⁻	04>WCGS	Layout:Wil	I County	WBS



												_												
2											20	23												
ul	Aug	S	Oct	N	Dec	Jan	F	Mar	Apr	M	Jun	Jul	A	S	Oct	N Gene	Dec	Jan n Sta	F	Mar - Re	Apr			
			 		 				, , ,				vvi	1000	, iiiy v		;	y Sic		- 110	inote			
al (by E	xistin	g Asl	h Ma	nage	men	t Co	ntrac	tor)															
						1 1 1 1																		
				Per	mittir	่ำต																		
			•	1 01					 															
			 					1	, , ,															
					 				, , ,															
										+						; ;								
				Illin	nie F	hvire	nme	ntel	Prot	ection	Δac	nev				 								
				Soi	uth A	sh P	ond :	2& S	outh	Ash	Pon	d 3 C	losu	re Cr	onstr	uctio	n Per	mits						
						· · ·			1 1 1 1															
					, , , ,																			
					1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1			1 1 1 1															
			 		 	1 1 1 1	1 1 1	 	1 1 1 1							1 1 1 1								
			1						1															
			, , , ,					, , , ,	1															
						 				+														
					1				, , ,															
•																								
				\ \ /;i	Cou	int (חסו		hrmi	t Mod	lifico	tion												
				v v II					 	. IVIO(unicd													
								1																
					, , , ,			1 1 1 1																
			🗸 Er	ngine	ering	8 D	lesig	n																
			1		1		1	1	1							1								
			- - - -						- - - -															
e			- - - -		- - - -																			
									 !															
			 		, , ,																			
			1		1		1	1	1	1						1								
	Date: 30-Nov-20																							

Midwest Gener Will County Ge	ration, LLC enerating Station - Remote SSC		I	Enginee	ring, l	Proc	urement and Con	struction Sch	edule	
tivity ID	Activity Name	Dur	Start	Finish	%				-	
					Comp	2020		2021 April M Hund Hull Augl S LO		2022
3.1.2.4	U.S. EPA Publishes Proposed Decision	1	10-Feb-21	10-Feb-21	0%					
3.1.2.5	Public Comment Period on U.S. EPA Proposed Decision	12	11-Feb-21	26-Feb-21	0%					
3.1.2.6	U.S. EPA Reviews Public Comments	21	01-Mar-21	29-Mar-21	0%					
3.1.2.7	U.S. EPA Publishes Final Decision	1	30-Mar-21	30-Mar-21	0%					
Update Budg	etary Cost Estimate						Update E	Budgetary Cost Estimate		
3131	Prepare & Beview	40	13-Nov-20 A	07-Jan-21	0%			ŶŶ		
3132		12	08-Jan-21	25-Jan-21	0%					
Machanical		12	00 0411 21	20 0411 21	070					
General Arran								General Arrangen	ients	
3.2.1.1	Prepare & Review	40	31-Mar-21	25-May-21	0%					
3.2.1.2	Issue for Use	10	26-May-21	08-Jun-21	0%					
Balance of Pl	ant P&IDs & PFDs							Balance	of Plant P&IDs & PFDs	
3.2.2.1	Prepare & Review	40	09-Jun-21	03-Aug-21	0%					
3.2.2.2	Issue for Use	10	04-Aug-21	17-Aug-21	0%					
Piping Desig	n								Piping Design	
3.2.3.1	Design Low-Volume Waste Basin Pipes & Size Return Pump	60	18-Aug-21	09-Nov-21	0%					
3.2.3.2	Design Bunker Sump Drain Pipe	60	18-Aug-21	09-Nov-21	0%					
3.2.3.3	Size SSC Water & Service Air Piping	60	18-Aug-21	09-Nov-21	0%					
SSC Enginee	ring (by SSC Vendor)									
3.2 4 1	Create General Arrangement Drawings for SSC Equipment	40	02-Jun-21	27-Jul-21	0%					
3242	Create B&IDs for SSC Equipment	40	28 101 21	21 Son 21	0%					
3.2.4.2	Design Structural Stool Supports	40	20-501-21	21-Sep-21	0 %					
3.2.4.3	Design Structural Steel Supports	40	20-Jul-21	21-Sep-21	0%	·			<u></u>	
3.2.4.4	Design New Ash Suice Piping to SSC	44	22-Sep-21	22-INOV-21	0%					
3.2.4.5	Design Programmable Logic Controller (PLC) for SSC	60	18-Apr-22	08-JUI-22	0%					
Chemical Inje	ection (by SSC Vendor)								Chemical Injection (c	by SSC Vendo
3.2.5.1	Sample & Analyze Ash Sluice Water	50	02-Jun-21	10-Aug-21	0%					
3.2.5.2	Design Chemical Injection	74	11-Aug-21	22-Nov-21	0%					
Civil / Structu	ral								Civil / Structural	
Subsurface Ir	nvestigation							Subsurface Inve	estigation	
3.3.1.1	Perform Subsurface Investigation	57	05-Apr-21	22-Jun-21	0%					
SSC Foundat	ion Design	/ /							SSC Foundation De	əsign
3.3.2.1	Design SSC Foundation - Geotechnical	45	23-Jun-21	24-Aua-21	0%					
3322	Design SSC Foundation - Structural	64	25-Aug-21	22-Nov-21	0%					
		0.	10 / Kig 1 :		070				Civil Sitework Desig	m
	Design Low Volume Waste Resin	55	22 Jun 21	07 Sop 21	0%					
3.3.3.1	Design Accesso Diode	55	23-Juli-21	07-Sep-21	0%					
3.3.3.2	Design Access Roads	54	08-Sep-21	22-IN0V-21	0%					
3.3.3.3		54	08-Sep-21	22-INOV-21	0%	·				
Electrical / Ins										
Electrical Des	sign									
3.4.1.1	Electrical Load List	50	09-Jun-21	17-Aug-21	0%					
3.4.1.2	Grounding Design	69	18-Aug-21	22-Nov-21	0%				📮	
3.4.1.3	Prepare Single Line Diagrams	61	23-Nov-21	15-Feb-22	0%					
3.4.1.4	Cable Design	61	23-Nov-21	15-Feb-22	0%					
3.4.1.5	Prepare Triple Line Diagrams	59	16-Feb-22	09-May-22	0%					🖷 i i i
3.4.1.6	Prepare Cable Tabulations & Master Schematic Diagrams	44	10-May-22	08-Jul-22	0%					4
Instrumentati	on & Control Design									
3.4.2.1	Design Wiring from SSC PLC to Recycle Pump Station	61	11-Jul-22	03-Oct-22	0%					
3.4.2.2	Design DCS Hardware Modifications	61	11-Jul-22	03-Oct-22	0%					
Contractor S	election			·						
Civil Contractor St										
Civil Contracto			05 4 55							
4.1.1	Prepare & Issue Technical Specification & Commerical Terms	74	25-Apr-22	04-Aug-22	0%					
4.1.2	BIG Period	30	05-Aug-22	15-Sep-22	0%					
4.1.3	Bid Evaluation	29	16-Sep-22	26-Oct-22	0%					
		1.8.411						TAO 16 (1)		
Hemainir Remainir	γorκ	al Milestone	▼▼ Su	mmary		l	Page 2 of 5	I ASK filter: All Activiti	es	



ual	5	Oct	N	Dec	lan	F	Mar	Anrl	м	20	23 Jul	Δ	5	Oct	N	Dec	lan	20 F	24 Mar	Anr
uy	0			Dec	Jan		IVIAI	Λpi	IVI	Jun	Jui		5			Dec	Jan		IVIAI	
cha	nica																			
													1							
													1 1 1							
													 !							
СĘ	ngin	eerin	ıg (b	y SS	C Ve	ndo r)													
													1							
		V E	ectric	al / I	nstru	men	tatio	h & C	Contr	ol										
ctri	cal D	esigi	ı																	
													1							
		▼ In	strun	nenta	tion	& Co	ontro	Des	sign											
}													¦ 							
	F					_	Cont	-		0.04	n									
						-	Cont	acto	n 26	ectio	П									
				Civ	l Coi	ntrac	tor													
f																				
_																				
																Da	ite: 3	30-N	lov-	20

Date: 30-Nov-20 Data Date: 30-Nov-20

Midwest Gene Will County Ge	eration, LLC enerating Station - Remote SSC		E	Enginee	ring,	Proc	uremen	t and C	Const	ructio	on Sc	hedu	le			
Activity ID	Activity Name	Dur	Start	Finish	%	0000		[0001					0	200
					Comp	Jun Jul	A S Oct N	D Jan F	Mar Apr	M Jun Ju	I Aug S	Oct N	Dec Jan	F Mar Ar	or M Jun	Jul
4.1.4	Contract Negotiation	20	27-Oct-22	23-Nov-22	0%											
4.1.5	Conform Technical Specification for Contract	20	27-Oct-22	23-Nov-22	0%											
4.1.6	Contract Award	1	24-Nov-22	24-Nov-22	0%											
Mechanical C	Contractor															
4.2.1	Prepare & Issue Technical Specification & Commerical Terms	50	01-Aug-22	07-Oct-22	0%											
4.2.2	Bid Period	30	10-Oct-22	18-Nov-22	0%											
4.2.3	Bid Evaluation	30	21-Nov-22	30-Dec-22	0%											
4.2.4	Contract Negotiation	20	02-Jan-23	27-Jan-23	0%											
4.2.5	Conform Technical Specification for Contract	20	02-Jan-23	27-Jan-23	0%											
4.2.6	Contract Award	1	30-Jan-23	30-Jan-23	0%											
Electrical Cor	ntractor															
4.3.1	Prepare & Issue Technical Specification & Commerical Terms	39	04-Oct-22	25-Nov-22	0%											
4.3.2	Bid Period	20	28-Nov-22	23-Dec-22	0%											
4.3.3	Bid Evaluation	20	26-Dec-22	20-Jan-23	0%											
4.3.4	Contract Negotiation	15	23-Jan-23	10-Feb-23	0%											
4.3.5	Conform Technical Specification for Contract	15	23-Jan-23	10-Feb-23	0%											
436	Contract Award	1	13-Feb-23	13-Feb-23	0%											
Equipment E		·]	1010020	101 00 20	070											
Equipment																
Remote Subm	nerged Scraper Conveyor									+						
SSC Vendor	Procurement									ssc	Vendor Pr	ocurement				
5.1.1.1	Prepare & Issue Technical Specification & Commercial Terms	40	26-Jan-21	22-Mar-21	0%											
5.1.1.2	Bid Period	20	23-Mar-21	19-Apr-21	0%											
5.1.1.3	Bid Evaluation	15	20-Apr-21	10-May-21	0%											
5.1.1.4	Contract Negotiation	15	11-May-21	31-May-21	0%											
5.1.1.5	Conform Technical Specification for Contract	15	11-May-21	31-May-21	0%				ب							E T
5.1.1.6	Contract Award	1	01-Jun-21	01-Jun-21	0%											
Material Proc	curement (by SSC Vendor)															
5.1.2.1	Material Order Received	1	08-Jul-22	08-Jul-22	0%										4	┝┥╼┘
5.1.2.2	Fabrication	190	19-Aug-22	11-May-23	0%											_
5.1.2.3	Delivery	20	12-May-23	08-Jun-23	0%											
Structural Fill	1															
5.2.1	Material Order	10	25-Nov-22	08-Dec-22	0%											
5.2.2	Delivery	24	10-Jan-23	10-Feb-23	0%											
Concrete Mat	erials															
531	Material Order	10	31-Jan-23	13-Eeb-23	0%									·		
532	Eabrication (Detailing Shop Drawing Approval)	60	14-Feb-23	08-May-23	0%											
533	Delivery	45	27-Mar-23	26-May-23	0%											
	e & Valvee	10	27 11121 20	20 May 20	070											
Punker Our																
			01 1 00	00 Esk 00	00/								·	·		÷
5.4.1.1		5	31-Jan-23	06-Feb-23	0%											
5.4.1.2	Fabrication	24	07-Feb-23	10-Mar-23	0%											
5.4.1.3	Delivery	15	13-Mar-23	31-Mar-23	0%											
Low-Volume	Waste Basin Piping & Pump															
5.4.2.1	Material Order	10	25-Nov-22	08-Dec-22	0%											
5.4.2.2	Fabrication	71	09-Dec-22	17-Mar-23	0%											
5.4.2.3	Delivery	15	20-Mar-23	07-Apr-23	0%											
SSC Water &	Service Air Piping															
5.4.3.1	Material Order	10	31-Jan-23	13-Feb-23	0%											
5.4.3.2	Fabrication	43	14-Feb-23	13-Apr-23	0%											
5.4.3.3	Delivery	15	14-Apr-23	04-May-23	0%											
Geomembran	e	· · · · · ·														
5.5.1	Material Order	10	25-Nov-22	08-Dec-22	0%											
5.5.2	Fabrication	71	09-Dec-22	17-Mar-23	0%											
		1				· ·	i	<u>k</u> i	i				i	i_	. i	<u>. i</u>
	Nork Critical Remaining Work 🔺 Actu	al Milestone	Sur	mmary			Doo	10 3 of 5	.	TASK filte	er All Act	ivities	-			
			• • Ou	, in the y			гау	je 5 01 5								
		o ourninal y AGL					1									

Current Milestone WBS Summary Act Database Location: FPT04>WCGS Layout:Will County WBS





will County Ge	enerating Station - Remote SSC																		
tivity ID	Activity Name	Dur	Start	Finish	% Comp	2020						2021							20
5.5.3	Delivery	10	20-Mar-23	31-Mar-23	0%	lun Jul A	SC	oct N	D Jan	F Mar A	or M J	un Jul A	lug S	S Oct	N De	ec Jan	F Ma	r Apr M	1 Jun
Fabric Enclos	sure																		
5.6.1	Material Order	23	31-Jan-23	02-Mar-23	0%														
5.6.2	Fabrication	60	03-Mar-23	25-May-23	0%														
5.6.3	Delivery	20	26-May-23	22-Jun-23	0%														
Electrical Equ	uipment, Cables, & Wires	ĮĮ	,																
5.7.1	Material Order	10	14-Feb-23	27-Feb-23	0%														
572	Fabrication	71	28-Feb-23	06-Jun-23	0%		1												
5.7.3	Delivery	31	04-May-23	15-Jun-23	0%														
Construction	n		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·																
Civil																			-
Civil Contractor M	fabilization						ļ												
Contractor M			05 NI 00	05 1 00	001														
6.1.1.1	Civil Work Contractor Mobilizes	30	25-Nov-22	05-Jan-23	0%														
South Ash P	vond 3 Closure																		
6.1.2.1	Excavate Existing Liner & CCR-Impacted Soils (if Any)	20	06-Jan-23	02-Feb-23	0%														
6.1.2.2	Decontaminate Pond Floor & Appurtenances	5	03-Feb-23	09-Feb-23	0%														
6.1.2.3	Certify Closure of South Ash Pond 3	1	10-Feb-23	10-Feb-23	0%														
SSC Area																			
6.1.3.1	Place & Compact Structural Fill in SSC Area	35	13-Feb-23	31-Mar-23	0%														
6.1.3.2	Install New Access Roads at SSC Area	19	29-May-23	22-Jun-23	0%														
Low Volume	Waste Basin																		
6.1.4.1	Clear & Grub Vegetation in Low Volume Waste Basin Area	10	06-Mar-23	17-Mar-23	0%														
6.1.4.2	Prepare Subgrade & Slopes in Low Volume Waste Basin Area	10	20-Mar-23	31-Mar-23	0%														Ì
6.1.4.3	Place Geomembrane Liner	10	03-Apr-23	14-Apr-23	0%														
6.1.4.4	Install New Effluent Piping to Low-Volume Waste Basin	5	10-Apr-23	14-Apr-23	0%														
6.1.4.5	Install New Return Piping / Pump to Concrete Overflow Trough	5	10-Apr-23	14-Apr-23	0%														
Mechanical /	Structural																		
Contractor M	l obilization																		
6.2.1.1	Mechanical Contractor Mobilizes	20	06-Mar-23	31-Mar-23	0%														
SSC Founda	ation																		
6221	Install Electrical Grounding	25	03-Apr-23	05-May-23	0%														
6222	Install Concrete Base Mat	25	03-Apr-23	05-May-23	0%														
6223	Install SSC Enclosure & Bunker Walls	25	24-Anr-23	26-May-23	0%														ł
		20		20 May 20	070														
6231	Install Structural Steel Supports for SSC	5	02- lun-23	08- lun-23	0%														
6232	Accomple Profesticated Sections of SSC	5	02-001-20	15 Jun 23	0 /6														
6233	Assemble Clarifier	1	15 Jun 22	15 Jun 23	0 /6		+											·	
6234	Install Chain Flights and Hydraulic Power Unit (HDU)	5	16-Jun-22	22lun-22	0%														
6235	Install Platforms Handrails and Ladders	5	23-Jun-22	29lun-23	0 %														
		5	20-0011-20	20°0011-20	0%														
SSC Pumps	a riping	10	10 Jun 00	02 Jun 02	0%														
6.2.4.1	Install Water & Service Air Dising to SSC	10	12-JUN-23	23-Juli-23	0%														
6.2.4.2	Install Water & Service Air Piping to SSC	44	16 lun 00	00-Jul-23	0%														
6.2.4.3	Install SSC Overliew Piping to Clariller	6	16 Jun 22	23-Jun-23	0%														
6.2.4.4	Install Clarifier Studes Dump & Dising to SSC	6	10-Jun-23	23-Jun-23	0%														
0.2.4.3		b	ro-juli-23	∠o-Jun-23	0%														
	Sure	· · - ·	00 1 55	00.11.00			+												
6.2.5.1		10	23-Jun-23	06-Jul-23	0%														
Electrical / In	strumentation & Control																		
Contractor M	lobilization																		
6.3.1.1	Electrical Contractor Mobilizes	20	07-Apr-23	04-May-23	0%														
Electrical Eq	uipment & Cable																		
6.3.2.1	Install Transformer	1	02-Jun-23	02-Jun-23	0%											!			
6.3.2.2	Install MCCs	10	02-Jun-23	15-Jun-23	0%														
							a					- I			4				



Midwest Generati Will County Gene	on, LLC rating Station - Remote SSC	Enginee	ring,	Proc	urement a	nd	Construction Sc	ched	lule				Sargent & Lun	dy			
Activity ID	Activity Name Dur Start Finish %											1		2024			
					Comp	Jun Jul		Jan	F MarlApri M Jun Jul Augi S		N Decidant E MarlApri M	2022 1 Jun Jul Aug S	Oct N Decu	an IF Ma	r April M Juni Juli	A S Oct N Dec	Jani F MarlAp
6.3.2.3	Install Cable from Recycle Pump Station to PDC	45	05-May-23	06-Jul-23	0%			1000.1									
6.3.2.4	Install Cable from PDC to SSC	15	16-Jun-23	06-Jul-23	0%												
DCS Modificatio	ns & Tie-In														DC	S Modifications & Tie-I	n
6.3.3.1	Install Wiring from SSC PLC to Recycle Pump Station	45	05-May-23	06-Jul-23	0%												
6.3.3.2	Install DCS Hardware Modifications	5	30-Jun-23	06-Jul-23	0%												
Start-Up & Impl	ementation															Start-Up & Implement	ation
Commissioning																Commissioning	
7.1.1	Commission Low-Volume Waste Basin	10	17-Apr-23	28-Apr-23	0%												
7.1.2	Commission SSC	15	07-Jul-23	27-Jul-23	0%												+

Actual Work	Critical Remaining Work	Actual Milestone	Page 5 of 5	TASK filter: All Activities	
Remaining Work	Current Milestone	WBS Summary Act		Database Location: FPT04>WCGS	Layout:Will County W

3.0 PROJECT SCHEDULE: NARRATIVE DISCUSSION

This section presents a narrative of the project steps and sequencing necessary to develop the alternative disposal capacity selected to replace South Ash Ponds 2 and 3. This narrative follows and supplements the visual timeline representation of the project schedule provided in Section 2.0.

Section 3.1 presents the steps MWG will take to install the remote SSC at Will County and its ancillary equipment and to construct the new Low Volume Waste Basin, and the general sequence in which these steps will occur. This workflow is based on the steps necessary to execute the project and is considered to be the fastest feasible timeline in which MWG can establish an EPA CCR Rule-compliant system at Will County for addressing the CCR and non-CCR wastestreams currently managed in South Ash Ponds 2 and 3. The subsequent sections discuss the steps that occur within each phase of the project (as shown in the visual timeline representation), including the tasks that occur during each of those steps.

See Section 4.0 for a narrative discussion of the progress MWG has made to date in developing this alternative disposal capacity for South Ash Ponds 2 and 3.

3.1 INSTALLATION ACTIVITIES & PROJECTED WORKFLOW

As currently designed, a new, EPA CCR Rule-compliant ash management system will be installed at Will County by executing the following sequence of activities:

- Cleaning South Ash Pond 3;
- Preparing and permitting the final closure plan for South Ash Pond 3;
- Procuring a vendor specializing in the design of SSCs to design, furnish, manufacture, and deliver a remote SSC to the Will County site;
- Designing the new Low Volume Waste Basin;
- Designing the balance-of-plant (BOP) components for the remote SSC;
- Procuring contractors to close South Ash Pond 3, install the remote SSC and its BOP components, and construct the new Low Volume Waste Basin;
- Closing South Ash Pond 3 and repurposing it for the remote SSC area, which will include:
 - o Removing the existing liner and excavating CCR-impacted soils (if any), and
 - o Certifying the pond's closure in accordance with the Illinois EPA closure construction permit;
- Installing the remote SSC and its BOP components, which will include:
 - Placing and compacting structural fill,
 - Placing concrete for the SSC foundation and ash dewatering bunker,
 - o Installing the remote SSC and clarifier;
 - o Install the SSC piping, electrical cables and equipment, instrumentation, and controls,
 - Erecting a fabric enclosure, and

- Constructing new access roads to and around the remote SSC area.
- Repurposing an empty, unused stormwater runoff pond as the new Low Volume Waste Basin, which will include:
 - o Clearing and grubbing vegetation,
 - o Regrading and stabilizing the pond floor and side slopes (as necessary),
 - Installing a geomembrane liner, and
 - o Installing effluent piping to and return piping from the pond area; and
- Commissioning the remote SSC and the new Low Volume Waste Basin.

3.2 PLANT OPERATIONS

Although South Ash Pond 3 cannot be closed until MWG receives a closure construction permit from the Illinois EPA, Will County can remove the ash currently stored in the pond in accordance with historical Station cleaning practices (see Section 1.1.2.1). This work will expedite the future closure of South Ash Pond 3. Once a closure construction permit is received, the only work left to clean close South Ash Pond 3 will be to remove the existing liner and to decontaminate the pond area and pond appurtenances.

Before any water or ash can be removed from South Ash Pond 3, Will County must first cease sending all CCR and non-CCR wastestreams to the pond. Indeed, the Station recently took South Ash Pond 3 out of service for routine cleaning. The Station will now draw down the water in South Ash Pond 3 and then dewater the ash currently stored therein.

Will County intends to remove the initial volume of free surface water from South Ash Pond 3 by natural means (*e.g.*, evaporation) and by allowing the water to drain towards the concrete overflow trough at the western end of South Ash Pond 3. Once the water level falls below the weir elevation, the Station's Ash Management Contractor will excavate sumps and trenches within the impounded material to promote additional drainage and dewatering. The contractor may also use portable pumps to remove additional water by pumping water over the weir into the trough. Finally, the contractor may utilize earthmoving equipment to move the ash within the pond to promote additional drainage and dewatering.

Once it has been dewatered enough to handle, the ash in South Ash Pond 3 will be dredged and removed from the pond, loaded onto trucks, and transported offsite to a beneficial-use or permitted disposal facility. Fugitive dust control measures (*e.g.*, water spray, dust suppressants) will be implemented to minimize airborne CCR particulates while the CCR is being handled.

Drawdown of the free surface water in South Ash Pond 3 is expected to continue through the winter of 2020 and into the summer of 2021. Will County's Ash Management Contractor is expected to mobilize to the site in the third quarter of 2021 and implement the necessary procedures to remove the remaining free water in the pond as well as to dewater the ash. It is currently anticipated that the contractor will start removing ash from

South Ash Pond 3 by late summer 2021. Based upon the size of South Ash Pond 3, it is expected that Will County's Ash Management Contractor can remove the CCR stored in the pond by mid-fall of 2021. Therefore, South Ash Pond 3 is currently scheduled to be emptied (*i.e.*, only small amounts of CCR and the liner remaining) by mid-October 2021.

It should be noted that the removal of ash in South Ash Pond 3 is not on the critical path of the overall project schedule so long as the ash is removed before the final closure work can start on South Ash Pond 3 (*i.e.*, Illinois EPA issues final permit and contractor mobilizes to the site). Given that contractor responsible for closing South Ash Pond 3 is not expected to be fully mobilized to the site until January 2023 after the appropriate permits have been issued, this work by Will County's Ash Management Contractor is expected to be completed more than a year in advance of the final closure activities for the pond. As previously stated, removing the water and ash currently stored in South Ash Pond 3 in 2021 will expedite the pond's final closure and subsequent installation of the remote SSC in 2023.

3.3 PERMITTING

MWG will need two permits from the Illinois EPA to implement the planned modifications to the bottom-ash handling operations at Will County. First, MWG will need construction permits under the forthcoming Final Illinois CCR Rule to close South Ash Pond 3 so that it can then be repurposed to support the remote SSC. Second, MWG will need to renew Will County's NPDES permit since the existing permit has expired and the current treatment methods are being modified for the Station's CCR wastestreams and some of its non-CCR wastestreams prior to being discharged to the Chicago Sanitary and Ship Canal via permitted Outfall 002. Since both permits will be issued by the Illinois EPA and are based on the same project, MWG intends to prepare both the CCR construction permit and NPDES permit renewal/modification applications concurrently and submit them at the same time. Imbedded in this strategy is MWG's hope that a renewed Will County NPDES permit can be obtained sooner than previous modifications, which have historically taken six to 12 months to receive after closure of the public comment period (*i.e.*, not including the Illinois EPA's initial review time or the time of the public comment period itself).

3.3.1 ILLINOIS CCR RULEMAKING

To better understand the Illinois EPA's intentions for regulating CCR surface impoundments at Illinois power plants, MWG has actively participated in the corresponding rulemaking process. After the Illinois EPA submitted its Proposed Illinois CCR Rule to the IPCB in late March 2020, stakeholders began preparing questions for the Illinois EPA to answer prior to the first IPCB hearing on the new rule in mid-August 2020. These questions were filed in late June 2020, and MWG received responses in early August 2020. MWG reviewed these responses and asked follow-up questions during the first IPCB hearing in which the Illinois EPA responded to questions from other stakeholders. As discussed later in Section 3.4.1, the Illinois EPA's

responses to MWG's and the other stakeholders' questions were used to finalize MWG's selection of alternative disposal capacity for Will County's South Ash Ponds 2 and 3.

In addition to asking the Illinois EPA questions on its Proposed Illinois CCR Rule, MWG also prepared expert testimonies on the proposed regulations and suggested changes. MWG started preparing these testimonies after submitting its pre-filed questions to the Illinois EPA with the IPCB in late June 2020. These testimonies were the focus of the second IPCB hearing in late September 2020 and were filed with the IPCB in late August 2020, one month prior to the hearing.

3.3.2 SOUTH ASH POND 2 & 3 CLOSURE CONSTRUCTION PERMITS

3.3.2.1 PERMIT APPLICATIONS

Prior to closing South Ash Ponds 2 and 3, MWG must first receive closure construction permits from the Illinois EPA to perform the work. Indeed, per Illinois Public Act 101-0171, MWG cannot "close any CCR surface impoundment without a permit granted by the [Illinois EPA]." Preparation of the closure construction permit applications for these two CCR surface impoundments is also contingent on when the Illinois EPA publishes the corresponding application form. Per the Illinois EPA's answers to pre-filed questions it received ahead of the August 2020 Illinois Pollution Control Board or "IPCB" hearings on the Proposed Illinois CCR Rule, the agency will be making "every effort to have CCR permit specific application forms available by March 31, 2021" (Ref. 16). Accordingly, MWG expects to start preparing the closure construction permit application form for closing South Ash Ponds 2 and 3 by the beginning of April 2021, which is also when MWG expects to start preparing the final written closure plan for South Ash Ponds 2 and 3 concurrently with the ponds' final written closure plans and the closure alternatives analysis.

Early in the permit application preparation process, MWG will seek to hold a pre-application meeting with the Illinois EPA to discuss the overall project, the preliminary closure method for South Ash Ponds 2 and 3, and the agency's requirements and expectations. This meeting will likely occur in early May 2021 after MWG has submitted the closure category designations for South Ash Ponds 2 and 3 and has performed some preliminary engineering and design work.

Although not required to develop alternative disposal capacity for South Ash Ponds 2 and 3, it is important to note that MWG will also need to prepare and submit operating permit applications for both ponds while simultaneously preparing the closure construction permit applications. Per the proposed 35 III. Adm. Code 845.230(d), MWG expects to have the initial operating permit applications for South Ash Ponds 2 and 3 completed and submitted to the Illinois EPA by September 30, 2021. Pursuant to the proposed 35 III. Adm. Code 845.230(d)(2), this application must contain, at a minimum:

- The ponds' histories of construction;
- An analysis of the chemical constituents found within the CCR and non-CCR wastestreams placed in both ponds (including all chemical additives and sorbent materials);
- Demonstrations that the ponds comply with the Proposed Illinois CCR Rule's location standards;
- Evidence that the permanent name markers for the ponds have been installed;
- Documentation that both ponds will be operated and maintained with a form of slope protection specified by the Proposed Illinois CCR Rule (*e.g.*, vegetative cover);
- Certifications of the ponds' Emergency Action Plans and fugitive dust control plans;
- Information on the ponds' groundwater monitoring program;
- Preliminary written closure plan;
- Initial written post-closure plan;
- Documentation on whether the ponds' liners comply with the proposed rule's liner design criteria; and
- Documentation of known groundwater protection standard exceedances and any corrective action taken.

In order to develop alternative disposal capacity for South Ash Ponds 2 and 3 as soon as technically feasible, MWG intends to prepare the closure construction and operating permit applications for both ponds at the same time once the Final Illinois CCR Rule is published. Accordingly, many of MWG's resources will be relied on to prepare both sets of applications for not only South Ash Ponds 2 and 3 but also for their CCR surface impoundments at Powerton, Waukegan, and Joliet. While many of the preceding documents are expected to be similar if not equivalent to the EPA CCR Rule compliance documentation already prepared for South Ash Ponds 2 and 3, some documents may require more information to comply with the Final Illinois CCR Rule's requirements relative to those of the EPA CCR Rule. In the case of the chemical constituent analysis, MWG will need to sample the wastestreams currently going into South Ash Ponds 2 and 3 and have each sample analyzed for its chemical constituents.

3.3.2.2 CLOSURE PRIORITIZATION CATEGORY

The first step in the closing South Ash Ponds 2 and 3 will be determining each pond's closure prioritization category pursuant to the proposed 35 III. Adm. Code 845.700(g). The closure prioritization categories range from Category 1 (highest priority) to Category 7 (lowest priority) and will ultimately influence the permitting timeframe for closing South Ash Pond 3. The Illinois EPA will prioritize issuing construction permits for Category 1 closures, then Category 2 closures, then Category 3 closures, and so forth. In accordance with the proposed 35 III. Adm. Code 845.700(c), MWG will assign and submit the closure category designations for South Ash Ponds 2 and 3 to the Illinois EPA within 30 days after the effective date of the Final Illinois

CCR Rule. Based on an effective rule date of March 30, 2021, MWG therefore expects to submit a closure category designation for South Ash Ponds 2 and 3 to the Illinois EPA by the end of April 2021.

As its name indicates, the closure prioritization category establishes the Illinois EPA's priority for reviewing and processing closure construction permit applications. Accordingly, pursuant to 845.700(h), owners or operators of CCR surface impoundments with the highest closure priorities (Categories 1 through 4) are required to submit a closure construction permit application to the Illinois EPA no later than January 1, 2022. Conversely, closure construction permit applications for Category 5 CCR surface impoundments are not due to the Illinois EPA until July 1, 2022. Finally, Category 6 and 7 CCR surface impoundments do not require a closure construction permit application be submitted to the Illinois EPA until July 1, 2023.

Because South Ash Ponds 2 and 3 are not compliant with the uppermost aquifer location restriction stipulated in the proposed 35 III. Adm. Code 845.300, MWG anticipates the Illinois EPA will consider South Ash Ponds 2 and 3 to both be Category 2 CCR surface impoundments pursuant to the proposed 35 III. Adm. Code 845.700(g)(1). Conversely, MWG expects the Illinois EPA to have a lower closure priority for the Ash Surge and Bypass Basins at the Powerton Generating Station in Pekin, Illinois given that those ash ponds are not located in an area of environmental justice concern, have not impacted a potable water supply, are in compliance with the safety factors and location restrictions promulgated by the Proposed Illinois CCR Rule, and have not caused an exceedance of groundwater protection standards (Category 7 per the proposed 35 III. Adm. Code 845.700(g)(1)).

3.3.2.3 CLOSURE ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS

Concurrent with determining the Illinois EPA closure prioritization categories for South Ash Ponds 2 and 3, MWG will also commence an analysis of closure alternatives for both ponds. As stipulated in the proposed 35 III. Adm. Code 845.710(b), this analysis – which is also required by Illinois Public Act 101-0171 to be in the Final Illinois CCR Rule – must be performed before MWG can formally select a method for closing South Ash Pond 3 and thus before MWG can finalize the written closure plan for the pond. Pursuant to the proposed 35 III. Adm. Code 845.710(c), MWG must evaluate the following criteria for each closure method considered in the analysis:

- Level of effectiveness and protectiveness in the short- and long-terms;
- Ability to control future releases to the environment;
- Degree of difficulty to implement the closure method; and
- Extent to which concerns of residents impacted by the closure method are addressed, including CCR handling, transportation, and final disposal.

In addition to the preceding criteria, MWG must also:

- Evaluate whether a landfill can be constructed at the Will County site to dispose of the CCR removed from South Ash Pond 2 and/or South Ash Pond 3,
- Prepare a Class 4 cost estimate per the Association for the Advancement of Cost Engineering's (AACE) classification standards,
- Perform groundwater contaminant transport modeling and corresponding calculations to demonstrate how each closure alternative will achieve compliance with the site's groundwater protection standards,
- Describe the fate and transport of contaminants in each closure method over time, and
- Evaluate each closure method's impact to waters in Illinois.

While the tasks required for the closure alternatives analysis can generally be performed concurrently, the overall analysis requires a thorough and exhaustive evaluation of potential methods for closing South Ash Ponds 2 and 3 and of the CCR contaminants therein. Moreover, MWG will also be preparing the written closure plans and the operating permit application forms (see Section 3.3.2.1) for both ponds concurrent with this closure alternatives analysis. Accordingly, this analysis is expected to take approximately five months to complete. Based on the IPCB publishing the Final Illinois CCR Rule by the end of March 2021, which will include the final requirements for the closure alternatives analysis, MWG plans to have the analysis completed and a preliminary closure method selected by mid-September 2021.

3.3.2.4 PUBLIC MEETINGS ON PROPOSED CLOSURE METHOD

Once MWG has completed the closure alternatives analysis required by the Proposed IL CCR Rule for South Ash Ponds 2 and 3 and has selected a preliminary closure method, MWG can then hold the public meetings with parties interested and/or affected by the ponds' future closures. Per the proposed 35 III. Adm. Code 845.240 and 845.710(e), MWG must hold at least two public meetings to discuss the proposed closure activities and the results from the closure alternatives analysis at least 30 days before submitting the corresponding closure construction permit application. It is anticipated that these meetings will take place approximately 45 days after MWG completes the closure alternatives analysis. To conduct a public meeting on the proposed closure method for Will County's ash ponds, MWG would first need to secure an accessible facility (14 days), then mail and post notices of the proposed project and meeting dates (10 days), and finally conduct the meetings (at least 14 days after anticipated last notice receipt date). This time is also necessary for MWG to adequately prepare for these meetings, which will include coordinating with their consultants and preparing presentation materials. Therefore, based on the closure alternatives analysis being completed by mid-September 2021, it is anticipated that MWG will hold these public meetings in mid- to late October 2021.

3.3.2.5 FINAL WRITTEN CLOSURE PLANS

After conducting the public meetings on the proposed method for closing South Ash Ponds 2 and 3, MWG will select a final closure method pursuant to the proposed 35. III. Adm. Code 845.710(f). This final closure method will be described in each pond's final written closure plan, which will include the results of MWG's alternatives closure analysis and will address comments received during the public meetings as necessary. Although most of the written closure plan can and will be prepared as MWG performs the closure alternatives analysis, it cannot be finalized until after the public meetings. Pursuant to the proposed 35 III. Adm. Code 845.240(a), MWG will submit the final written closure plans, closure alternatives analysis, and closure construction permit applications for South Ash Ponds 2 and 3 no sooner than 30 days after holding the last public meeting. During these 30 days, MWG will review public comments, finalize the written closure plans, and finish preparing the closure construction permit application forms (see Section 3.3 for permitting requirements). Therefore, MWG expects to have the final written closure plans for South Ash Ponds 2 and 3 prepared and ready to submit to the Illinois EPA by late November 2021, approximately six weeks in advance of the deadline for submitting closure construction permit applications for Category 2 CCR surface impoundments pursuant to the proposed 35 III. Adm. Code 845.700(h).

3.3.2.6 ILLINOIS EPA REVIEW & PERMIT ISSUANCE

After MWG submits the closure construction permit applications for South Ash Ponds 2 and 3 to the Illinois EPA in late November 2021, the agency will begin reviewing applications and supplemental information (*i.e.*, closure plans and results from the closure alternatives analysis). The time required for the agency to perform its review and make a tentative determination on issuing a closure construction permit is unknown. However, MWG expects the initial Illinois EPA review to take at least five months because:

- The agency will likely receive a large volume of operating and closure construction permit applications for the 73 CCR surface impoundments the Illinois EPA has identified across 23 Illinois power plants;
- The agency will need to review the substantial amount of information required to be in the closure alternatives analysis (Ref. 8, § 845.710), which may also require reviews by other state agencies (*e.g.*, Illinois Department of Natural Resources); and
- The agency will need to efficiently allocate its resources to simultaneously cover NPDES permit modifications and renewals, ELG Rule assessments, and its new permit program for CCR surface impoundments.

Despite the preceding factors, MWG anticipates the closure construction permit applications for Will County's South Ash Ponds 2 and 3 to be of a relatively high priority for the Illinois EPA given that they are not compliant with the uppermost aquifer location restriction stipulated in the proposed 35 III. Adm. Code 845.300. Indeed, MWG expects the Illinois EPA to prioritize the closure construction permit application for

South Ash Ponds 2 and 3 over the application it plans to submit for the Ash Surge and Bypass Basins at its own Powerton Generating Station, which are both compliant with the Proposed Illinois CCR Rule's uppermost aquifer location restriction. Thus, considering the amount of operating and closure permit applications the Illinois EPA is likely to receive by the end of 2021 and the relatively high closure priority for the Will County ash ponds, MWG presumes the earliest the Illinois EPA will be able to issue draft closure construction permits for South Ash Ponds 2 and 3 would be five months from the date MWG submits the corresponding application. Therefore, MWG expects the Illinois EPA to issue draft permits for closing South Ash Ponds 2 and 3 in late April 2022.

It should be noted that the assumed timeframe for receiving a draft permit from the Illinois EPA is significantly shorter than MWG's recent experience in renewing/modifying an NPDES permit with the agency. MWG submitted a renewal permit application for Will County's NPDES permit in October 2018 and has yet to receive the draft permit. However, given the recent focus by the Illinois EPA, the IPCB, the Illinois General Assembly, and the public on regulating CCR surface impoundments, MWG assumes that draft permits for operating, modifying, and closing ash ponds in Illinois will be issued in a more expeditious manner than previous experience with the Illinois EPA NPDES permitting program.

Upon issuing the draft closure construction permit for South Ash Ponds 2 and 3, the Illinois EPA will prepare and distribute a public notice of its tentative decision to issue the permit. Per the proposed 35 Ill. Adm. Code 845.260(b), the Illinois EPA would distribute this notice at least 15 days after issuing the draft permit in late April 2022. Once the public notice is distributed, a 30-day public comment period on the draft permit would commence in accordance with the proposed 35 Ill. Adm. Code 845.260(c). Therefore, it is expected that the public comment period on the draft construction permits for closing South Ash Ponds 2 and 3 will be completed by mid-June 2022.

During the public comment period, any person may submit a request for the Illinois EPA to hold a public hearing on the draft closure construction permits. Per the proposed 35 Ill. Adm. Code 845.260(d)(1), the Illinois EPA may hold this public hearing if "there exists a significant degree of public interest in the proposed permit." During the August 12, 2020 IPCB hearing on the Proposed Illinois CCR Rule, a representative from the Illinois EPA stated that the agency has historically held a public hearing for NDPES draft permits if anyone requests such a hearing (Ref. 17). The representative added, "I can't think of a recent example where we have denied anyone." Given this agency precedent; the statutory mandate in Illinois Public Act 101-0171 that the IPCB adopt final CCR regulations that "specify meaningful public participation procedures for the issuance of CCR surface impoundment construction and operating permits, including, but not limited to...an opportunity for a public hearing prior to permit issuance" (Ref. 3, § 22.59(g)(6)); and the general level of public participation made throughout Illinois's rulemaking process, MWG presumes that a public hearing will be requested during the 30-day public comment period on South Ash Ponds 2 and 3 closure construction permits and that the Illinois EPA will grant the public hearing.

Pursuant to the proposed 35 III. Adm. Code 845.260(e)(1), the Illinois EPA cannot hold a public hearing sooner than 30 days after notifying the public of the hearing date. Assuming it takes the agency approximately two weeks to schedule the hearing (reserving a location, notifying the public, *etc.*), the public hearing cannot not occur until at least 45 days after the Illinois EPA agrees to hold one. Presuming a public hearing will be called near the end of the public comment period in mid-June 2022, it is anticipated that the public hearing will be held in late July 2022.

After consideration of the public comments the agency receives on the draft closure construction permit, including those submitted during the public hearing, the Illinois EPA will then make a final permit determination. During this time, the Illinois EPA will consider all timely comments submitted by the public and will prepare written responses to these comments. In MWG's experience with renewing its NPDES permits with the Illinois EPA for its power plants, it has generally taken the Illinois EPA several months to issue final permits after the completion of the public comment period. Moreover, the Illinois EPA has often extended the public comment period beyond the public hearing date (typically 30 days), which would be permitted under the proposed 35 Ill. Adm. Code 845.260(c)(4). In its response to pre-filed questions ahead of the August 2020 Illinois Pollution Control Board hearings (Ref. 16), the Illinois EPA states, "The proposed permitting process was modeled after the existing NPDES permit program, which also does not include a time frame for a final Agency decision. The complex nature of these applications, public notice requirements, and the opportunity for a public hearing, make it difficult to complete the process within a defined timeframe. Like the NPDES program, robust public participation is an essential part of this proposal. Not having a specific deadline allows for the maximum flexibility during the public notice and hearing processes."

Given the Illinois EPA's lack of a decision deadline for a final permit, MWG's experience in receiving final NPDES permits from the agency, and the precedence of the agency extending the public comment period beyond a public hearing, MWG presumes the Illinois EPA will require a few months after the public hearing to respond to public comments and finalize the closure construction permits for South Ash Ponds 2 and 3. However, MWG also expects the Illinois EPA to prioritize issuing final permits for closing non-compliant CCR surface impoundments like South Ash Ponds 2 and 3 given the state's recent focus on establishing regulations and a corresponding permitting program for CCR surface impoundments in general and the public participation throughout the rulemaking process. Thus, MWG assumes the agency will finish reviewing public comments approximately three months after the public hearing is held. This timeline would result in MWG receiving the final closure construction permits for South Ash Ponds 2 and 3 from the Illinois EPA in late October 2022, approximately 11 months after submitting the corresponding permit application to the agency.

As previously stated, this overall permitting timeline is based on MWG's experience with obtaining other permits from the Illinois EPA; the agency's need to allocate its resources to implement its new CCR permit program and to renew or modify the NPDES permits at power plants in Illinois in accordance with the EPA's

revised ELG Rule; and the closure prioritization categories in the proposed 35 III. Adm. Code 845.700(g). A delay in this permitting timeframe may result in a delay in implementing the alternative disposal capacity selected for South Ash Ponds 2 and 3 within the requested timeframe.

3.3.3 WILL COUNTY NPDES PERMIT RENEWAL & MODIFICATION

Because this project will modify the treatment methods used for Will County's CCR wastestreams prior to being discharged to the Chicago Sanitary and Ship Canal via permitted Outfall 002 and because the Station's NPDES permit has expired, MWG will need to renew Will County's NPDES permit and modify the current treatment methods historically implemented in accordance with the permit. Since this permit renewal is related to the same project for which South Ash Ponds 2 and 3 closure construction permit applications are being submitted, MWG intends to prepare the application for modifying Will County's NPDES permit concurrently with its preparation of South Ash Ponds 2 and 3 closure construction permits. By submitting the NPDES and CCR permit applications together, MWG expects that both permits can be processed together and will follow the same (or at least similar) review and public participation timeframes. Thus, MWG anticipates submitting the application for renewing Will County's NPDES permit to the Illinois EPA by late November 2021 and expects to have the final permit by late October 2022.

3.4 ENGINEERING & DESIGN

As Will County works to draw down the water level in South Ash Pond 3, MWG will commence the final engineering and design work for the project. The engineering and design work for this project is expected to be performed by multiple disciplines. Accordingly, this work has been organized in the project schedule as follows:

- General,
- Mechanical,
- Civil / Structural, and
- Electrical / Instrumentation & Control.

In general, MWG plans to perform the general, mechanical, and civil / structural engineering and design work for the project concurrently with its preparation of the permit applications for closing South Ash Pond 2 and 3 and for renewing and modifying Will County's NPDES permit. This will ensure that the engineering drawings and data necessary to support these permit applications for the new bottom ash and low volume wastehandling systems are provided (remote SSC and Low Volume Waste Basin, respectively). As MWG goes through the permitting process for the project, the final electrical and instrumentation and control (I&C) engineering and design work will be performed, with the goal of having all engineering and design work completed around the time MWG expects to have final CCR and NPDES construction permits from the Illinois EPA (*i.e.*, late October 2022)

3.4.1 GENERAL

General engineering and design commenced in September 2018, approximately one month after the *USWAG* decision, and focused on developing permanent alternative disposal capacity solutions for the Will County CCR and non-CCR wastestreams sent to South Ash Ponds 2 and 3. As previously discussed, this work focused on refining and adding to conceptual alternative disposal capacity designs developed in 2015 in addition to evaluating each design's technical feasibility, physical space requirements, implementation schedule, and capital cost. MWG also assessed the potential impacts of the EPA's forthcoming (at the time) revision to the ELG Rule to each potential solution.

After the Illinois EPA published its draft CCR surface impoundment regulations for comment in December of 2019, MWG reviewed the draft regulations and incorporated them into its alternative disposal capacity evaluation. MWG has continued updating its evaluation of alternative disposal capacity options for South Ash Ponds 2 and 3 throughout Illinois's CCR rulemaking and has actively participated in this rulemaking to better understand the Illinois EPA's intentions, including future permitting priorities and timeframes (see Section 3.3.1). Shortly after the IPCB's first hearing on the Proposed Illinois CCR Rule in mid-August 2020, during which the Illinois EPA responded to stakeholder questions on the proposed regulations (including MWG questions), MWG finalized its evaluation of alternative disposal capacity solutions for Will County's two CCR surface impoundments and selected the multiple technology solution described herein.

Shortly after the EPA published its proposed revisions to the alternative closure requirements in 40 CFR 257.103 in early December 2019, MWG began preparing this demonstration for a site-specific alternative deadline to initiate closure. MWG updated this demonstration concurrent with updates to its evaluation of alternative disposal capacity solutions for the bottom ash transport water sent to South Ash Ponds 2 and 3 in response to the Illinois rulemaking process for CCR surface impoundments. Pursuant to the final amendment to 40 CFR 257.103 published in late August 2020, MWG incorporated its evaluation of alternative disposal capacity solutions for the bottom sent to both CCR surface impoundments at Will County. In accordance with 40 CFR 257.103(f)(3)(i), MWG has submitted this demonstration to the EPA for approval by November 30, 2020.

Upon completing this demonstration, MWG will begin updating the budgetary cost estimate prepared in 2019 for the multiple technology solution described in this demonstration in accordance with the revisions and refinements that have since been made to this alternative disposal capacity solution. MWG will then use this updated cost estimate to ensure adequate funding is allocated for this project. This work will include acquiring and/or confirming budgetary cost estimates and lead times from vendors (*e.g.*, remote SSC, fabric enclosure), revising and adding material quantities as necessary, and updating labor rates as necessary. Given that a budgetary cost estimate has already been prepared for this solution and only requires updating, it is expected the updated estimate will be prepared by early January 2021 and subsequently finalized by the

end of that month. Once the budget for the project has been established, MWG will begin the process of procuring a vendor to design, furnish, manufacture, and deliver a remote SSC to the Will County site ("SSC Vendor") (see Section 3.6.1.1).

3.4.2 MECHANICAL

3.4.2.1 BALANCE OF PLANT COMPONENTS

After the Final Illinois CCR Rule is published in late March 2021, MWG can begin finalizing its plan for closing South Ash Pond 3 in accordance with the final Illinois EPA regulations. At this time, MWG will start finalizing the general arrangement for the remote SSC area in South Ash Pond 3 and the new Low Volume Waste Basin to be located within the unused stormwater runoff pond just south of the ash pond. MWG plans to prepare initial drafts of the general arrangement drawings for the project to present to the Illinois EPA during the planned pre-application meeting in early May 2021, a little more than a month after the final state rule has been promulgated (see Section 3.3.2.1). MWG will continue developing the general arrangement drawings after meeting with the Illinois EPA, incorporating design inputs from the agency on the proposed scheme as necessary. Final general arrangement drawings are expected to be issued for use in early June 2021 as design input for the SSC Vendor and the different engineering disciplines working on the project.

Once the general arrangement drawings have been issued for use, MWG will begin preparing the piping and instrumentation diagrams (P&IDs) and PFDs for the project's BOP piping, equipment, and instrumentation required to operate the remote SSC and the new Low Volume Waste Basin. These diagrams are expected to be prepared concurrently with the SSC Vendor's preparation of the corresponding general arrangement drawings and P&IDs for the remote SSC equipment, which will ensure all BOP piping and instrumentation required to operate the SSC equipment is accounted. This work will also include preparing the P&IDs and PFDs for the new piping, equipment, and instrumentation for operating the new Low Volume Waste Basin. Given these design activities and anticipated design iterations in collaboration with the SSC Vendor, MWG expects the BOP P&IDs and PFDs to be substantially completed within 2.5 months. Based on a start date of early June 2021, MWG expects to have the P&IDs and PFDs issued for use as design input for the SSC Vendor and the different engineering disciplines working on the project by mid-August 2021.

Once the BOP P&IDs and PFDs have been finalized, the final engineering and design work for the corresponding piping and mechanical equipment can commence. This work will include routing, sizing, designing supports for, and preparing isometric drawings for the piping to and from the Low Volume Waste Basin, the service air and water piping for the SSC, and the drain pipe from the ash dewatering bunker in the SSC enclosure to the existing trough. The required size of the new pumps to convey effluent from the Low Volume Waste Basin to the existing concrete overflow trough in South Ash Pond 3 via the new return pipeline will also be determined at this time. This engineering and design work is expected to take three months to complete and therefore is scheduled to be completed by early November 2021.

3.4.2.2 REMOTE SSC COMPONENTS

As discussed in Section 1.4.1.3, MWG plans to procure a remote SSC for this project that is modular and for which the internal engineering and design for the equipment has been completed (*i.e.*, pre-engineered). Therefore, the engineering and design work for the remote SSC components is expected to be limited to the following activities that are site-specific to incorporating the equipment into Will County's bottom ash-handling system:

- Creating general arrangement drawings for the SSC equipment,
- Creating P&IDs for the SSC equipment,
- Designing structural support steel for the SSC equipment,
- Designing the ash sluice piping extension to the SSC equipment,
- Designing the programmable logic controller (PLC) for the SSC equipment to be tied into Will County's distributed control system (DCS), and
- Designing the chemical injection system for the SSC.

MWG anticipates having the SSC Vendor under contract by the beginning of June 2021 (see Section 3.6.1). At this time the SSC Vendor will begin preparing the general arrangement drawings for the SSC equipment and coordinating the overall design scheme with the BOP engineering and design work. Like the general arrangement drawings for the BOP components for this project, it is expected that the general arrangement for the new remote SSC at Will County will be completed within two months of starting the work. Based on the remote SSC engineering and design contract being awarded in early June 2021, it is expected that the general arrangement for the remote SSC equipment will be finalized by the end of July 2021.

Once the general arrangement for the remote SSC is finalized, the SSC Vendor can begin preparing the P&IDs for the SSC equipment and designing the structural steel supports for the equipment on its foundation. The latter will be used as design input for the engineering and design of the base mat for the SSC area. Accordingly, this work will be performed concurrently with the engineering and design work for the BOP piping and the SSC foundation. After finalizing the P&IDs for the SSC equipment, the SSC Vendor will begin routing, sizing, designing supports for, and preparing isometric drawings for the ash sluice piping to the remote SSC, which will tie into the Station's existing ash sluice piping near the SSC area (see drawing WC-CSK-100). As previously mentioned, this work is expected to be completed concurrently with the closure construction and NPDES renewal permit applications that MWG is preparing to repurpose South Ash Pond 3 for this use. Accordingly, MWG expects the engineering and design work for the remote SSC to be substantially completed by late November 2021.

As shown on PFD WC-CSK-PFD-002 in Appendix B, effluent from the SSC area will be conveyed to the existing concrete overflow trough in South Ash Pond 3 to the Recycle Pump Station and recirculated back to the Station (similar to existing plant operations for the ash ponds). Accordingly, the TSS in the effluent

discharged to the existing trough will need to be reduced to a level conducive to the existing recycle pumps, which is a particular concern for the very fine economizer ash particles in Will County's bottom ash transport water. MWG intends to minimize the TSS in the SSC overflow water by (1) having lamella plates installed in the SSC, (2) installing a mobile clarifier, and (3) incorporating a chemical injection system into the SSC design. All three elements will be designed and procured by the SSC Vendor.

Of the three preceding methods for reducing TSS in the effluent sent to the existing trough, the chemical injection system design will be site-specific to Will County. In general, this system will inject flocculant, coagulant, and caustic as necessary to reduce the TSS in the effluent from the mobile clarifier downstream of the SSC. A flocculant will promote conglomeration of the ash particles to promote faster sedimentation, and a coagulate will further promote settling of the finer economizer ash particles. Finally, a caustic solution will control the pH level of the effluent recirculated to the Station's boilers.

In order to estimate the amount of TSS being conveyed to the SSC, and therefore the amount of TSS that needs to be removed to support recirculation, the SSC Vendor will sample and analyze the ash sluice water at Will County. This activity will occur shortly after the SSC Vendor is awarded the contract for procuring the remote SSC and will help determine the impacts of the finer economizer ash particles on the SSC effluent's TSS. Using these test results, the SSC vendor will then be able to design an appropriate chemical injection system catered specifically to Will County's ash sluice water. This engineering and design work is expected to occur concurrently with the rest of the engineering and design activities for the remote SSC. Therefore, MWG expects the chemical injection system design to be substantially completed by late November 2021.

3.4.3 CIVIL / STRUCTURAL

The civil / structural engineering and design work will be completed concurrently with the mechanical engineering and design work described in the preceding section. The first design task will be to perform a subsurface investigation at the proposed SSC and Low Volume Waste Basin sites in South Ash Pond 3 and the unused stormwater runoff pond south of South Ash Pond 3, respectively, in order to obtain geotechnical data to support the foundation work for the SSC and the new Low Volume Waste Basin. Within this investigation, the contractor will drill soil borings and collect soil samples for laboratory testing. The data collected in the field and recorded during the laboratory tests will then be evaluated and incorporated into the SSC foundation and Low Volume Waste Basin designs.

The subsurface investigation work is expected to take approximately three months to complete, which includes the time required to perform the field work, conduct the laboratory tests, and prepare a report documenting the subsurface investigator's observations and results. To ensure adequate time is available to evaluate and incorporate this data into the SSC foundation and civil sitework designs, MWG plans to commence a subsurface investigation in the early spring of 2021. Thus, MWG expects to have the

geotechnical data necessary to proceed with the designs of the SSC foundation and Low Volume Waste Basin by mid- to late June 2021.

Once the subsurface investigation is completed in mid- to late June 2021, the process of designing the SSC foundation and Low Volume Waste Basin will begin. This engineering and design work will be completed in two phases: geotechnical and structural. First, the geotechnical engineering and design work will utilize the data reported in the subsurface investigator's final report to size the SSC foundation to provide an adequate factor of safety against a bearing capacity failure and to limit settlement. This work will also include a slope stability analysis for the structural fill supporting the SSC foundation. Overall, this geotechnical engineering and design work is expected to be completed by mid- to late August 2021, approximately two months after the final subsurface investigation report is available.

The structural engineering and design work for the SSC foundation will begin once the geotechnical engineering and design work has been completed and while the SSC Vendor is finalizing the structural steel supports for the remote SSC equipment (and therefore finalizing the loads on the base mat foundation). In this phase of the SSC foundation design, the base mat thickness and reinforcement will be designed to support the remote SSC, its ancillary equipment, and the BOP piping and equipment. The concrete walls for the SSC enclosure will also be designed at this time using loads from the vendor procuring the preengineered fabric enclosure for the SSC (see Section 3.6.6). In addition, the pushwalls in the ash dewatering bunker will be designed to accommodate the impact forces of equipment recovering ash from the bunker for off-site disposal. Finally, trenches and sumps within the enclosure will be designed to collect and control runoff from the dewatering ash and the remote SSC equipment. Overall, this portion of the engineering and design work is expected to be completed within three months by late November 2021 (*i.e.*, when MWG plans to submit its CCR closure construction and NPDES permit applications to the Illinois EPA).

The civil sitework for the project will be completed concurrently with the preceding engineering and design work for the SSC foundation. Like the structural fill for the SSC foundation, a slope stability assessment will be performed to design the new Low Volume Waste Basin. This work will commence after the subsurface investigation data for this area is available in mid- to late June 2021. In addition to evaluating the slope stability of the new Low Volume Waste Basin, the civil engineering and design work for the pond will include designing slope protection (*e.g.*, riprap) and the new geomembrane liner, including anchorage and ballasting. The engineering and design work specific to the new Low Volume Waste Basin is scheduled to be completed in approximately 2.5 months, finishing by early September 2021.

Once the Low Volume Waste Pond has been designed and the SSC base mat foundation size has been determined, the design of the access roads and the site grading will commence. The access road will be designed within South Ash Pond 3's footprint to connect the existing plant road to the new SSC equipment and the new Low Volume Waste Pond. This work will include developing an asphalt pavement design to

accommodate the increase in truck traffic that will be accessing this area, especially for the winter months. The site grading will be designed in order to promote positive drainage away from the SSC foundation to the existing concrete overflow trough in South Ash Pond 3 and to establish alignments for the new / modified access roads. Both of these engineering and design tasks are expected to be completed by the time MWG submits its CCR closure construction and NPDES renewal permit applications to the Illinois EPA in mid- to late November 2021.

3.4.4 ELECTRICAL / INSTRUMENTATION & CONTROL

Given that most of the electrical and I&C work for the project will not be installed until relatively late in the construction schedule (see Section 3.7.4), the corresponding engineering and design work will not commence until after the mechanical and civil / structural work is finished. Two notable exceptions to this are preparation of the electrical load list and design of the grounding system. Once the general arrangement is completed and issued for use in early June 2021, the electrical loads required to power the system will be determined. These loads are expected to be determined by the time the BOP P&IDs and PFDs are finalized in mid-August 2021. The grounding system is incorporated into the foundation design. Accordingly, this work is expected to be completed concurrently with the SSC foundation in mid-November 2021.

The remaining electrical and I&C engineering and design work is expected to be completed in 2022 while MWG goes through the permitting process for closing South Ash Ponds 2 and 3 and renewing Will County's NPDES permit. These activities have been scheduled to begin by the time MWG places the material order with the SSC Vendor for the remote SSC equipment in early July 2022 (see Section 3.6.1.2). First, in late 2021 or early 2022, single line diagrams will be developed to conceptual the overall auxiliary power design. Routing and design of electrical cables to power the remote SSC and its components will also be established at this time. About three months later, in February 2022, detailed wiring diagrams (*i.e.*, three-line diagrams) will be prepared followed by cable tabulations and electrical schematic diagrams.

While the BOP electrical engineering and design work is ongoing, the SSC Vendor will design and finalize the SSC's control panel and programmable logic controller (PLC) processor. This work is expected to be completed by the time the SSC Vendor receives the material order to start fabricating the SSC equipment in early to mid-July 2022to ensure timely delivery to the site in late spring, early summer of 2023. After the I&C engineering and design by the SSC Vendor is completed, the BOP I&C engineering and design will commence to tie the SSC PLC into the Station's DCS. This design work will include preparing wiring diagrams to route wiring from the SSC area to the Coal Breaker Building, where the tie-in to the Station's DCS is expected to occur. During this time, the necessary DCS hardware modifications will be designed. Both of these I&C engineering and design tasks are expected to be completed by early October 2022, within three months of starting.

3.5 CONTRACTOR SELECTION

MWG intends to hire three separate contractors to execute this project, each corresponding to a different phase of construction. This contracting strategy will allow MWG to hire contractors specialized in the different scopes of work specified within this project. As outlined in Section 3.7 and in the visual timeline representation of the project schedule in Section 2.0, construction will be divided into the following three principal phases:

- 1. Civil
- 2. Mechanical / Structural
- 3. Electrical

The first contractor will be the Civil Contractor who will be responsible for closing South Ash Pond 3, placing and compacting the structural fill for the SSC area, and installing the new Low Volume Waste Basin and its appurtenances. The second contractor will be the Mechanical Contractor who will be charged with constructing the SSC foundation, installing the remote SSC and its ancillary equipment and piping, and erecting the fabric enclosure for the SSC. Finally, the third contractor will be the Electrical Contractor who will be responsible for installing the electrical equipment and cables, instrumentation, and controls.

3.5.1 CIVIL CONTRACTOR

MWG plans to start clean closing South Ash Pond 3 as soon as possible after receiving a final closure construction permit from the Illinois EPA. Given that the permit will establish the agency's requirements and expectations for closing the pond, MWG will begin preparing the technical requirements and commercial terms and conditions upon receipt of the draft permit from the Illinois EPA. Per Section 3.3.1, this is currently anticipated to be completed in late April 2022.

Given the public comment period and likely public hearing that will be held between the Illinois EPA's issuance of the draft and final permits for South Ash Pond 3 closure work, MWG does not plan on issuing the corresponding bid package until after the public hearing, at which time MWG will have some reasonable certainty that the project will be approved as proposed or will require some modifications. Bidding the work beforehand would leave MWG susceptible to potential material changes required by the Illinois EPA to MWG's closure plan which would then require MWG to rebid the work, causing unavoidable delays to the project. Thus, MWG does not anticipate issuing South Ash Pond 3 closure work for bids until after the public hearing on Illinois EPA's draft permit is held in mid-August 2022.

MWG intends to provide the prospective Civil Contractor approximately six weeks to review the bid package materials, including the draft closure construction permit from the Illinois EPA. After the bid period concludes in late September 2022, MWG will review the submitted bids. MWG expects to take approximately six weeks to thoroughly review the submitted bids before ultimately selecting the Civil Contractor with the intention of

having a final closure construction permit from the Illinois EPA before beginning contract negotiations with the selected contractor (expected late October 2022 per Section 3.3.2.6). This final permit will be incorporated into the final contract documents and conformed technical specification. Ultimately, MWG expects to award the pond closure work to the Civil Contractor by late November 2022 following a monthlong contract negotiation phase.

3.5.2 MECHANICAL CONTRACTOR

Because the Mechanical Contractor will not need to mobilize to the site until the spring of 2023 (see Section 3.7.2), MWG intends to start procuring the contractor responsible for installing the SSC equipment and its ancillary equipment during the bid period for the selecting the Civil Contractor. MWG will begin preparing the technical requirements and commercial terms and conditions following the public hearing on the Illinois EPA's draft permit in late July 2022. The subsequent tasks and corresponding timeframes for procuring the Mechanical Contractor are then expected to follow a similar sequence as that for the Civil Contractor described in the preceding section. Accordingly, MWG expects to have the Mechanical Contractor hired by the end of January 2023.

3.5.3 ELECTRICAL CONTRACTOR

Since the electrical equipment and components will not need to be installed until late in the construction schedule, MWG will award the electrical general work contract last of the three installation contracts. Preparation of the technical requirements and commercial terms and conditions will start after the corresponding engineering and design work for the electrical and I&C components is finished in early October 2022. MWG plans to issue the bid package for the electrical general work approximately two months later. Given the smaller scope of work for this contractor relative to the Civil and Mechanical Contractors, MWG expects the timeframes for procuring the Electrical Contractor to be shorter. Accordingly, MWG expects the bid period and bid evaluation phases to only take about one month each (compared to six weeks for the other two contractors). After selecting a contractor, MWG expects to take three weeks to negotiate the contract and conform the technical specification to that contract. Thus, MWG expects to have the Electrical Contractor procured for this project by mid-February 2023.

3.6 EQUIPMENT FABRICATION & DELIVERY

The major equipment and materials being fabricated for this bottom ash-handling modification project at Will County are the remote SSC and its ancillary equipment; the structural materials to construct the SSC's base mat foundation and ash dewatering bunker; piping, pumps, and valves for the remote SSC, its ancillary equipment, and the Low Volume Waste Basin; geomembrane liner for the Low Volume Waste Basin; the fabric enclosure for the remote SSC; and electrical equipment, cables, and wires for the system. The following subsections discuss how MWG anticipates these various items will be procured for the project.

3.6.1 REMOTE SUBMERGED SCRAPER CONVEYOR

3.6.1.1 SSC VENDOR PROCUREMENT

Once the project budget has been established in late January 2021 (see Section 3.4.1), MWG will begin preparing the technical specification and commercial terms to procure a vendor specialized in SSC equipment to design, furnish, manufacture, and deliver the components and equipment required for this project. MWG anticipates issuing these contract documents in a bid package to prospective vendors by the end of March 2021. Because MWG has already engaged in high-level conceptual design discussions with potential SSC vendors for budgetary purposes, MWG expects a month-long bid period to be sufficient for the prospective vendors to evaluate the scope of work, refine their design and manufacturing strategies (if required), and to ultimately submit bids. MWG will then start evaluating the bids and ultimately select a vendor. Given the anticipated timing of the bid period and bid evaluation phases (late March to mid-May 2021), MWG will also have the opportunity to incorporate changes to the proposed design and request updated bids should the Final Illinois CCR Rule published in late March 2021 require such changes. Immediately after selecting the winning bidder, MWG will negotiate the commercial terms with the selected vendor and to conform the technical specification with these terms. This contract negotiation phase is expected to take about three weeks to complete, which would have MWG awarding the contract to the SSC Vendor receiving by June 1, 2021.

3.6.1.2 MATERIAL PROCUREMENT

Upon receiving the contract to design, furnish, manufacture, and deliver a remote SSC to the Will County site, the SSC Vendor will begin the corresponding engineering and design work for the remote SSC and its ancillary equipment. The scope of work for the remote SSC design and respective timeframes are provided in the preceding Section 3.4.2.2. Based on the budgetary cost estimates MWG has received from potential SSC vendors, MWG expects the remote SSC and its ancillary equipment to be delivered to the project site between 45 and 50 weeks of placing the material order. Given that the SSC equipment is scheduled to be installed in early to mid-June 2023 (see Section 3.7.2), MWG will need to place the material order with the SSC Vendor by early to mid-July 2022. Upon receiving the material order, the SSC Vendor will begin fabricating the components and pieces for the remote SSC and its ancillary equipment.

It is expected that the SSC Vendor will work with third-party suppliers to procure and/or fabricate the equipment and components required for installing the SSC equipment consistent with the vendor's engineering and design. Once the SSC Vendor submits a material release and purchase order to its supplier(s), the supplier(s) would first prepare and submit shop drawings to the SSC Vendor to review and approve. Once approved, the equipment and/or components would be fabricated and inspected for conformance with the shop drawings and/or the vendor's design. Fabrication is expected to occur between August 2022 and May 2023. Thus, the equipment and components for installing the SSC are expected to be

ready for delivery to the project site by mid-May 2023. This would allow for the remote SSC equipment and components to be delivered to the project site by early to mid-June 2023

3.6.2 STRUCTURAL FILL

Once the Civil Contractor is awarded the contract for closing South Ash Pond 3 and constructing the new Low Volume Waste Basin, the contractor will place the material order for the structural fill required to install the SSC equipment within South Ash Pond 3. Several aggregate suppliers are located near the Will County site. Therefore, it is expected that this material will have a relatively short lead time. MWG expects the structural fill being placed within South Ash Pond 3 to be delivered to the project site by mid-February 2023, just before the Civil Contractor is scheduled to place the fill in the clean-closed ash pond.

3.6.3 CONCRETE MATERIALS

Shortly after being awarded the contract to construct the foundation and enclosure for the remote SSC in late January 2023, the Mechanical Contractor will begin contacting concrete and rebar suppliers to furnish and deliver the materials required to install the concrete components of the project.

Once the rebar supplier receives the design drawings for the remote SSC's foundation and enclosure from the Mechanical Contractor, the supplier will begin preparing rebar shop drawings for the base mat foundation, bunker wall, enclosure walls, *etc.* Given the SSC foundation's size; the rebar detailing required for the enclosure walls, ash dewatering bunker pushwalls, and trenches; and the anticipated embedments (*e.g.*, anchor rods), it is expected that the rebar supplier will prepare and issue shop drawings to the Mechanical Contractor and MWG for review in phases. Rebar shop drawings will likely first be issued for the base mat foundation, followed by the bunker and enclosure walls, and finally the special details for the trenches and curbs. The first set of shop drawings are expected to be prepared within two to three weeks of the Mechanical Contractor placing the material order. After a two-week review period and ultimate approval of a given shop drawing, the rebar supplier will begin fabricating the steel reinforcement. Fabrication is also expected to take approximately two weeks to complete, after which the rebar supplier will start delivering the rebar to the project site. Based on these timeframes and given the mechanical / structural general work contract being awarded in late January 2023, it is expected that the rebar supplier will furnish and deliver the ash-settling pond's reinforcement to the project site between the end of March and the end of May 2023 (corresponding to the anticipated installation schedules for the base mat foundation and walls).

Several potential ready-mix concrete suppliers are located within a 20-mile radius of the Will County site, including the cities of Plainfield and Lemont, Illinois. Therefore, it is expected that concrete for this project will be prepared at one of these plants and delivered to the site via ready-mix trucks. Given the proximity of these plants, ready-mix trucks should have adequate time to deliver and discharge the concrete in

accordance with ASTM C94, "Standard Specification for Ready-Mixed Concrete," which requires concrete be discharged within 90 minutes after hydration commences.

3.6.4 PIPES, PUMPS, & VALVES

3.6.4.1 CIVIL CONTRACTOR

The Civil Contractor will be responsible for installing the piping to and from the new Low Volume Waste Basin as well as a new pump to convey effluent from the pond to the existing concrete overflow trough in South Ash Pond 3. The piping for the Low Volume Waste Basin will be needed on site in mid-April 2023 (see Section 3.7.1). It is currently anticipated that the Civil Contractor will arrange to have all piping delivered to the site just as the contractor begins installing it. Based on a material order date of late November 2022, this schedule should provide adequate lead time for a pipe supplier to fulfill the Civil Contractor's order.

3.6.4.2 MECHANICAL CONTRACTOR

The Mechanical Contractor will be responsible for the effluent piping from the ash dewatering bunker sump and the service water and service air piping for the SSC. The contractor will likely order both sets of piping shortly after being awarded the contract for the work in late January 2023. The effluent piping from the ash dewatering bunker sump will need to be procured first since this pipe will likely be embedded in the foundation for the remote SSC. Therefore, this piping will need to be fabricated and delivered to the site by early April 2023 at the onset of the foundation installation work. Conversely, more lead time is available to the Mechanical Contractor to procure the service water and service air piping for the remote SSC, which will not need to be installed until early May 2023.

3.6.5 GEOMEMBRANE

Once the Civil Contractor is awarded the contract for closing South Ash Pond 3 and constructing the new Low Volume Waste Basin, the contractor will place the material order for the geomembrane panels required to line the unused stormwater runoff pond just south of South Ash Pond 3 after the area has been regraded and stabilized (as necessary). Although geomembrane can be a long-lead time component for solid waste facility construction projects, the relatively small size of the new Low Volume Waste Basin (approximately 2.75 acres) should facilitate a shorter lead time and thus timely delivery of the geomembrane panels. Therefore, MWG expects the geomembrane panels for the new Low Volume Waste Basin to be delivered to the project site by April 2023 as the Civil Contractor is preparing the new Low Volume Waste Basin floor and slopes for the geomembrane liner (see Section 3.7.1).

3.6.6 FABRIC ENCLOSURE

After awarding the mechanical / structural general work contract in late January 2023, MWG will order the fabric enclosure for the SSC. Based on a budgetary cost estimate from a vendor specializing in these enclosures, MWG expects a 60- to 90-day lead time for this enclosure. Given that the SSC walls are expected to be installed by late May 2023, ordering the enclosure between late January and early March 2023 should provide plenty of time for the selected vendor to fabricate and deliver the fabric enclosure to the Will County site in time for the Mechanical Contractor to erect it over the new SSC once the concrete walls have achieved their design strength (approximately one month after installation).

3.6.7 ELECTRICAL EQUIPMENT, CABLES, & WIRES

Upon receiving the contract for the project's electrical and I&C installation work, the Electrical Contractor will begin ordering the electrical equipment to power the SSC and its ancillary components. This equipment will include the new transformer and MCCs for the PDC within the SSC enclosure. The contractor will also begin procuring the cables required to deliver power to the SSC area and the Low Volume Waste Basin return pump. Finally, the wiring for the I&C work will be ordered at this time. Given that the electrical equipment, cables, and wires for the project are expected to be installed in early May and throughout June of 2023, ordering these electrical components in mid- to late February 2023 should provide plenty of lead time for the supplier(s) to fabricate and deliver these materials to the Will County site in time for the Electrical Contractor to install them at the new SSC site.

3.7 CONSTRUCTION

Like the engineering and design work for this project, construction of this modified bottom ash-handling system for Will County is expected to occur in three phases. This phased approach will allow MWG to install the different components of the project as soon as technically feasible while accommodating the different regulatory and procurement timeframes discussed earlier. Accordingly, construction of the alternative disposal capacity to replace South Ash Pond 2 is expected to be executed in the following three phases:

- 1. Civil: Clean Close & Repurpose South Ash Pond 3 for SSC & Construct Low Volume Waste Basin (by Civil Contractor)
- 2. Mechanical / Structural: Construct SSC Foundation & Enclosure, Install Remote SSC Equipment, & Install BOP Piping (by Mechanical Contractor), and
- 3. Electrical: Install BOP Electrical & I&C Components (by Electrical Contractor).

The following construction schedule assumes that each of the three contractors hired to execute this project and their respective subcontractors (if any) will normally work five days per week at 10 hours per day.

3.7.1 CIVIL INSTALLATION WORK

3.7.1.1 CLOSE SOUTH ASH POND 3

Closure activities for South Ash Pond 3 are expected to commence in early to mid-January 2023, approximately six to eight weeks after the Civil Contractor has been awarded the corresponding contract. All closure work will be performed in accordance with the final closure construction permit issued by the Illinois EPA (expected late October 2022).

Upon fully mobilizing to the site in early to mid-January 2023, the Civil Contractor will begin removing any CCR remaining on the liner of South Ash Pond 3 from the initial cleaning performed by Will County's Ash Management Contractor (see Section 3.2) and will then remove the existing liner system. The liner system consists of a 3-foot-thick, compacted granular underlayment and a 60-mil HDPE geomembrane liner. In addition to removing the liner, the Civil Contractor will also be responsible for removing all CCR and CCR-impacted soils beneath the liner (if any). All liner, CCR, and CCR-impacted materials will be removed by excavating them out of the pond, loading them onto trucks, and transporting them offsite to a permitted disposal facility. As the existing liner is removed, the subgrade will be visually inspected to ensure all CCR constituents have been removed from the pond area. Finally, after all the excavation work is complete, the Civil Contractor will begin decontaminating the pond's concrete overflow trough, if necessary.

Given the size of South Ash Pond 3 (approximately 2.4 acres) and the thickness of its existing liner, it is expected that the Civil Contractor will be able to remove any CCR remaining on the pond's liner, remove the liner, and excavate any CCR-impacted soils within a month of fully mobilizing to the site. It is anticipated that the subsequent decontamination of the area and the appurtenant structures can be completed within one week after the pond's liner has been removed. Therefore, it is expected that South Ash Pond 3 will be clean closed and certified as such by early to mid-February 2023.

3.7.1.2 PLACE STRUCTURAL FILL FOR SSC AREA

Once South Ash Pond 3 has been certified as clean-closed, the Civil Contractor will perform the initial sitework required to install the base mat for the SSC and its enclosure. This work will begin by placing and compacting the structural fill required to support the new SSC foundation and access roads. It is anticipated that approximately 30,000 cubic yards of structural fill will be placed and compacted for this purpose.

Due to this earthwork occurring in the winter, it is expected to take longer for the Civil Contractor to place and compact this structural fill relative to it being installed in the spring or summer. In addition to general labor inefficiencies associated with winter construction, additional time will be required at the start of a given day to remove any fill placed the previous day that has frozen and then replace it with non-frozen material. Accordingly, it is expected that the Civil Contractor will need just under two months to place and compact over 30,000 cubic yards of sand to establish the site for the new remote SSC at Will County. Therefore,

given a start date of early to mid-February 2023, the SSC site is expected to be ready for foundation work by early April 2023.

3.7.1.3 CONSTRUCT LOW VOLUME WASTE BASIN

As the Civil Contractor is closing South Ash Pond 3, the contractor will begin repurposing the unused stormwater runoff pond just south of South Ash Pond 3 into the new Low Volume Waste Basin. The construction sequencing for this new basin will be established to install the new geomembrane liner as soon as technically feasible, which is dependent on the ambient temperature during construction. EPA recommends a minimum ambient temperature of 32°F when installing geomembrane (Ref. 18). For geomembrane liners, below-freezing temperatures can make the material brittle, leaving it susceptible to cracking as it is being deployed and welded. Accordingly, the geomembrane liner for the Low Volume Waste Basin will not be installed until early April.

To facilitate installation of the new liner as soon as technically feasible, the Civil Contractor will begin clearing and grubbing the existing pond area in early March 2023. Once the vegetation has been removed, the contractor will then start re-grading and compacting the pond floor and side slopes as necessary to provide a smooth and stable surface for the geomembrane liner. Given the pond's small area (about 2.75 acres), the earthwork associated with its construction is expected to be completed within one month. It is expected to take the Civil Contractor approximately two weeks to then install the geomembrane panels along the basin floor and slopes. Therefore, it is currently anticipated that the Low Volume Waste Basin will be constructed and lined by mid-April 2023.

Installation of the effluent piping to and from the new Low Volume Waste Basin is expected to start so that the piping is installed once the pond is almost fully lined. Given the proximity of the existing low volume waste piping to the Low Volume Waste Basin, the new piping between the existing piping and the new pond's inlet is expected to be installed within one week. As this extension is installed, the new return piping from the Low Volume Waste Basin's outlet structure to South Ash Pond 3's concrete overflow trough will be installed. Thus, both pipelines are expected to be installed by mid-April 2023, after which the Low Volume Waste Basin will be ready for commissioning (see Section 3.8.1).

3.7.1.4 CONSTRUCT NEW ACCESS ROADS

Ahead of the fabric enclosure being erected over the SSC area (see Section 3.7.3), the Civil Contractor will start constructing the new asphalt roads to the SSC area for trucks to access the site for reclaiming the dewatered ash for beneficial use or disposal in a permitted solid waste facility. The contractor is expected to start this work closest to the SSC area to provide adequate time for the asphalt surfacing to set before the fabric enclosure is erected in late June, early July of 2023. The Civil Contractor will then construct and pave the new turnaround area over the sand fill south of the SSC enclosure and finally repave the existing gravel

roads along the crests of South Ash Pond 3's dikes. This work is expected to be completed just as the Mechanical Contractor starts erecting the fabric enclosure over the SSC area.

3.7.2 MECHANICAL / STRUCTURAL INSTALLATION WORK

3.7.2.1 INSTALL SSC FOUNDATION

The Mechanical Contractor is expected to be fully mobilized to the project site by the end of March 2023 after the Civil Contractor has finished placing the structural fill placed in the new SSC area. At this time, the Mechanical Contractor will begin installing the base mat foundation for the remote SSC and the electrical grounding system. The base mat foundation will be constructed by first forming out the area, then installing the specified rebar, and finally placing the concrete. Forming and placing rebar is expected to take one to two weeks per "pour" depending on the rebar detailing and density. For example, more time will likely be required to place the rebar in the ash dewatering bunker relative to the rest of the SSC enclosure given the rebar density expected in the pushwalls. Finally, the dowels for the enclosure and bunker walls and the embedments for the SSC supports will also be installed at this time.

Given the anticipated size of this base mat (approximately 200 ft by 80 ft per drawing WC-CSK-101 in Appendix A), the concrete will likely be placed in two to three "pours." As previously mentioned, the concrete is expected to be installed via ready-mix trucks from a nearby concrete supplier. (A similar process will be following for placing the concrete walls and curbs.) Based on the expected number of concrete "pours" and the amount of rebar to be installed, it is expected that the Mechanical Contractor will have the base mat foundation installed within five weeks. Thus, based on starting the installation work in early April 2023, it is anticipated that the base mat foundation will be installed by early to mid-May 2023.

In order to place the concrete for the bunker and enclosure walls once the concrete strength for the base mat foundation has been verified, it is expected that the Mechanical Contractor will begin forming and installing the rebar for these vertical concrete elements within three to four weeks after starting work on the base mat foundation (*i.e.*, mid-to late April 2023). Installation of these walls is expected to follow a similar sequence as the base mat foundation: place formwork, place rebar, install the building enclosure anchorage, and finally place the concrete. Like the base mat foundation, the concrete walls are expected to take approximately five weeks to install. Therefore, based on starting this work in mid- to late April 2023, it is expected that the concrete pushwalls and enclosure walls will be completed by the end of May 2023.

3.7.2.2 INSTALL SSC EQUIPMENT

After the base mat foundation has reached its design strength (within 28 days per standard practice), the Mechanical Contractor can begin installing structural steel supports supplied by the SSC Vendor for the remote SSC. Based on the base mat being installed by early to mid-May 2023, the Mechanical Contractor

can start installing the remote SSC equipment in early to mid-June 2023. Once the supports are installed, the contractor can begin assembling the SSC itself. This SSC is expected to arrive to the project site in three prefabricated sections: (1) the settling/surge hopper, (2) the ramp/discharge head section, and (3) the tail/tensioner section. Given its size and modular construction, the remote SSC is not expected to take longer than two weeks to install. Thus, the primary SSC components are expected to be installed by mid-June 2023.

Once the main components of the remote SSC are installed, the Mechanical Contractor can begin installing its internal components and ancillary equipment. This includes the SSC's chain; flights; hydraulic power unit; and access platforms, handrails, and ladders. In addition, the Mechanical Contractor can start assembling the mobile clarifier downstream of the SSC. Similar to the SSC, the clarifier is expected to be delivered to the Will County site in prefabricated pieces. Based on the current conceptual design, it is anticipated that the clarifier can be fully assembled the day it is delivered to the project site. Meanwhile, the SSC's internal components and access components are expected to be installed within two weeks. Thus, it is expected that the Mechanical Contractor will finish installing the remote SSC equipment and clarifier by the end of June 2023, approximately one month after starting the work.

3.7.2.3 INSTALL SSC PUMPS & PIPING

Upon mobilizing to the to the project site in early April 2020, and concurrent with installing the SSC foundation, the Mechanical Contractor will begin installing the new service water and air piping from the Recycle Pump Station to the SSC area. Once the primary SSC equipment has been installed in mid-June 2023, the Mechanical Contractor will begin installing the pumps and piping to and from the equipment. This includes the new ash sluice piping (provided by the SSC Vendor), SSC overflow piping, clarifier overflow piping, and the clarifier sludge pump and corresponding return piping to the SSC. Given their relatively short spans within the SSC enclosure, it is expected that the both sets of overflow piping and the clarifier sludge pump and piping will be fully installed within about a week. Given the proximity of the remote SSC to the existing ash sluice piping, it is expected that the new ash sluice piping extension can be installed within two weeks. Finally, the service water and air piping spanning from the Recycle Pump Station to the remote SSC and their corresponding supports are expected to be installed within a couple of months, finishing just as MWG starts commissioning the new SSC in early July 2023.

3.7.3 ERECT FABRIC ENCLOSURE

The Mechanical Contractor can begin erecting the fabric enclosure once the concrete walls for the SSC enclosure have been constructed and have achieved their specified design strength, approximately one month after they have been placed (late May 2023). Based on a budgetary cost estimate from a vendor specializing in these structures, MWG expects the structure to be erected in two weeks. Thus, MWG currently anticipates the SSC enclosure to be constructed by early July 2023.

3.7.4 ELECTRICAL & I&C COMPONENTS

Once the Mechanical Contractor starts installing the service air and service water piping from the Recycle Pump Station to the remote SSC area in early May 2023, the Electrical Contractor will mobilize to the site and start installing cables and wires from the Recycle Pump Station to set up the future tie-in to the Station's DCS. Once the base mat has reached its design strength in early June 2023, the Electrical Contractor will start installing the transformer and MCCs to provide power to the SSC. Cables will also be routed from the Recycle Pump Station, which provides power for the recirculation pumps, to the transformer at this time. The MCCs will be installed within the PDC area within the SSC enclosure and are expected to take approximately two weeks to install.

Once the PDC has been installed, the Electrical Contractor will work with the SSC Vendor to route cables and wires from the PDC to the SSC. This work is expected to take approximately three weeks to complete, at which time the Electrical Contractor will tie the SSC equipment and PLC into the Station's DCS by making the necessary hardware modifications to control the SSC via the latter. Thus, based on the Electrical Contractor starting the PDC installation work by early June 2023, it is expected that all of the electrical and I&C components for the project will be fully installed by the time the fabric enclosure for the SSC is erected in early July 2023.

3.8 START-UP & IMPLEMENTATION

Given the preceding construction schedules, commissioning of Will County's new bottom ash treatment system is expected to occur in two phases: first the new Low Volume Waste Pond, then the remote SSC.

3.8.1 COMMISSION LOW VOLUME WASTE BASIN

Upon installation of the new Low Volume Waste Basin's geomembrane liner and inlet and effluent pipelines, MWG can begin commissioning the basin. This work will include inspecting and testing the new pipelines and outlet structure to ensure they are functional, operate as designed, and are reliable. Should issues arise during the commissioning process, appropriate modifications will be made to ensure the design requirements are met.

After the new Low Volume Waste Basin has been commissioned and MWG has accepted the Civil Contractor's work, Will County may start using the new system for non-CCR wastestreams currently being sent to South Ash Pond 2. Commissioning the new Low Volume Waste Basin is expected to take two weeks to ensure it has been installed in accordance with the design specifications, operates in accordance with the applicable permits, and is reliable. Thus, it is expected that Will County will have a new Low Volume Waste Basin for the non-CCR wastestreams currently being sent to South Ash Pond 2 by April 28, 2023.
3.8.2 COMMISSION REMOTE SSC

Once the Mechanical and Electrical Contractors complete the installation of the mechanical, electrical, and I&C components to support operation of the SSC and its ancillary equipment, MWG can beginning commissioning the SSC to ensure it operates as intended. The fabric enclosure must also be erected before commissioning can start, otherwise the ash placed in the ash dewatering bunker during commissioning would be considered a "CCR storage pile" pursuant to the proposed 35 III. Adm. Code 845.120 and would require a groundwater monitoring system and active fugitive dust control measures (tarping, periodic wetting, *etc.*).

Field service engineers from the SSC Vendor will use a prepared start-up plan to ensure each piece of equipment for the remote SSC is operational and functional. The commissioning process will also include inspecting and testing the new effluent pipelines to ensure they are functional, operate as designed, and are reliable. Once the SSC has been commissioned as a system, the SSC Vendor will work with the Station to optimize and tune the system as necessary to ensure it operates at maximum efficiency in accordance with the design specifications. Modifications will be made as necessary in order to meet the performance requirements.

Overall, the commissioning process for the entire remote SSC system is expected to take approximately three weeks. Thus, MWG expects to have alternative disposal capacity at Will County for the CCR wastestreams currently being sent to South Ash Pond 2 by July 27, 2023.

4.0 PROJECT SCHEDULE: PROGRESS TO DATE

This section presents a narrative of the progress MWG has made in installing a new bottom ash treatment system at Will County to replace the non-compliant South Ash Ponds 2 and 3. The project commenced in the fall of 2018 with the development of conceptual engineering solutions for the non-compliant ash ponds at MWG's Will County, Powerton, and Waukegan stations. Per the project schedule presented and discussed in Sections 2.0 and 3.0, MWG intends to start procuring a vendor to design, furnish, manufacture, and deliver a remote SSC to Will County in January 2021. The corresponding detailed engineering and design work for the BOP components is set to commence in late March 2021 upon adoption of the Final Illinois CCR Rule into Title 35 of the Illinois Administrative Code.

To date, MWG has completed the following steps to develop the new bottom ash treatment system that will replace South Ash Ponds 2 and 3 at Will County:

- Took South Ash Pond 3 out of service for routine cleaning,
- Evaluated several options for obtaining alternative disposal capacity to replace the non-compliant South Ash Ponds 2 and 3,
- Developed a conceptual design for the new bottom ash treatment system to be installed at Will County,
- Actively participated in Illinois's rulemaking for CCR surface impoundments, and
- Engaged in preliminary discussions with vendors for installing a remote SSC and for erecting a fabric enclosure for the new SSC equipment.

5.0 DEMONSTRATION OF COMPLIANCE

Pursuant to criteria listed in 40 CFR 257.103(f)(1)(iv)(B), the following information demonstrates that Will County's South Ash Ponds 2 and 3 are in compliance with the EPA CCR Rule.

5.1 SIGNED CERTIFICATION OF COMPLIANCE

In accordance with 40 CFR 257.103(f)(1)(iv)(B)(1), a certification of compliance signed by Will County's plant manager is included with this demonstration in Appendix C.1.

5.2 VISUAL REPRESENTATION OF SITE HYDROGEOLOGY

In accordance with 40 CFR 257.103(f)(1)(iv)(B)(2), the following information is provided in Appendix C.2 to provide a visual representation of hydrogeology at and around South Ash Ponds 2 and 3 that supports the design, construction, and installation of the unit's groundwater monitoring system:

- Maps showing the locations of the groundwater monitoring wells,
- Well construction diagrams and drilling logs for the groundwater monitoring wells, and
- Maps characterizing the direction of groundwater flow under South Ash Ponds 2 and 3 (including seasonal variations).

5.3 GROUNDWATER MONITORING CONSTITUENT CONCENTRATIONS

In accordance with 40 CFR 257.103(f)(1)(iv)(B)(3), a table summarizing the constituent concentrations recorded during each sampling event at each groundwater monitoring around South Ash Ponds 2 and 3 is provided in Appendix C.3.

5.4 NARRATIVE OF SITE HYDROGEOLOGY

In accordance with 40 CFR 257.103(f)(1)(iv)(B)(4), a narrative description of the Will County site's hydrogeology and stratigraphic cross sections are provided in Appendix C.2.

5.5 CORRECTIVE MEASURES ASSESSMENTS

To date, Will County has not had to perform a corrective measures assessment required by 40 CFR 257.96 for South Ash Ponds 2 and 3. Accordingly, no corrective measures assessment is included in this demonstration.

5.6 CORRECTIVE ACTION REMEDY REPORTS

To date, Will County has not had to perform any corrective action remedies required by 40 CFR 257.97 for South Ash Ponds 2 and 3. Accordingly, no corrective action remedy reports are included in this demonstration.

5.7 STRUCTURAL STABILITY ASSESSMENT

Per Table 1, South Ash Ponds 2 and 3 both have heights of approximately eight feet and storage volumes less than 25,000 cubic yards, or 15.5 acre-ft. Pursuant to 40 CFR 257.73(b), MWG is not required to conduct the periodic structural stability assessments specified in 40 CFR 257.73(d) for these ash ponds because they have storage volumes less than 20 acre-feet and heights less than 20 feet. Accordingly, no structural stability assessment is included in this demonstration.

5.8 SAFETY FACTOR ASSESSMENT

Per Table 1, South Ash Ponds 2 and 3 both have heights of approximately eight feet and storage volumes less than 25,000 cubic yards, or 15.5 acre-feet. Pursuant to 40 CFR 257.73(b), MWG is not required to conduct the periodic safety factor assessments specified in 40 CFR 257.73(e) for these ash ponds because they have storage volumes less than 20 acre-feet and heights less than 20 feet. Accordingly, no safety factor assessment is included in this demonstration.

6.0 REFERENCES

- 1. 40 CFR Part 257 Subpart D, "Standards for the Disposal of Coal Combustion Residuals in Landfills and Surface Impoundments."
- 2. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, "Steam Electric Power Generating Effluent Guidelines Questionnaire," <u>https://www.epa.gov/eg/steam-electric-power-generating-effluent-guidelines-</u> guestionnaire, Accessed 11/25/2020.
- 3. Illinois Public Act 101-0171, "Coal Ash Pollution Prevention," Effective 07/30/2019, http://www.ilga.gov/legislation/publicacts/101/PDF/101-0171.pdf, Accessed 11/25/2020.
- 4. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, "Steam Electric Reconsideration Rule," 85 Fed. Reg. 198, pp. 64650–64723, 10/13/2020.
- 5. Geosyntec Consultants, "Placement Above the Uppermost Aquifer Location Restrictions, South Ash Ponds 2S and 3S, Will County Station," October 2018.
- 6. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, "Effluent Limitations Guidelines and Standards for the Steam Electric power Generating Point Source Category," 80 Fed. Reg. 212, pp. 67838–67903, 11/03/2015.
- 7. U.S. Court of Appeals, District of Columbia Circuit, *Utility Solid Waste Activities Group et al. v. Environmental Protection Agency*, No. 15-1219, 08/21/2018.
- 8. Proposed 35 Ill. Adm. Code Part 845, "Standards for the Disposal of Coal Combustion Residuals in Surface Impoundments." Published 03/30/2020.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, "Hazardous and Solid Waste Management System: Disposal of Coal Combustion Residuals from Electric Utilities, Part VI (Development of Final Rule – Technical Requirements)," 80 Fed. Reg. 74, p. 21423, 04/17/2015.
- 10. Title 35 Illinois Administrative Code Section 811.107, "Standards for New Solid Waste Landfills, Operating Standards."
- 11. Illinois Department of Transportation, "Understanding the Illinois Size & Weight Laws," 2019.
- 12. Illinois Department of Transportation, "Getting Around Illinois, Annual Average Daily Traffic," <u>http://www.gettingaroundillinois.com/gai.htm?mt=aadt</u>, Accessed 11/25/2020.
- 13. Federal Emergency Management Agency, "Flood Insurance Rate Map, Will County, Illinois, Panel 65 of 585," Map No. 17197C0065G, 02/15/2019.
- 14. Illinois General Assembly, "Bill Status of SB0009, 101st General Assembly," <u>https://www.ilga.gov/legislation/billstatus.asp?DocNum=0009&GAID=15&GA=101&DocTypeID=SB&LegI</u> <u>D=113581&SessionID=108&SpecSess=</u>, Accessed 11/25/2020.
- 15. Rain For Rent, "B-40 LakeTank," <u>http://www.rainforrent.com/equipment/b-40-laketank/</u>, Accessed 11/25/2020.
- 16. "Illinois EPA's Pre-Filed Answers." Illinois Pollution Control Board Case No. R2020-019. 08/03/2020.
- 17. "Transcript of August 12, 2020 Hearing." Illinois Pollution Control Board Case No. R20-19. 08/12/2020.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, *Guide for Industrial Waste Management*, "Part IV, Protecting Ground Water; Chapter 7: Section B, Designing and Installing Liners; Technical Considerations for New Surface Impoundments, Landfills and Waste Piles," 2016.

APPENDIX A - CONCEPTUAL DESIGN DRAWINGS

Drawing No.	Drawing Title	Rev.	Date
WC-CSK-001	Site Plan	0	11-25-2020
WC-CSK-100	Project Site Plan	0	11-25-2020
WC-CSK-101	SSC Foundation & Enclosure Plan	0	11-25-2020
WC-CSK-102	Low Volume Waste Basin Plan	0	11-25-2020





UNDERGROUND OR EMBEDDED UTILITIES MAY BE LOCATED WITHIN OR ADJACENT TO THE AREA IN WHICH EXCAVATION, DEMOLITION, FOUNDATION, OR MODIFICATION WORK IS TO BE PERFORMED. REFERENCES RELATING TO THE UNDERGROUND OR EMBEDDED UTILITIES ARE PROVIDED TO ASSIST THE CONTRACTOR/INSTALLER IN THE FIELD LOCATING THOSE UTILITIES AND OTHER POSSIBLE UNDERGROUND OR EMBEDDED INTERFERENCES WITH THE WORK. THE CONTRACTOR/INSTALLER SHALL EXERCISE DUE CAUTION DURING ALL EXCAVATION/FOUNDATION/DEMOLITION WORK.

2

		1		1
NO.	H	OLD INFORMATION		
				E
CONT	RACTOR/INST	ALLER SHALL TAKE ALL		
APPR OF A INCL	UPRIATE PRE LL PEOPLE L UDING CONTR	CAUTIONS TO ENSURE T OCATED ON THE WORK S ACTOR'S/INSTALLER'S	HE SAFETY ITE• PERSONNEL	
(OR PERF	THAT OF ITS ORMING THE	SUB-CONTRACTOR(S)) WORK.		
REV.	REL DATE	EASE INFORMATION DESCRIPTION	v	_
0	11-25-2020	FOR USE		
				D
I SSU SPEC	E PURPOSE:	FOR USE		
PROJ	ECT NO.:	12661-099		
				с
CAD	ARED BY: J.	WC-CSK-001.DGN CHAVEZ		
REVI	EWED BY: T.	DEHL IN		
APPR	OVED BY: T.	DEHLIN	<u> </u>	
ANY DRAW Sarg	MUDIFICATIU ING BY AN D ENT & LUNDY	N OR ADDILION TO THE RGANIZATION OTHER TH • IS NOT THE RESPONS	S AN IBILITY	
OF S	ARGENT & LU	NDY.		
	Conce			
	Sarge			
	55 E	ARGENT & LUNDY AST MONROE STREET		
	CHICAGO	• ILLINDIS 60603-578	0	В
		PROJECT		\vdash
	MIDWEST	GENERATION.	LLC	
	GENEI	RATING STATION	J	
		UNIT 4		
		DRAWING TITLE		۸ ۲
<u>.</u>				AM 01.d
		SITE PLAN		* : 11 SK -0
				10:57 *WC-C
	DRAWI		REVISION	20 ings¥
	WC-C	CSK-001	\cap	5/20. Jraw
SHE	ET 1	OF 1	0	11/2
		1		•





KEY PLAN SCALE: 1" = 4000'

PRELIMINARY NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION



1 HOLD INFORMATION	
NO. DESCRIPTION	
CONTRACTOR/INSTALLER SHALL TAKE ALL APPROPRIATE PRECAUTIONS TO ENSURE THE SAFETY OF ALL PEOPLE LOCATED ON THE WORK SITE. INCLUDING CONTRACTOR'S/INSTALLER'S PERSONNEL (OR THAT OF ITS SUB-CONTRACTOR(S)) PERFORMING THE WORK. RELEASE INFORMATION	E
REV. DATE DESCRIPTION 0 11-25-2020 FOR USE ISSUE PURPOSE: FOR USE	D
CAD FILE NAME: WC-CSK-100.DGN PREPARED BY: J. CHAVEZ REVIEWED BY: T. DEHLIN APPROVED BY: T. DEHLIN	С
DRAWING BY AN ORGANIZATION OTHER THAN SARGENT & LUNDY, IS NOT THE RESPONSIBILITY OF SARGENT & LUNDY. Sargent & LUNDY. SARGENT & LUNDY SARGENT & LUNDY S55 EAST MONROE STREET CHICAGO, ILLINDIS 60603-5780	В
PROJECT MIDWEST GENERATION, LLC WILL COUNTY GENERATING STATION UNIT 4 DRAWING TITLE PROJECT SITE PLAN PROJECT SITE PLAN NC-CSK-100	11/24/2020 10:35:19 AM > *Drawings*WC-CSK-100.dan





<u>KEY PLAN</u> SCALE: 1" = 4000'





LEGEND

ASPHALT

STRUCTURAL FILL

NOTES

FOR PROJECT SITE PLAN SEE DRAWING WC-CSK-100. AERIAL IMAGE IS FROM GOOGLE EARTH PRO v7.3 IMAGE IS DATED 10/08/2019.

REFERENCE DRAWINGS

WC-CSK-001 SITE PLAN

WC-CSK-100 PROJECT SITE PLAN WC-CSK-102 LOW VOLUME WASTE BASIN PLAN

UNDERGROUND OR EMBEDDED UTILITIES MAY BE LOCATED WITHIN OR ADJACENT TO THE AREA IN WHICH EXCAVATION, DEMOLITION, FOUNDATION, OR MODIFICATION WORK IS TO BE PERFORMED. REFERENCES RELATING TO THE UNDERGROUND OR EMBEDDED UTILITIES ARE PROVIDED TO ASSIST THE CONTRACTOR/INSTALLER

IN THE FIELD LOCATING THOSE UTILITIES AND OTHER POSSIBLE UNDERGROUND OR EMBEDDED INTERFERENCES WITH THE WORK. THE CONTRACTOR/INSTALLER SHALL EXERCISE DUE CAUTION DURING ALL EXCAVATION/FOUNDATION/DEMOLITION WORK.







KEY PLAN SCALE: 1" = 4000'



		1		1
NO.	H	DESCRIPTION		
				_
				E
CONT	RACTOR/INST	ALLER SHALL TAKE ALL		
APPR OF A	OPRIATE PRE LL PEOPLE L UDING CONTR	CAUTIONS TO ENSURE TH OCATED ON THE WORK S ACTOR'SZINSTALLER'S H	HE SAFETY ITE: PERSONNFI	
(OR PERF	THAT OF ITS ORMING THE	SUB-CONTRACTOR(S)) WORK.		
DEV	REL	EASE INFORMATION		
ке v. О	11-25-2020	FOR USE	V	
				D
1000				
SPEC	IFICATION:			
PROJ	ECT NO.:	12661-099		
				C
				0
CAD	FILE NAME:	WC-CSK-102.DGN		
PREP	ARED BY: J.	CHAVEZ		
	EWED BY: T.	DEHLIN DEHLIN		
ANY	MODIFICATIO	N OR ADDITION TO THI	S	
SARG OF S	ENT & LUNDY ARGENT & LU	• IS NOT THE RESPONS NDY.	AN IBILITY	
	Sarge	ent & Lundy	LLC	
	55 E	ARGENT & LUNDY "" AST MONROE STREET		
	CHICAGU	• ILLINDIS 60603-578	0	В
		PROJECT		
	MIDWFST	GENERATION.	LLC	
	W	ILL COUNTY		
	GENEI	RATING STATION	1	
		UNII 4		
		DRAWING TITLE		a ngb
				AM 102.
	LOW VO	UME WASTE BAS	SIN	4:41 SK-
		PLAN		11:2 *WC -(
	DRAWI	NG NUMBER	REVISION	20 ∂ngs∮
	WC-C	SK-102	\cap	5/20.)r.aw
SHE	ET 1	OF 1	0	1/25 ••*E
		1		• •

APPENDIX B - PROCESS FLOW DIAGRAMS

Drawing No.	Drawing Title	Rev.	Date
WC-CSK-PFD-001	Existing Water Block Flow Diagram	0	11-25-2020
WC-CSK-PFD-002	Proposed Water Block Flow Diagram for EPA CCR Rule Compliance	0	11-25-2020





APPENDIX C - COMPLIANCE DOCUMENTATION

Appendix No.	Document Title
C.1	Certification of Compliance
C.2	Geology/Hydrogeology
C.3	Analytical Data Table Thru 2 nd Quarter 2020

<u>APPENDIX C.1</u> Certification of Compliance

On behalf of Midwest Generation, LLC, I hereby certify that the Will County Generating Station is in compliance with all of the requirements of 40 CFR Part 257 Subpart D, "Standards for the Disposal of Coal Combustion Residuals in Landfills and Surface Impoundments."

Bradley Castle

Plant Manager, Will County Generating Station

11-25-20

Date

<u>APPENDIX C.2</u> Geology/Hydrogeology

APPENDIX C.2

GEOLOGY/HYDROGEOLOGY

C.2.1 INTRODUCTION

The Will County Station is located on a strip of land between the Des Plaines River and the Chicago Sanitary and Ship Canal (CSSC) in Romeoville, Illinois. It is bordered by Romeo Road and vacant land to the north, the Des Plaines River to the west, a rock quarry to the south and the CSSC to the east. A hydrogeologic assessment in the vicinity of the ash ponds (Ash Pond 1 North [inactive], Ash Pond 1 South [inactive], Ash Pond 2 South [active] and Ash Pond 3 South [active]) was completed by Patrick Engineering, Inc. and summarized in a report dated February 2011. A total of 10 monitoring wells were installed (MW-1 through MW-10) as part of that study, not all of which are included within the current federal Coal Combustion Residual (CCR) Rule monitoring network. Additional monitoring wells MW-11 and MW-12 were installed by KPRG and Associates, Inc. (KPRG) in the vicinity of the two active ash ponds to better define the groundwater flow system and meet compliance with the federal CCR Rule. At the present time, the overall CCR monitoring well network consists of six monitoring wells (MW-5, MW-6 and MW-9 through MW-12) as shown on Figure C.2-1. Soil boring logs and well construction summaries are provided for these wells in Attachment C.2-1.

C.2.2 SITE GEOLOGY

Based on information provided in the Patrick Engineering Hydrogeologic Assessment Report dated 2011 and site data, regionally the stratigraphy in the area consists of approximately 1 to greater than 20 feet of unconsolidated deposits which is underlain by Silurian Dolomite bedrock to approximately 140 feet below ground surface (bgs). The dolomite is underlain by the Maquoketa Shale which is considered to be a regional aquitard separating the shallow groundwater within the unconsolidated deposits and Silurian Dolomite from the deeper underlying Cambro-Ordovician aquifers.

Site specific stratigraphy to a depth of approximately 28 feet bgs (the maximum depth of borings in the vicinity of the subject ash ponds) is described as follows:

- Fill (8' to 11.5' thick) Consisting of brown and black silty clay and silty sand mixed with gravel and crushed limestone. The fill may include coal, black cinders and slag.
- Silty sand, silt and clay (0' to approximately 8.5' thick) Consisting of gravelly tan to brown silty sand fining downward to gray/greenish mottled silty clays and clay. This layer may not be present at all locations across the site.
- Dolomite bedrock (thickness undetermined; borings terminate within unit). Top of weathered bedrock is generally encountered between 9' and greater than 20' bgs with depth increasing towards the southwest. It is noted that at monitoring well location MW-12,

(furthest boring at southwest corner of the subject area) top of bedrock was not encountered at the terminus of the boring at 20 feet bgs.

A geologic cross-section is provided in Figure C.2-2.

C.2.3 SITE HYDROGEOLOGY

Water level data from each CCR monitoring well are summarized in Table C.2-1 through the most recent sampling completed in second quarter 2020. Groundwater occurs under unconfined conditions with depth to groundwater ranging from approximately 8' to 12' across the site. Saturated conditions are generally encountered near or coincident with the top of weathered bedrock except at location MW-12 where, as previously noted, bedrock was not encountered at the terminus depth of the boring (20').

The water levels from the most recent sampling in the second quarter 2020 were used to generate a groundwater flow map which is provided on Figure C.2-3. It is noted that concurrently measured water elevation data from other monitoring wells in the area that are not part of the CCR monitoring well network were also used in development of the groundwater flow map. Groundwater flow beneath the ash ponds is generally in a westerly to southwesterly direction.

A summary of the water quality analytical data generated for the CCR monitoring wells to date through the most recent sampling in the second quarter of 2020 is provided in Appendix C.3.

		Top of Casing	Depth to	Groundwater
Well ID	Date	Elevation	Groundwater	Elevation
	11/9/2015	(it above MBL) 592.87	9.99	(IT above MBL) 582.88
	2/16/2016	592.87	9.91	582.96
	5/24/2016	592.87	9.94	582.93
	8/9/2016	592.87	10.09	582.78
	10/25/2016	592.87	9.02	583.85
	1/31/2017	592.87	9.81	583.06
	5/9/2017	592.87	9.63	583.24
	6/27/2017	592.87	10.26	582.61
MW-05	9/6/2017	592.87	10.48	582.39
	11/16/2017	592.87	10.02	582.85
	2/28/2018	592.87	9.48	583.39
	5/1/2018	592.87	9.94	582.93
	10/2/2018	592.87	10.64	582.23
	5/28/2019	592.87	8 73	584.14
	12/5/2019	592.07	0.02	582.05
	5/22/2019	502.87	9.92	592.49
	5/22/2020	592.87	9.39	582.22
	2/16/2015	593.18	9.96	585.22
	2/10/2016	502.10	11.37	501.01
	3/24/2016	502.10	11.57	581.64
	6/9/2016	593.18	11.54	581.04
	10/25/2016	593.18	11.37	581.81
	1/31/2017	593.18	11.24	581.94
	5/9/2017	593.18	10.86	582.32
MW-06	6/27/2017	593.18	11.55	581.63
	9/6/2017	593.18	11.77	581.41
	11/16/2017	593.18	11.49	581.69
	2/28/2018	593.18	10.91	582.27
	5/1/2018	593.18	11.47	581.71
	10/2/2018	593.18	11.89	581.29
	5/28/2019	593.18	10.18	583.00
	12/5/2019	593.18	11.51	581.67
	5/22/2020	593.18	10.55	582.63
	11/9/2015	592.87	11.38	581.49
	2/16/2016	592.87	11.03	581.84
	5/24/2016	592.87	11.35	581.52
	8/9/2016	592.87	11.43	581.44
	10/25/2016	592.87	10.74	582.13
	1/31/2017	592.87	11.15	581.72
	5/9/2017	592.87	10.45	582.42
MW-09	6/27/2017	592.87	11.66	581.21
	9/6/2017	592.87	11.95	580.92
	11/14/2017	592.87	11.54	581.33
	2/27/2018	592.87	10.13	582.74
	5/1/2018	592.87	11.39	581.48
	10/2/2018	592.87	11.91	580.96
	5/28/2019	592.87	9.65	583.22
	12/5/2019	592.87	11.17	581.70
	5/26/2020	592.87	9.67	583.20
	11/9/2015	590.96	10.65	580.31
	2/16/2016	590.96	10.43	580.53
	5/24/2016	590.96	10.72	580.24
	8/9/2016	590.96	11.12	579.84
	10/25/2016	590.96	10.73	580.23
	1/31/2017	590.96	10.37	580.59
	5/9/2017	590.96	9.78	581.18
MW-10	6/27/2017	590.96	11.09	579.87
	9/6/2017	590.96	11.20	579.76
	11/15/2017	590.96	10.76	580.20
	2/27/2018	590.96	9.54	581.42
	5/1/2018	590.96	10.64	580.32
	10/2/2018	590.96	11.12	579.84
	5/28/2019	590.96	9.02	581.94
	12/5/2019	590.96	10.28	580.68
	5/27/2020	590.96	8.89	582.07

MSL - Mean Sea Level

TOC - Top of Casing

Well ID	Date	Top of Casing Elevation (ft above MSL)	Depth to Groundwater (ft below TOC)	Groundwater Elevation (ft above MSL)
	11/9/2015	590.69	10.28	580.41
	2/16/2016	590.69	10.15	580.54
	5/24/2016	590.69	10.25	580.44
	8/9/2016	590.69	10.66	580.03
	10/25/2016	590.69	10.42	580.27
	1/31/2017	590.69	9.91	580.78
	5/9/2017	590.69	9.21	581.48
MW-11	6/27/2017	590.69	10.48	580.21
	9/6/2017	590.69	10.73	579.96
	11/15/2017	590.69	10.43	580.26
	5/1/2018	590.69	10.18	580.51
	10/2/2018	590.69	10.59	580.10
	5/28/2019	590.69	8.32	582.37
	12/5/2019	590.69	9.85	580.84
	5/26/2020	590.69	8.09	582.60
	11/9/2015	590.81	10.15	580.66
	2/16/2016	590.81	10.24	580.57
	5/24/2016	590.81	10.31	580.50
	8/9/2016	590.81	10.73	580.08
	10/25/2016	590.81	10.45	580.36
	1/31/2017	590.81	10.16	580.65
	5/9/2017	590.81	9.88	580.93
MW-12	6/27/2017	590.81	10.62	580.19
	9/6/2017	590.81	10.61	580.20
	11/15/2017	590.81	10.20	580.61
	5/1/2018	590.81	10.30	580.51
	10/2/2018	590.81	10.77	580.04
	5/28/2019	590.81	9.17	581.64
	12/5/2019	590.81	10.15	580.66
	5/22/2020	590.81	9.88	580.93

MSL - Mean Sea Level

TOC - Top of Casing













_	KPRG	Project	No.	12313.3	FIGURE	C.2

582.97 P(1	N 583.43 ASH OND -S 583.25 /
MW-09 583.20 ASH PON 4 MW-112-5 502.60	H D MW-05 583.48
MW-10- 582.07 MW-12- 580.93	582.63 MW-06 D 583.0 583.0
0 128' APPROXIMATE SCALE	NOTE: BACKGROUND MAP RETRIEVED FROM GOOGLE MAPS 2012 LEGEND: 551 GROUNDWATER CONTOUR LINE 551 INFERRED GROUNDWATER CONTOUR LINE GROUNDWATER FLOW LINE WW-04 D NON-CCR MONITORING WELL
ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTATION & REMEDIATION KPRG and Associates, inc.	CCR GROUNDWATER CONTOUR 5/2020 WILL COUNTY STATION ROMEOVILLE, ILLINOIS
14665 West Lisbon Road, Suite 1A Brookfield, Wisconsin 53005 Telephone 262-781-0475 Facsimile 262-781-0478	Scale: 1" = 128 Date: June 23, 2020 KPRG Project No. 12313.3 FIGURE C.2-3

ATTACHMENT C.2-1 Boring Logs and Well Construction Summaries

PATRICK ENGINEERING INC.

BORING NUMBER CLIENT PROJECT & NO. LOCATION

21053.070

Will County Station

B-MW-5-Wi **Midwest Generation**

SHEET 1 OF 1

LOGGED BY MPG GROUND FLEVATION 589.6

			ATION JUSIU						
ELEVATION	DEPTH (FT)	STRATA	SOIL/ROCK DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE TYPE & NO. DEPTH (FT) RECOVERY(IN)	BLOW COUNTS	PL 10 Un	Water Con 20 3 20 3 confined Con Strength (T 2	tent LL 0 40 50 1pressive SF) ₩ 3 4 5	NOTES & TEST RESULTS
589.6 581.6 581.1 580.6	0.0 8.0 8.5 9.0		Brown silty clay, fine gravel, coarse gravel, crushed limestone FILL Dry ✓ Brown gravel, clay, silt, wet GC Weathered limestone bedrock	SS-1 1.0-2.5 14"R SS-2 3.5-5.0 14"R SS-3 6.0-7.5 10"R SS-4 8.5-10.0 4"R	4 6 10 21 10 11 15 8 50/0"				qu=NT Bentonite seal 2.0'-8.0'. Stickup protective cover installed. qu=NT qu=NT Sand pack 8.0'-19.0' qu=NT Set screen (slot 0.010") 9.0'-19.0'
569.6	20.0		End of Boring at 20.0				-		1
DRILL DRILL DRILL DRILL	DRILLING CONTRACTOR Groff Testing REMARKS WATER LEVEL (ft.) DRILLING METHOD 4.25" I.D. HSA Installed 2" diameter PVC								

				ЪΒ	BORING NUMBER B-MW			B-MW-	-6-WI SHEET 1 OF 1				
PATRICK ENGINEERING INC					С	CLIENT Midwest G			est Ge	neration			
				P	PROJECT & NO. 210			21053.070					
					ノレ	OCATI	ON	Wil	l Count	ty Station			
LOGG	iED B	Y	MPG										
GROU				589.8		-	····		······				
ē	E						SAMPLE			water Co 	ntent 	L	NOTEO
AT	E	ATA		SOIL/ROCK			TYPE & NO.	ST ST	10	20	30 40	50	NOTES &
L L	L L L	L ₹		DESCRIPTION			DEPTH (FT)	182	Und	confined Co Strength (mpressive TSF) X	TES	T RESULTS
<u> </u>			Onucha							2	3 4	5	_
000.0		×	coal cir	id stone, brown medium san: iders, dry	o, dia	CK							
i		***		FILL				7		ĺ			u t
							1.0-2.5	11					
		***					10"R	8					
	 .							1					1
							· <u> </u>]				Bent	onite seal
							SS-2	6				3.0'-8	3.0°. Stickup
							10"R	13				instal	lled.
								4		ľ		qu=N	IT
		****										-	
		***					55-3	4				au=N	π
		***					6.0-7.5	7				1	
		****					11"K	16					ĺ
581.8	8.0	***										1	
			Gray sil coarse :	ity clay, coarse to tine gravel sand, wet	, traci	e						Set s	creen (slot
580.8	9.0		⊻	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		CL	8.5-10.0	/ 9				Sand	pack 8.0-18.0
	Ē						12"R	18				qu=N	iŤ į
579.3	10.5	///											
		17	Weathe	red limestone bedrock									
	F	Ţ										Setu	p NX core
	F	T										barre	& cored
	-											baare	
	-	1											
	F												
	F												
	F												
	-												
	F												Į
	F												
	Ē		-										
571 0	100												
5/1.0				End of Boring at 18.0'	• •••								
				·				Í	1				
										ľ			
יייואל				Groff Toothan		0							
						KEM				<u>VVATER</u>	LEVEL (f	<u>)</u>	
	NG E/	בוחנ הנוור	JU Ment	4.23 1.U. MSA		Insta moni	uea 2" diame torina well	eter P	VG	⊈ 9.0 ~			
										¥ 			
	RILLING STARTED 10/12/10 ENDED 10/12/10												

PATRICK ENGINEERING INC.

BORING NUMBER CLIENT PROJECT & NO. LOCATION

B-MW-9-Wi Sh Midwest Generation 21053.070

Will County Station

SHEET 1 OF 1

LOGGED BY MPG GROUND ELEVATION 589.8

N N	I F			SAMPLE		PL m	Water Cor	ntent		
Ē		≰	SOIL/ROCK	TYPE & NO.	က	10		30 40 50	NOTES	
	12	≵	DESCRIPTION	DEPTH (FT)	3	Unci	onfined Cor	npressive		
E	l H	5		RECOVERY(IN)	20 No	1	Strength (1	ISF)¥K 3 4 ∌	TEST RESULTS	
589.8	0.0		Crushed rock, coarse sand, some silt				- []			
		****	FILL	L						
				SS-1	4				au=NT	
				1.0-2.5	7				1	
		***		14"R	9					
									Bentonite seal	
				SS-2	з				3.0'-8.0'. Stickup	
				3.5-5.0	11				protective cover	
				7.01	0				qu=NT	
			Some brown silty clay							
583.8	6.0	XXX								
			Gray slity clay, fine and coarse gravel, some	SS-3	4				qu=NT	
			GO	2 16"R	13					
						Í			Cand and a 0 40 0	
		1/2		<u>\$\$-4</u>	4				Sano pack 6.0-19.0	
				8.5-10.0	10				gu=ini Set sereen (elet	
			Moist	17"R	11				0.010") 9.0'-19.0'	
									,	
			Clayey gravei							
578.3	11.5			SS-5	5				au=NT	
			Weather limestone bedrock	11.0-12.5	5					
	ļ	╶╌┼┤		12"R	50/3°				Cored bedrock to	
									22.0'	
	-				i					
	Ł									
	Ŀ									
	F	, 1								
	F	T-								
	Ē					ł				
	Þ	<u>+</u> L								
	F									
	E									
570.9	10.0									
510.0	19.0		End of Boring at 19.0'	<u> </u>						
DRILLI	DRILLING CONTRACTOR Groff Testing									
DRILLI	NG M	ETHO	DD 4.25" I.D. HSA In	stalled 2" diamet	ter Pì	vc 🔤	⊈ 11.5'			
DRILLI	DRILLING EQUIPMENT CME 550 ATV monitoring well.									
DRILLI	DRILLING STARTED 10/19/10 ENDED 10/19/10									

					ЪВ	BORING NUMBER B-MW-10-WI SHEET 1 OF				1 OF 1			
PATRICK ENGINEERING INC					C	CLIENT Midwest C				ration			
II • '						PROJECT & NO. 21053.07							
						OCATI	ON	Will	County S	Station			
lrogg	ED B	Y	MPG										
GROL	GROUND ELEVATION 591.3												
S	Ē						SAMPLE			/ater Co	ntent		
F	H (I	ΤA	-	SOIL/ROCK			TYPE & NO.	22	10	20	30 40	50	NOTES
<u>⊇</u>	L L L	IRA		DESCRIPTION			DEPTH (FT)	§S	Uncon	fined Co trenath (mpressive TSF) X		TEST RESULTS
		Ś	-				RECOVERT(IN)	1 20		2	3 4	5	
591.3	0.0	****	Crushe	d limestone, silt, gravel		FII (
							<u>\$\$-1</u>	7					
		***					1.0-2.5	10					
		****					4"R	12					Bentonite seal
													2.0'-8.0'. Flush
		****						42		1			cover installed.
							3.5-5.0	18					qu=NT
		***					14"R	8					

		***					SS-3 6.0-7.5	18 50/5"					qu=NT
		***					4"R						

		***											Sand pack 8.0'-20.0'
	Ŕ	***					\$S-4	13					qu≡NT
804.0	10.0	***					8.5-10.0 4"R	17 50/1"					
861,3	1 9 .9K	*	_ <u>∇</u> Weather	red limestone, clay, sand	aravel								Set screen (slot
				···· · ···· · ························		GC							0.010") (slot 0.010")
ļ							SS-5	17					10.0'-20.0'
579.3	12.0	∂A	10/	en el Renne de matrice du matri			11.0-12.5 0"R	50/0"				ľ	40~141
	F		weatter	red limestone bedrock								ŀ	-21.0'
	F	┯╼┸┥											
	F												-
	F	T											
	F												
	E												
	E												
	E												
		┯┹┦											
Í	E												
	Ē	┲╧┨								;			
571.3	20.0												
				End of Boring at 20.0'									
										I			
DRILLI		ONTI	RACTOR	Groff Testina			ARKS					<u></u> ዘ ነ	
DRILLI	ING M	ЕТНО	CD	4.25" I.D. HSA		Insta	lied 2" diame	ter P	vc 🔤	10.0	<u> </u>	<u>16-1</u>	
DRILLI	DRILLING EQUIPMENT CME 550 ATV monitoring well.												
DRILLING STARTED 10/21/10 ENDED 10/21/10													

EN V I I	Midi	AL CONSULTATION & REMEDIATION KPRG and Associates, Inc. West Generation, LLC Will County Station Romeoville, Illinois Project No. 12313	GEOLOGIC LOG OF MW-11 (Page 1 of 2) Date Started : 09/14/15 Date Well Set : 09/14/15 Drilling Tools : 8 1/4 HSA Reaming Tools : None Drill Rig : Deitrich D-120 Driller Name/Co : J. Luna / Earth Solutions			Total Boring Depth : 28.0 feet Well Bottom Depth : 20.0 feet Surface Elev. : xxx feet above MS TOC Elev. : xxx feet above MS Groundwater Elev. : xxx feet above MS Riser Material : 2" Sch 40 PVC Screen Material : 2" Sch 40 PVC, 0 Coordinate N : Logged By : P. Allenstein			
Depth in Feet	Surf. Elev. 575	D	ESCRIPTION	% RQD	% Recovery	Well Diagram:			
0-	- 575 - 574	Roadway of Sand and Gravel, dr	ry.	ltv. dry		1	☐ ☐ Concrete with ☐ ☐ Flushmount		
2—	- 573	CLAX brown with cond and and			_				
3-	- 572	GRAVEL limestone/dolomite_dt	ver, slightly moist		-		Bentonite Grout		
4-	- 571	, 	, io engini, incidi						
5-	- 570	- some sand					Riser		
6-	- 569						2" Sch 40 PVC		
7-	- 568	_ _		- - -					
8-	- 567	CLAY, dark brown and black, slit	y, some sand and g	avel, moist.					
9- 10-	- 566								
11-	- 564								
12—	- 563								
13—	- 562 ·		<u> </u>						
14—	- 561	Weathered Bedrock, dolomite.					Filter Sand		
15-	- 560						Screen, 0.010 slot		
16—	- 559								
17	- 558								
18	• 557								
19-	- 556								
20	- 554								

Deph Fee Surf. Bev. DESCRIPTION g g g g g g g g g g g g g g g g g g g		Nidy Nidy	KPRG and Associates, Inc. West Generation, LLC Will County Station Romeoville, Illinois Project No. 12313	GEOLOGIC LOG OF MW-11 (Page 2 of 2) Date Started : 09/14/15 Date Well Set : 09/14/15 Drilling Tools : 8 1/4 HSA Reaming Tools : None Drill Rig : Deitrich D-120 Driller Name/Co : J. Luna / Earth Solutions			Boring Dep ottom Dep e Elev. Slev. dwater Ele Material nate rial nate N nate E 3 By	oth : 28.0 feet oth : 20.0 feet : xxx feet above MSL : xxx feet above MSL : xxx feet above MSL : 2" Sch 40 PVC : 2" Sch 40 PVC, 0.010 slot : : : P. Allenstein
22	Depth in Feet	Surf. Elev. 575	[% Recovery	Well Diagram:			
23 552 24 551 25 530 26 549 27 549 28 547 29 546 30 545 31 544 32 543 33 542 34 541 35 540 36 639 37 538 38 537 39 536 40 -535 41 534 42 533 43 532	22-	- 553						
24 - 651 25 - 530 26 - 549 27 - 546 28 - 547 29 - 546 30 - 546 31 - 546 32 - 643 33 - 642 34 - 541 35 - 540 36 - 539 37 - 538 38 - 537 39 - 336 40 - 335 41 - 532 44 - 532	23-	- 552						
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	24-	- 551						
26 549 27 548 28 547 29 546 30 545 31 544 32 543 33 542 34 641 35 540 36 539 37 538 38 537 39 536 40 535 41 534 42 533 43 532	25-	- 550						
27 548 28 547 29 546 30 545 31 544 32 543 33 542 34 541 35 540 36 559 37 538 38 537 39 536 40 535 41 534 42 533 43 532	26-	- 549						
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	27-	- 548						
29 - 546 $30 - 545$ $31 - 544$ $32 - 543$ $33 - 542$ $34 - 541$ $35 - 540$ $36 - 539$ $37 - 538$ $38 - 537$ $38 - 537$ $39 - 536$ $40 - 535$ $41 - 534$ $42 - 533$ $43 - 532$	28-	- 547	End of Boring at 28 feet.			1		
30 - 545 $31 - 544$ $32 - 543$ $33 - 542$ $34 - 541$ $35 - 540$ $36 - 539$ $37 - 538$ $38 - 537$ $39 - 536$ $40 - 535$ $41 - 534$ $42 - 533$ $43 - 532$	29-	- 546						
31 - 544 $32 - 543$ $33 - 542$ $34 - 541$ $35 - 540$ $36 - 539$ $37 - 538$ $38 - 537$ $39 - 536$ $40 - 535$ $41 - 534$ $42 - 533$ $43 - 532$	30-	- 545						
32 - 543 $33 - 542$ $34 - 541$ $35 - 540$ $36 - 539$ $37 - 538$ $38 - 537$ $39 - 536$ $40 - 535$ $41 - 534$ $42 - 533$ $43 - 532$	31-	- 544						
33 - 542 $34 - 541$ $35 - 540$ $36 - 539$ $37 - 538$ $38 - 537$ $39 - 536$ $40 - 535$ $41 - 534$ $42 - 533$ $43 - 532$ $44 - 532$	32-	- 543						
34 - 541 $35 - 540$ $36 - 539$ $37 - 538$ $38 - 537$ $39 - 536$ $40 - 535$ $41 - 534$ $42 - 533$ $43 - 532$ $44 - 532$	33-	- 542						
35 - 540 $36 - 539$ $37 - 538$ $38 - 537$ $39 - 536$ $40 - 535$ $41 - 534$ $42 - 533$ $43 - 532$ $44 - 4535$	34-	- 541						
37 - 538 $38 - 537$ $39 - 536$ $40 - 535$ $41 - 534$ $42 - 533$ $43 - 532$ $44 - 44 - 4535$	35-	540						
38 - 537 $39 - 536$ $40 - 535$ $41 - 534$ $42 - 533$ $43 - 532$ $44 - 4$	00- 07	- 539 - 539						
39 - 536 $40 - 535$ $41 - 534$ $42 - 533$ $43 - 532$ $44 - 532$	20	527						
40 - 535 $41 - 534$ $42 - 533$ $43 - 532$ $44 - 532$	30	- 526						
$41 - 534 \\ 42 - 533 \\ 43 - 532 \\ 44 - 4$	39- 10-	- 535						
42 - 533 43 - 532 44 - 3		- 534						
	42-	- 533						
	13-	- 522						
	43-	JUZ						

	Mid	KPRG and Associates, Inc. west Generation, LLC Will County Station Romeoville, Illinois Project No. 12313	GEOLOGIC LOG OF MW-12 (Page 1 of 1) Date Started : 09/15/15 Date Well Set : 09/15/15 Drilling Tools : 8 1/4 HSA Reaming Tools : None Drill Rig : Deitrich D-120 Driller Name/Co : J. Luna / Earth Solutions			Boring Dep ottom Dep e Elev. lev. dwater Ele Aaterial Material nate N nate E d By	th : 20.0 th : 20.0 : xxx f : xxx f : 2" Sc : 2" Sc : : : : P. Al	 i 20.0 feet i 20.0 feet i xxx feet above MSL i xxx feet above MSL i xxx feet above MSL i 2" Sch 40 PVC i 2" Sch 40 PVC, 0.010 slot i i P. Allenstein 	
Depth in Feet	Surf. Elev. 575	C	DESCRIPTION	% RQD	% Recovery	Well Dia	gram:		
0	- 575 - 574 - 573	Roadway of Sand and Gravel, d SAND, Black, Brown, fine to me	lry. dium, silty, dry					-Concrete with Flushmount	
3-	- 572	CLAY, with GRAVEL, slightly me	oist.					-Bentonite Grout	
5- 6-	- 570 - 569	- graveriayer						-Riser 2" Sch 40 PVC	
7 8	- 568 - 567		— — — — — —	<u>_</u>					
9— 10—	- 566 - 565				1	,			
11-	- 564	SILTY SAND, tan to white, fine to	o medium, wet.						
12-	- 563 - 562	SILTY SAND, brown, medium to	coarse, wet.						
14 — 15 —	- 561 - 560	SILT and CLAY, dark gray, trace	e sand and gravel, ven	y soft wet.				Filter Sand	
16-	- 559	CLAY, white, light greenish gray,	, orange mottled, mois	st.				2" Sch 40 PVC	
18	· 557								
19-	556								
20	555					_			
21	· 554	End of Boring at 20 feet.							
22-									

<u>ATTACHMENT C.2-2</u> Groundwater Contour Maps




























<u>APPENDIX C.3</u> Analytical Data Table Thru 2nd Quarter 2020

Table C.3-1. Semi-Annual Detection Monitoring Statistical Comparisons - Appendix III Groundwater Analytical Results thru 2nd Quarter 2020 and Confirmatory Resampling - Midwest Generation, LLC, Will County Station, Romeoville, IL.

Well	Date	Boron	Calcium	Chloride	Fluoride	pH	Sulfate	Total Dissolved Solids
	11/11/2015	6.1	220	110	0.31	7.24	770	1,900
	2/18/2016	4.4	230	120	0.31	6.99	730	1,600
	5/26/2016	3.7	170	110	0.33	6.73	670	1,500
	8/10/2016	3.6	67	120	0.72	8.62	480	970
	10/26/2016	3.6	44	120	0.70	9.08	410	920
	2/1/2017	4.6	250	48	0.35	6.81	530	1,600
	5/11/2017	4.0	140	85	0.31	7.86	610	1,200
MW-05	6/27/2017	3.8	83	99	0.53	7.95	500	1,000
up-gradient	Pred. Limit*	6.65	359	148	0.72	9.93-5.39	923	2,286
	9/8/2017	4.8	89	78	0.52	9.40	490	1,000
	11/16/2017	4.8	180	52	0.45	6.70	650	1,500
	5/2/2018	3.6	200	32	0.39	7.23	510	1,300
	10/3/2018	4.9	150	55	0.48	7.07	430	1,200
	5/29/2019	4.1	61	91	0.59	9.10	380	870
	12/6/2019	4.9	170	31	0.41	6.95	440	1,200
	5/22/2020	4.5	52	70	0.59	7.39	300	870
	11/10/2015	3.0	52	100	0.55	8.63	300	660
	2/18/2016	2.5	74	150	0.47	8.58	280	650
	5/26/2016	2.7	86	92	0.44	7.79	350	800
	8/11/2016	3.6	110	58	0.35	7.74	330	840
	10/26/2016	3.8	86	74	0.40	8.16	220	800
	2/1/2017	3.4	70	83	0.41	7.88	260	700
	5/11/2017	3.0	75	84	0.28	8.68	330	570
	6/27/2017	3.1	65	74	0.38	8.15	330	710
MW-06	Pred. Limit*	4.29	122	162	0.62	9.21-7.19	415	956
up-gradient	9/7/2017	3.5	75	67	0.40	8.20	300	740
	11/16/2017	3.9	88	54	0.39	7.59	280	810
	5/3/2018	3	91	52	0.26	6.91	530	750
	7/25/2018 R	NA	NA	NA	NA	7.47	280	NA
	10/3/2018	3.5	93	44	0.31	7.83	240	720
	5/29/2019	4.3	120	38	0.21	7.51	350	1,000
	7/3/2019 R	3.2	NA	NA	NA	8.28	NA	740
	12/6/2019	4.2	98	31	0.33	7.91	210	740
	5/22/2020	3.4	98	56	0.31	7.47	180	710
	11/11/2015	1.9	56	190	0.55	9.12	460	750
	2/17/2016	1.8	47	160	0.55	9.10	250	600
	5/24/2016	1.6	48	180	0.51	8.79	240	640
	8/9/2016	2.2	53	140	0.48	8.35	280	750
MW-09 down-gradient	10/26/2016	2.2	33	130	0.81	9.16	230	660
	1/31/2017	2.0	61	250	0.57	8.59	180	710
	5/9/2017	1.8	66	340	0.38	8,58	250	900
	6/27/2017	1.9	64	330	0.51	7.76	240	940
	Pred. Limit	4.26	275**	149**	0.72**	9,39-6,48**	413	950
	Pred. Limit*	NC	NC	431.2	0.87	NC	NC	1,060
	9/6/2017	1.8	59	310	0.51	8,98	240	890
	11/14/2017	2.6	160	270	0.51	8.1	290	910
	5/1/2018	1.7	49	200	0.52	7.81	430	820
	7/25/2018 R	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	320	NA
	10/2/2018	2.1	49	170	0.55	8.09	270	820
	5/29/2019	1.5	48	280	0.29	8.90	150	750
	12/6/2019	2.0	38	140	0.46	8.65	160	630
	5/26/2020	1.3	55	320	0.32	8.66	140	720

Notes: All units are in mg/l except pH is in standard units.

* - Intrawell Prediction Limit. All others are interwell comparisons.

** - Based on pooled background from MW-5/MW-6. All others based on MW-6 as background.

Italics Date - First round of Detection Monitoring and resample after statistical background establishment.

NC - Not calculated.

BOLD - Potential statistically significant increase relative to interwell Prediction Limit.

 BOLD - Potential statistically significant increase relative to intrawell Prediction Limit.

 BOLD - Above both interwell and intrawell Prediction Limits

NA - Not analyzed. No confirmation resample required.

R - Resample

F1 - MS and/or MSD Recovery outside of limits.

Table C.3-1. Semi-Annual Detection Monitoring Statistical Comparisons - Appendix III Groundwater Analytical Results thru 2nd Quarter 2020 and Confirmatory Resampling - Midwest Generation, LLC, Will County Station, Romeoville, IL.

Well	Date	Boron	Calcium	Chloride	Fluoride	pH	Sulfate	Total Dissolved Solids
	11/10/2015	3.9	140	140	0.77	7.34	310	980
	2/16/2016	3.6	150	240	0.79	7.29	290	950
	5/25/2016	3.6	120	140	0.83	7.26	260	1,000
	8/10/2016	4.3	150	120	0.78	7.22	230	970
	10/26/2016	3.0	160	74	0.52	7.30	220	1,000
	2/2/2017	3.7	180	81	0.54	7.16	160	930
	5/10/2017	3.0	150	100	0.44	7.83	340	860
MW-10 down-gradient	6/27/2017	2.8	130	110	0.67	7.49	250	930
	Pred. Limit	4.26	275**	149**	0.72**	9.39-6.48**	413	950
	Pred. Limit*	NC	NC	262.2	1.06	NC	NC	1,074
	9/7/2017	2.8	120	120	0.77	7.37	290	920
	11/15/2017	4.1	140	120	<u>0.77</u>	7.10	270	1,000
	5/1/2018	3.2	150	130	0.65	7.31	280	<u>990</u>
	10/3/2018	2.5	110	140	0.89	7.60	200	860
	5/29/2019	2.8	100	140	0.82	7.53	260	860
	12/5/2019	3.7	120	110	0.93	7.21	190	940
	5/27/2020	2.3	100	<u>170</u>	<u>0.90</u>	7.29	280	850
	11/10/2015	2.6	120	89	0.61	7.60	180	620
	2/16/2016	3.0	100	88	0.68	7.47	170	640
	5/25/2016	2.8	82	98	0.75	7.43	170	640
	8/10/2016	3.1	96	86	0.72	7.57	150	660
	10/26/2016	2.5	110	67	0.53	7.82	120	630
	2/1/2017	3.9	110	72	0.65	7.54	110	600
	5/10/2017	3.1	95	84	0.46	8.37	170	590
	6/27/2017	2.8	87	90	0.59	7.57	150	680
MW-11 down gradiant	Pred. Limit	4.26	275**	149**	0.72**	9.39-6.48**	413	950
down-gradient	Pred. Limit*	NC	NC	110.6	0.88	NC	NC	710
	9/7/2017	2.8	90	94	0.58	7.40	150	730
	11/15/2017	2.9	96	100	0.65	7.41	160	750
	5/3/2018	3.8	73	110	0.69	6.74	190	670
	10/3/2018	3.1	78	110	0.66	7.65	120	680
	5/29/2019	2.2	86	110	0.49	7.55	120	610
	12/5/2019	2.5	100	80	0.55	7.26	91	600
	5/26/2020	2.3	89	100	0.54	7.4	90	540
	11/10/2015	2.3	150	160	0.59	7.44	290	1,000
	2/16/2016	1.8	130	140	0.52	7.38	220	850
	5/25/2016	1.9	130	150	0.54	7.23	250	890
MW-12 down-gradient	8/10/2016	2.4	170	140	0.49	7.20	280	1000
	10/26/2016	2.6	140	120	0.49	7.44	220	980
	2/1/2017	2.0	160	120	0.48	7.30	150	900
	5/10/2017	2.3	200	240	0.30	7.65	260	1,300
	6/27/2017	2.4	180	280	0.44	7.31	260	1,300
	Pred. Limit	4.26	275**	149**	0.72**	9.39-6.48**	413	950
	Pred. Limit*	NC	NC	338.8	0.71	NC	NC	1,519
	9/6/2017	2.6	190	270	0.49	7.26	260	<u>1,400</u>
	11/15/2017	1.7	55	200	0.47	6.90	250	1,200
	5/3/2018	1.8	140	<u>170</u>	0.47	6.60	170	<u>960</u>
	10/2/2018	F1 2.2	150	160	0.49	7.30	170	1,100
	5/29/2019	1.9	140	140	0.42	7.23	190	930
1	12/5/2019	2.1	140	71	0.53	7.02	110	820
	5/22/2020	1.9	180	120	0.4	6.95	140	1,100

Notes: All units are in mg/l except pH is in standard units.

* - Intrawall Prediction Limit. All others are interwell comparisons.
 ** - Based on pooled background from MW-5/MW-6. All others based on MW-6 as background.

Based on pooled background from MW-5/MW-6. All others based on MW-6 as background.
 Italics Date - First round of Detection Monitoring and resample after statistical background establishment.

NC- Not calculated.

BOLD - Potential statistically significant increase relative to interwell Prediction Limit. BOLD - Potential statistically significant increase relative to intrawell Prediction Limit. BOLD - Above both interwell and intrawell Prediction Limits

F1 - MS and/or MSD Recovery outside of limits.

APPENDIX D — PROPOSED ILLINOIS CCR RULE

BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

IN THE MATTER OF:)	
)	R 2020-019
STANDARDS FOR THE DISPOSAL)	
OF COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUALS)	(Rulemaking -
IN SURFACE IMPOUNDMENTS:)	
PROPOSED NEW 35 ILL. ADM.)	
CODE 845)	

NOTICE OF FILING

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that I have today filed with the Office of the Clerk of the Illinois

Pollution Control Board a NOTICE OF FILING; APPEARANCE; STATEMENT OF

REASONS; and ATTACHMENTS: PROPOSED NEW 35 ILL. ADM. CODE PART 845;

and a MOTION FOR ACCEPTANCE on behalf of the Illinois Environmental Protection

Agency, a copy of which is herewith served upon you.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: March 30, 2020

Rex L. Gradeless, #6303411 Division of Legal Counsel Illinois Environmental Protection Agency 1021 North Grand Avenue East P.O. Box 19276 Springfield, IL 62794-9276 (217) 782-5544 Rex.Gradeless@Illinois.gov ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY,

Water)

Petitioner,

BY: <u>/s/ Rex L. Gradeless</u> Rex L. Gradeless

THIS FILING IS SUBMITTED ELECTRONICALLY

SERVICE LIST

ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD Don Brown, Clerk James R. Thompson Center 100 W. Randolph, Suite 11-500 Chicago, IL 60601

ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES Office of Legal Services One Natural Resources Way Springfield IL 62702-1271

ILLINOIS ATTORNEY GENERAL Matt Dunn, Division Chief Environmental 69 W. Washington, Suite 1800, Chicago, IL 60602

BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

IN THE MATTER OF:)	
)	R 2020-019
STANDARDS FOR THE DISPOSAL)	
OF COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUALS)	(Rulemaking
IN SURFACE IMPOUNDMENTS:)	
PROPOSED NEW 35 ILL. ADM.)	
CODE 845)	

g - Water)

APPEARANCE

The undersigned hereby enters his appearance as an attorney on behalf of the Illinois

Environmental Protection Agency.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: March 30, 2020

ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY,

Rex L. Gradeless, #6303411 Division of Legal Counsel Illinois Environmental Protection Agency 1021 North Grand Avenue East P.O. Box 19276 Springfield, IL 62794-9276 (217) 782-5544 Rex.Gradeless@Illinois.gov

Petitioner,

BY: /s/ Rex L. Gradeless Rex L. Gradeless

THIS FILING IS SUBMITTED ELECTRONICALLY

BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

IN THE MATTER OF:)	
)	R 2020-019
STANDARDS FOR THE DISPOSAL)	
OF COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUALS)	(Rulemaking - Water)
IN SURFACE IMPOUNDMENTS:)	
PROPOSED NEW 35 ILL. ADM.)	
CODE 845)	

STATEMENT OF REASONS

NOW COMES the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency ("Illinois EPA"), by and through its counsel, and hereby submits this Statement of Reasons to the Illinois Pollution Control Board ("Board") pursuant to Sections 13, 22, 27 and 28 of the Environmental Protection Act ("Act") (415 ILCS 5/13, 22, 27 and 28) and 35 Ill. Adm. Code 102.202 in support of the attached proposed regulations.

I. INTRODUCTION

The Illinois EPA has developed a rule of general applicability for coal combustion residual ("CCR") surface impoundments at power generating facilities. The proposal contains comprehensive rules for the design, construction, operation, corrective action, closure and postclosure care of surface impoundments containing CCR. CCR is commonly referred to as coal ash, and CCR surface impoundments are commonly referred to as coal ash ponds or coal ash pits. This proposed rule includes groundwater protection standards applicable to each CCR surface impoundment at the waste boundary and requires each owner or operator to monitor groundwater. Illinois EPA's proposed rule will include a permitting program as well as all federal standards for CCR surface impoundments promulgated by the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("USEPA") under the Solid Waste Disposal Act of 1970, as amended by the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976 (RCRA), 42 U.S.C. 6901. In addition, the proposed rules

include procedures for public participation, closure alternatives analyses, and closure prioritization. The proposal also includes financial assurance requirements for CCR surface impoundments.

The Illinois EPA has prepared these draft rules as directed by a statutory mandate found in Public Act 101-171¹, which requires Illinois EPA to file the draft rule with the Board no later than March 30, 2020, and the Board to adopt the rules no later than one year after receipt.

II. BACKGROUND

Based upon information and belief, Illinois has 23 power plants which have used coal as a fuel source and may be impacted by this rule. *See* listing in Section VI. Ten of these plants are currently burning coal. Five of these plants have been converted to use natural gas as a fuel source and eight of these plants are no longer generating electricity. When coal is burned at power plants CCR is formed. CCR consists of fly ash, bottom ash, boiler slag, flue gas or fluid bed boiler desulfurization by-products. Fly ash is removed from exhaust gases, and is very fine, powdery, and made mostly of silica. Bottom ash is collected at the bottom of the furnaces, and is coarse, fine gravel sized, and angular. Boiler slag is molten bottom ash quenched with water. Flue gas desulfurization material is a by-product of removing sulfur dioxide from the air emissions of a coal fired power plant. It can be either wet sludge or dry powder. Disposal of CCR can be either a wet or dry system. Wet CCR is generally sluiced by pipe to an on-site surface impoundment. Dry CCR can be disposed in a landfill.

As noted above, in wet CCR handling systems, a piping system transports CCR to the impound system. The impound system can be composed of one or more surface impoundments. Typically, a CCR surface impoundment will have a primary cell where the majority of the solid

¹ See Public Act 101-171, eff. 7-30-19 attached as Attachment D.

particles settle out of the waste water. In addition to the primary cell, an impound system may have one or two secondary cells, often referred to as polishing ponds for the settlement of very fine suspended solids. In some instances, the CCR surface impoundments have a constructed liner which allows the owner or operator to utilize heavy equipment to remove ash from the surface impoundment and dispose it off-site.

Historically, CCR may have been discharged to low lying areas or borrow pits at some locations. A borrow pit is an excavation where earth materials have been removed for site development. Borrow pits are usually incised, and the CCR and liquid is not contained by a dam, but contained in a depression or hole in the ground where earth materials have been removed. To increase storage capacity, owners or operators would sometimes build a CCR surface impoundment by constructing a diked enclosure. These structures are considered dams and are required to comply with Illinois' dam safety regulations. *See* 17 Ill. Adm. Code 3702.20. The size of the diked enclosure units ranges from less than an acre to over 300 acres.

The Illinois EPA has identified 73 CCR surface impoundments at power generating facilities. *See* Section VI. Some of surface impoundments are lined with impermeable materials, while others are not. Illinois EPA believes there are up to 6 CCR surface impoundments with liners that comply with the federal liner standards in 40 CFR 257.

The chemical make-up of CCR depends on the type of coal used, as well as the combustion technology and pollution control technology used at a facility. CCR can contain constituents such as antimony, arsenic, barium, beryllium, boron, cadmium, chloride, chromium, cobalt, fluoride, lead, lithium, mercury, molybdenum, radium 226 and 228, selenium, sulfate, and thallium. The presence of these contaminants threatens groundwater as these contaminants are soluble and mobile. When the CCR surface impoundments are not lined with impermeable material, these

contaminants may leach into the groundwater, affecting the potential use of the groundwater. While some of these contaminants affect the safety of drinking water, others affect taste and odor, and other potential uses such as irrigation.

Regulatory Development

Until the adoption of Section 22.59 of the Act in P.A. 101-171 on July 30, 2019, the Illinois EPA had generally permitted the construction and operation of CCR surface impoundments as a waste water treatment unit under Title III of the Act Subtitle C of the Board's administrative rules. Many of these impoundments are permitted through a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit or state operating permit issued under Section 12(b) of the Act.

The regulation of CCR surface impoundments became a national focus on December 22, 2008, after a dike ruptured at the Kingston Fossil Plant in Kingston Tennessee and approximately 1.1 billion gallons of CCR was released to the Emory River. In response, USEPA began developing rules for coal ash ponds and coal ash landfills under RCRA. *See* 75 Fed. Reg. 35137 (June 21, 2010). Illinois EPA responded by developing a coal ash impoundment strategy that required groundwater monitoring at all power plants in Illinois that use coal as a fuel source.

Under the ash impoundment strategy, the Illinois EPA identified facilities with CCR surface impoundments, requested groundwater monitoring well data, requested potable water system surveys, requested hydrogeologic site assessments, required the installation of groundwater monitoring and conferred with the Illinois Department of Natural Resources on dam safety. The information gathered under Illinois EPA's ash impoundment strategy showed that 14 facilities had violations of the numerical groundwater quality standards on-site.

In 2009, the Board held that coal ash ponds should not be regulated under the existing onsite landfill regulations, and instead the ash ponds required their own regulations, either site-

specific or generally applicable. *In Re: Ameren (Hutsonville Power Station)*, AS 2009-01, Order (March 5, 2009). The Board's rules governing waste disposal in Subtitle G are not applicable to surface impoundments because surface impoundments are excluded from the definition of landfill. 35 Ill. Adm. Code 720.110; 35 Ill. Adm. Code 810.103. Therefore, the closure provisions for landfills are inapplicable to surface impoundments.

In response to the Board's holding in Hutsonville Power Station's petition for an adjusted standard, Ameren Energy Generating Company closed ash pond D at Hutsonville through a site-specific rulemaking. *Ameren Ashpond Closure Rules*, R 2009-21, Order (Jan. 20, 2011); *See* 35 III. Adm. Code 840, Subpart A. In 2013, Ameren filed another site-specific rule to close 16 ash ponds at 8 other facilities. *In Re: Site-Specific Rule for the Closure of Ameren Energy Resources Ash Ponds*, R2013-19. Shortly thereafter, the Illinois EPA filed a rule of general applicability for all coal ash ponds located at power plants. *In re: CCW Ash Ponds*, *R2014-10* (CCW rulemaking). The Illinois EPA was motivated to file a rule of general applicability because Illinois has 23 coal burning facilities, each with multiple ash ponds. The Illinois EPA wanted to avoid a piece-meal process of numerous site-specific rules for each pond or facility. Additionally, the groundwater monitoring results the Illinois EPA received under the ash impoundment strategy revealed widespread groundwater contamination at these power plants.

After completion of the hearings and post-hearing comment process in the CCW rulemaking before the Board, USEPA issued a final rule regulating CCR surface impoundments under Subtitle D of RCRA. 80 Fed. Reg. 21302 (April 17, 2015); *See* 40 C.F.R. Part 257. The federal CCR rule, as initially adopted, created a self-implementing program. Power plants were required to independently conduct groundwater monitoring and corrective action in response to exceedances of the federally designated groundwater quality standards. The rule contained

location restrictions, stability requirements, design criteria, and operating, closure and post closure care requirements. Clay-lined ponds were initially considered lined ponds. Unlined ponds could continue operation so long as the federal groundwater quality standards were not violated. The federal rule did not apply to legacy ponds—ponds located at sites no longer generating power. As initially adopted, USEPA would not issue permits to these CCR surface impoundments or enforce compliance with the federal rules. The federal rule was appealed by both environmental groups and industrial groups. *See Util. Solid Waste Activities Group v. Envtl. Prot. Agency*, 901 F.3d 414 (D.C. Cir. 2018), judgment entered, 15-1219, 2018 WL 4158384 (D.C. Cir. Aug. 21, 2018). In June 2016, USEPA, the environmental groups and industrial groups agreed to remand certain provisions of the federal rule back to USEPA. *Id*.

In July 2016, the Illinois EPA amended its proposal in the CCW rulemaking, eliminating most of the substantive requirements. Instead, the Illinois EPA proposed to permit the closure and post-closure of these facilities through water construction and operating permits under Section 12(b) of the Act. Under the amended proposal any permit issued by the Illinois EPA would have to be as stringent as the federal rule.

In December 2016, the President signed the Water Infrastructure Improvements for the Nation (WIIN) Act, P.L. No 114-322. This act amended RCRA, allowing USEPA to enforce violations of the federal CCR rules and required USEPA to develop a federal permitting program for CCR surface impoundments. 42 U.S.C. 6945(d)(2)(B). The WIIN Act also provided for state program delegation if a state's program is at least as stringent as the federal rule. 42 U.S.C. 6945(d)(1)(B).

In August 2018, the United States Court of Appeals issued its opinion on the portions of the federal CCR rule appeal that had not been remanded. *Utility Solid Waste Activities Group, et*

al., v. Environmental Protection Agency, 901 F.3d 414 (D.C. Cir. 2018). The court's decision in *Utility Solid Waste Activities Group (USWAG)* expanded the scope of the federal rule by finding that USEPA acted arbitrarily and capriciously when it exempted legacy ponds. The court held that USEPA acted contrary to RCRA in failing to require the closure of unlined CCR surface impoundments and classifying clay-lined CCR surface impoundments as lined. *Id.* at 449. The court vacated certain provisions in the rule and remanded the rule back to USEPA. The appellate court's decision was not appealed. *See* USWAG decision attached as Attachment C.

On July 30, 2019, Governor JB Pritzker signed into law Public Act 101-171, which amended the Act to create a new Section 22.59. The new state law prohibits the discharge of contaminants from a CCR surface impoundment into the environment and the placement of CCR on the land so as to cause a violation of Section 22.59 or the Board's Rules. 415 ILCS 5/22.59(b). It also prohibits the construction, installation, operation, modification, or closure of a CCR surface impoundment without a permit granted by the Illinois EPA. *Id.* Before any CCR surface impoundment is closed, the owner or operator must conduct a closure alternatives analysis that considers closure by removal in addition to other closure methods. 415 ILCS 5/22.59(d). Section 22.59 includes a permitting exception for those facilities that have obtained a permit from the USEPA under the federal CCR rule. 415 ILCS 5/22.59(c). Further, those facilities that have submitted a closure plan to the Illinois EPA before May 1, 2019, and have completed closure by July 30, 2021, are not required to obtain a construction permit for closure, and therefore, they are not required to conduct the closure alternatives analysis required by Section 22.59(d). 415 ILCS 5/22.59(e).

Public Act 101-171 contains a rulemaking mandate in Section 22.59(g) directing the Board to adopt rules "establishing construction permit requirements, operating permit requirements,

design standards, reporting, financial assurance, and closure and post-closure care requirements for CCR surface impoundments". 415 ILCS 5/22/59(g). Board's rules must (1) be as protective and comprehensive as the federal CCR rule in Subpart D of 40 CFR 257, (2) specify the permitting requirements and procedures, (3) specify meaningful public participation procedures, (4) prescribe the types and amounts of financial assurance, (5) specify procedures to identify areas of environmental justice concern in relation to CCR surface impoundments, (6) specify a method to prioritize CCR surface impoundments required to close under the federal CCR rule, (7) define when complete removal is achieved, and (8) describe the process and standards for identifying alternative sources of groundwater pollution.

Proposed Amendments to the Federal CCR Rule

USEPA has three pending regulatory proposals to amend the federal CCR rule that have not yet been finalized.^{2, 3}

The first proposed amendment was published in the Federal Register on March 15, 2018. *See* 83 Fed. Reg. 11584 (March 15, 2018). On July 30, 2018, USEPA finalized certain provisions of the March 2018 proposal, including the proposed revision of the groundwater protection standard for constituents that do not have an established maximum contaminant level (MCL). 83 Fed. Reg. 36435 (July 18, 2018). The July 30, 2018 final rule also extended the deadline to initiate closure to close to October 31, 2020, for certain facilities that are required to close under the federal rule. 83 Fed. Reg. 36454. The environmental groups appealed this final rule, challenging the deadline extension. *Waterkeeper Alliance, Inc., et a. v. USEPA* (D.C. Cir. 2019), *See* Order No 18-

² On February 19, 2020, USEPA submitted a pre-publication proposal that proposes to allow facilities to use an alternate liner and CCR during closure, an additional closure option for removal, and annual closure progress reports. USEPA seeks public comments for 45-days (April 4, 2020) *See* USEPA Docket No. EPA-HQ-OLEM-2019-0173.

³ On February 20, 2020, USEPA proposed a federal permitting program under 40 CFR 257, Subtitle E for nonparticipating states. However, Illinois intends to become a participating state under 40 CFR 257 and obtain partial federal program delegation from the USEPA.

1289. The court remanded the rule back to USEPA without vacatur on March 19, 2019. *Id.* The remaining portions of the March 2018 proposal have not been finalized.

The second proposed amendment was published in the Federal Register on August 14, 2019. 84 Fed. Reg. 40353. In this rulemaking, USEPA's revision addresses annual groundwater monitoring and corrective action reporting requirements, alternative risk-based groundwater protection standard for boron, and revisions to the publicly accessible CCR website. This proposed rule also addresses the two issues remanded back to USEPA during the *USWAG* appeal: the definition of beneficial use of CCR (84 Fed. Reg. 40355-40361) and the definition of a CCR storage pile (84 Fed. Reg. 40361-40364).

The third proposed amendment was published in the Federal Register on December 2, 2019. 84 Fed. Reg. 65941. Here, USEPA proposes to amend the federal CCR rule to reflect the *USWAG* decision and address the *Waterkeeper* remand. *Id.* Specifically, USEPA proposes to remove the provision classifying clay lined CCR surface impoundments as lined and the provision allowing unlined CCR surface impoundments to continue operation unless they leak. *Id.* at 65944-65958. This proposal also addresses the deadline extension to cease accepting CCR and commence closure by proposing an August 31, 2020 deadline. The proposed rule includes procedures for facilities to extend the August 31, 2020, deadline to November 30, 2020, under the short term self-implementing alternative or a longer USEPA-approved extension for lack of alternative capacity or permanent cessation of the coal-fired boilers. *Id.* at 65953-65954.

III. REGULATORY PROPOSAL: PURPOSE AND EFFECT

The Illinois EPA's regulatory proposal for CCR surface impoundments is expansive, creating an entirely new permitting and regulatory structure. The Illinois EPA's stated purpose and effect encapsulated within this section of the Statement of Reasons is intended to highlight with

broad strokes Illinois EPA's goals. A more detailed explanation of the proposed rules' purpose and effect is set forth in the Section IV: Regulatory Proposal: Language.

As noted above, Section 22.59(g) of the Act requires the Illinois EPA to propose CCR rules to the Board no later than March 30, 2020. The foremost purpose and effect of this regulatory proposal is to fulfill Illinois EPA's statutory obligation to propose CCR rules consistent with the requirements in Section 22.59(g).

The second purpose and effect of this regulatory proposal is to protect the groundwater within the state of Illinois. The proposed rule contains a program for groundwater monitoring and the remediation of contaminated groundwater resulting from leaking CCR surface impoundments. Groundwater has an essential and pervasive role in the social and economic well-being of Illinois, and is important to the vitality, health, safety, and welfare of its citizens. This rule has been developed based on the goals above and the principle that groundwater resources should be utilized for beneficial and legitimate purposes. *See* 415 ILCS 55/1 *et seq.* Its purpose is to prevent waste and degradation of Illinois' groundwater. The proposed rule establishes a framework to manage the underground water resource to allow for maximum benefit of the State.

The third purpose and effect of this proposed rule is to adopt the federal CCR rules in Illinois and obtain federal approval of Illinois' CCR surface impoundment program. The federal CCR rules provide a framework for Illinois to fill the regulatory gap that exists when CCR surface impoundments are no longer operating as waste water treatment units. With the adoption of these proposed rules, Illinois will have a program that covers the design, construction, operation, corrective action and closure of CCR surface impoundments. The proposed rules contain groundwater protection standards that apply in addition to the groundwater quality standards in Part 620. Owners or operators of CCR surface impoundments will be required to conduct

groundwater monitoring to detect exceedances of the groundwater protection standards at the CCR surface impoundment's waste boundary.

The fourth purpose and effect of this regulatory proposal is to adopt procedures to ensure CCR surface impoundments are closed in an environmentally protective way. Under the federal CCR rule, several CCR surface impoundments must cease receiving CCR and close by quicklyapproaching federal deadlines. The proposed prioritization scheme assists owners and operators in determining where and how to spend their resources by categorizing impoundments based on risk to health and the environment and the impoundment's proximity to areas of environmental justice concern. In addition to a closure prioritization scheme, the proposed rule includes a closure alternatives analysis of the long-term and short-term effectiveness of the closure methods, whether the closure methods will control future releases, the ease or difficulty in implementation, and the degree to which community concerns are addressed. This analysis must be conducted prior to submitting a construction permit application for closure and must be presented to the public for review and comment.

The fifth purpose and effect of this proposed rule is to ensure meaningful public participation. Illinois EPA proposes that public participation begins before the owner or operator applies for a permit. Under the proposed rule, owners and operators of CCR surface impoundments will be required to hold at least two public meetings before the submission of any construction permit application. Before an owner or operator submits a construction permit to build, modify, retrofit or close a CCR surface impoundment or submits a construction permit to perform corrective action of a release from the CCR surface impoundment, the owner or operator must share with the public its intended plan, including any alternatives analyses required by the rule. The Illinois EPA believes early and sustained public participation is vital to assisting owners and

operators in developing corrective action and closure plans that account for impacts to individuals living in communities where CCR will be generated, handled, transported and disposed. After the submission of a permit application and the Illinois EPA has reached a tentative determination, the Illinois EPA will circulate the draft permit with the public and hold a public meeting whenever a significant degree of public interest exits. The proposed post-application public participation process is modeled after the NDPES permit program, which provides an opportunity to ask questions, and to provide comments and other information which the Illinois EPA uses in reaching its final permitting decisions.

The sixth purpose and effect of this proposed rule is to set forth clear permitting requirements and procedures. In Illinois, most regulatory programs require a construction permit before a facility or equipment capable of causing or designed to prevent pollution is built or installed; once built, an operating permit must be obtained before operation of the facility or equipment commences. Consistent with the other permitting programs administered by the Illinois EPA, this regulatory proposal envisions the same two types of permits: construction and operating permits. Before the construction of new CCR surface impoundments or the modification, retrofit or closure of CCR surface impoundments, an owner or operator must obtain a construction permit. Once the facility or equipment is built, and before receipt of CCR, the owner or operator must obtain an operating permit. If a facility must perform corrective action, a construction permit must be obtained for the installation of any new equipment, monitoring wells or modifications to the surface impoundment. The owner or operator will have to modify the facility's operating permit to account for the planned corrective action. A construction permit is necessary before closure may commence.

The last purpose and effect of the proposed rule is to ensure that owners and operators of

CCR surface impoundments provide adequate financial assurance for the completion of closure, post-closure care, and remediation of releases also referred to as corrective action. The Illinois EPA proposes that financial assurance be provided within specified timeframes; based on detailed written cost estimates and, in the case of corrective action, an additional preliminary cost estimate updated regularly for approved plan changes and for inflation; and maintained continuously until an Illinois EPA release is obtained. Available financial assurance mechanisms include a trust fund, a surety bond guaranteeing payment, a surety bond guaranteeing performance, or an irrevocable letter of credit.

The proposed rules do not prescribe how all CCR surface impoundments must be closed, or how each site with groundwater contamination must be remediated. Instead, the rule provides a process. If the groundwater monitoring shows statistically significant increasing constituent concentration over the groundwater protection standards, the owner or operator must perform corrective action. The preventive response, corrective action plan or closure plan is site-specific. The proposed rule also provides a framework for closing surface impoundments that have not caused groundwater contamination.

IV. REGULATORY PROPOSAL: LANGUAGE

The following is a section-by-section summary of the Illinois EPA's proposal.

Subpart A: General Provisions

Proposed Subpart A sets forth who is subject to these rules as well as generally applicable provisions.

Section 845.100: Scope and Purpose

This Section states the purpose of Part 845 is to establish criteria for determining which CCR surface impoundments do not pose a reasonable probability of adverse effects on health or

the environment. The requirements of Part 845 apply to owners and operators of new and existing CCR surface impoundments (including any lateral expansions of CCR surface impoundments that dispose or otherwise engage in solid waste management of CCR generated from the combustion of coal at electric utilities and independent power producers), inactive CCR surface impoundments at active and inactive electric utilities or independent power producers (regardless of the fuel currently used at the facility to produce electricity), and, subject to Section 845.170, inactive CCR surface impoundments. Further, this Section provides that this Part does not apply to wastes consistent with 40 CFR 257.50(f), beneficial use of CCR, CCR placement at active or abandoned underground or surface coal mines, and landfills that receive CCR.

Section 845.110: Applicability of Other Regulations

This Section denotes that compliance with Part 845 does not affect the need for the owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment or lateral expansion of a CCR surface impoundment, to comply with all other applicable federal, state, tribal, or local laws or other requirements. This Section further expressly delineates that any CCR surface impoundment or lateral expansion of a CCR surface impoundment continues to be subject to floodplain requirements, the Illinois Endangered Species Protection Act, the federal requirements within 40 CFR 257.3-2, federal surface water requirements, and the Rivers, Lakes and Streams Act.

Section 845.120: Definitions

In addition to definitions contained within the Act, the Illinois EPA proposes adding definitions from 40 CFR 257.53.

Section 845.130: Surface Impoundment Identification

This Section prescribes how owners or operators of CCR surface impoundments identify CCR surface impoundments.

Section 845.140: Right of Inspection

This Section provides the requirement for owners or operators to allow the Illinois EPA and duly authorized representatives to perform inspections within its authority under the Act.

Section 845.150: Incorporations by Reference

This Section sets forth the material to be incorporated by reference in the proposed rule, in accordance with 1 Ill. Adm. Code 100.385.

Section 845.160: Severability

This severability clause Section provides that if any provision of the Part 845, or its application to any person or under any circumstances is adjudged invalid, such adjudication shall not affect the validity of this Part as a whole or of any portion not adjudged invalid.

Section 845.170: Inactive Closed CCR Surface Impoundments

This Section outlines the provisions of Part 845 that apply to inactive closed CCR surface impoundments.

Subpart B: Permitting

Proposed Subpart B contains provisions, process, and requirements for the permitting of CCR surface impoundments and the public participation within that process.

Section 845.200: Permit Requirements and Standards of Issuance

This Section establishes that an owner or operator must obtain a construction permit to install or modify a CCR surface impoundment and to perform a corrective action. This Section requires owners or operators to obtain an operating permit before receiving CCR and includes the standards for issuance of such permits.

Section 845.210: General Provisions

This Section describes the application process to obtain a permit from the Illinois EPA and

allows the applicant to submit previously completed demonstrations and assessments for some of the requirements in Part 845.

Section 845.220: Construction Permits

In this Section the Illinois EPA specifies what information and documentation a construction permit application must contain for building a new CCR surface impoundment, construction related to corrective actions, and construction related to closure activities.

Section 845.230: Operating Permits

In this Section the Illinois EPA specifies what information and documentation an operating permit application must contain to obtain an initial and renewal operating permit for a CCR surface impoundment, a post-closure care operating permit, and an initial operating permit for existing, inactive and inactive closed CCR surface impoundments. Operating permits shall be issued for fixed terms not to exceed five years.

Section 845.240: Pre-Application Public Notification and Public Meeting

This Section specifies the public notification requirements for owners or operators to conduct at least two public meetings prior to submitting a construction permit application to the Illinois EPA. In this meeting the owner operator must outline the decision-making process for the project, including, where applicable, the corrective action alternatives and the closure alternatives considered.

Section 845.250: Tentative Determination and Draft Permit

Once a complete application for a construction permit, operating permit or a joint construction and operating permit has been received and reviewed, the Illinois EPA will provide either a tentative determination to issue or deny the permit to the applicant. The Illinois EPA will also notify the applicant of its intent to circulate public notice of its tentative decision.

Section 845.260: Draft Permit Public Notice and Participation

This Section contains the requirements for notifying the public of a tentative Illinois EPA decision on a permit, provides the opportunity for the public to comment on the tentative permit and request a public hearing on the tentative permit. It specifies the process and requirements the Illinois EPA must follow to provide public notice of the hearing, allow for public commenting, hold a public hearing, and requires the Illinois EPA to prepare a responsiveness summary addressing issues raised by the public.

Section 845.270: Final Permit Determination and Appeal

This Section lays out the procedures for notification by the Illinois EPA of its final decision on a permit and species the process for appealing an Illinois EPA determination.

Section 845.280: Transfer, Modification and Renewal

This Section establishes process and criteria for the transfer, modification, or renewal of a permit. This Section includes criteria for an Illinois EPA -initiated modification, an owner or operator-initiated modification, an Illinois EPA minor modification, and the timeframes and requirements for filing an application for renewal of a permit.

Section 845.290: Construction Quality Assurance Program

This Section establishes the requirement to develop and implement a Construction Quality Assurance Program, and what such a program must include.

Subpart C: Location Restrictions

Proposed Subpart C provides the location restrictions for existing, new, and laterally expanded CCR surface impoundments. The owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment must obtain a certification from a qualified professional engineer stating that the location demonstrations meet the location requirements of each respective location restriction.

Section 845.300: Placement Above the Uppermost Aquifer

This Section requires that the base of a CCR surface impoundment must not be within five (5) feet of the top of the uppermost aquifer, including during seasonally high periods. This requirement must be demonstrated and provided in both the initial operating permit application and in a construction permit application. This Section is consistent with 40 CFR 257.60.

Section 845.310: Wetlands

This Section provides the location restrictions relative to wetlands consistent with 40 CFR 257. Requirements of this Section require that protection of wetlands will occur. This Section is consistent with 40 CFR 257.61.

Section 845.320: Fault Areas

The purpose of this Section is to provide location restrictions and determine proximity to fault areas. This Section is consistent with 40 CFR 257.62.

Section 845.330: Seismic Impact Zones

This Section restricts the location of CCR surface impoundments regarding seismic impact zones as provided in Part 257. Requirements of this Section pertain to liners and other structural components of the CCR surface impoundment. This Section is consistent with 40 CFR 257.63. Section 845.340: Unstable Areas

This Section provides what is considered an unstable area and precludes the location of a CCR surface impoundment in an unstable area. This Section is consistent with 40 CFR 257.64.

Section 845.350: Failure to Meet Location Standards

This Section provides that the owner or operator of an existing CCR surface impoundment who fails to demonstrate compliance with the requirements Subpart C are subject to the closure or retrofit provisions of Section 845.700 and are precluded from placing CCR in the CCR surface
impoundment.

Subpart D: Design Criteria

Proposed Subpart D contains the proposed design criteria for CCR surface impoundments.

Section 845.400: Liner Design Criteria for Existing CCR Surface Impoundments

In this Section, the Illinois EPA lays out the specifications and requirements for a composite liner and an alternative composite liner consistent with 40 CFR 257. The owner or operator must demonstrate whether or not existing CCR surface impoundments, that have not completed an Illinois EPA-approved closure prior to July 30, 2021, have been constructed with federally compliant liners. All unlined CCR surface impoundments are subject to the closure or retrofit provisions of Section 845.700.

Section 845.410: Liner Design Criteria for New CCR Surface Impoundments and Any Lateral Expansion of a CCR Surface Impoundment

This Section of the proposal specifies requirements for new, and lateral expansions of, CCR surface impoundments and refers to the design criteria contained in Section 845.400.

Section 845.420: Leachate Collection and Removal System

A new CCR surface impoundment must be designed, constructed, operated and maintained with a leachate collection and removal system. The purpose of this Section is to minimize the amount of head on the liner system which will decrease the potential for the movement of fluids through the liner. The system is similar to leachate collection systems required for solid waste landfills.

Section 845.430: Slope Maintenance

The slopes, and pertinent surrounding areas of the CCR surface impoundment, must be designed, constructed, operated, and maintained with one of the forms of slope protection specified in Subsection (a) of this Section. Further, this Section provides requirements on the final cover

system that generally require the cover system be properly maintained to protect it from erosion, be mowed on a regular basis, and kept free of woody vegetation.

Section 845.440: Hazard Potential Classification Assessment

This Section provides the requirements for the owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment to complete and document a hazard potential classification assessment of each CCR surface impoundment. The owner or operator must document the hazard potential classification of each CCR surface impoundment as either a Class 1 or Class 2 CCR surface impoundment. The owner or operator must also document the basis for each hazard potential classification.

Section 845.450: Structural Stability Assessment

This Section provides the requirements for the owner or operator to conduct an initial and annual structural stability assessment and document whether the design, construction, operation, and maintenance of the CCR surface impoundment is consistent with recognized and generally accepted engineering practices for the maximum volume of CCR and CCR wastewater which can be impounded.

Section 845.460: Safety Factor Assessment

This Section provides the requirements for the owner or operator to conduct an initial and annual safety factor assessment for each CCR surface impoundment and document whether the calculated factors of safety for each CCR surface impoundment achieve the minimum safety factors specified for the critical cross section of the embankment.

Subpart E: Operating Criteria

Proposed Subpart E contains the criteria for operating CCR surface impoundments.

845.500 Air Criteria

This Section provides the requirements for the owner or operator to provide dust control

measures for activities related to the CCR surface impoundments. Dust control measures will be documented in a Fugitive Dust Control Plan and an Annual Fugitive Dust Control Report. This Section is consistent with 40 CFR 257.80.

845.510 Hydrologic and Hydraulic Capacity Requirements for CCR Surface Impoundments

This Section specifies the requirements for inflow flood control system for CCR surface impoundments. The requirements for the inflow flood control system include design, construction, operation, maintenance and submission of plan and amendments to the plan to Illinois EPA. This Section is consistent with 40 CFR 257.82.

845.520 Emergency Action Plan

This Section provides that the owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment must prepare and maintain a written Emergency Action Plan ("EAP") and defines the minimum requirements for the EAP. Illinois EPA proposes measures that include, *inter alia*, notification and annual meetings with local first responders.

845.530 Safety and Health Plan

This Section provides that the owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment must develop a Safety and Health Plan ("SHP"), ensure that employees, contract workers, and thirdparty contractors are informed regarding the SHP, and defines the minimum requirements for the SHP including a personnel training program with minimum requirements. For worker exposure safety, the owners and operators must implement The United States Department of Labor's Occupational Safety and Health Administration ("OSHA") standards in 29 CFR 1910.120 and 29 CFR 1926.65. Owners and operators must provide Safety Data Sheets (SDSs) or a specific SDS created for impoundment specific CCR. Finally, this Section provides minimum requirements for hazard communications.

845.540 Inspection Requirements for CCR Surface Impoundments

This Section details the minimum requirements for inspections conducted by a qualified person and the annual inspections by a qualified professional engineer. Documented inspections are required of CCR surface impoundments after storms for deterioration of the CCR surface impoundment structure. Additionally, weekly inspections of discharge flow mechanisms within and around the CCR surface impoundment require inspection. Finally, a qualified professional engineer will perform a detailed annual inspection of the CCR surface impoundment to document the integrity of the structure and supporting structures. Annual inspection reports and proposed corrective actions will be provided to the Illinois EPA.

845.550 Annual Consolidated Report

This Section details the requirements of an Annual Consolidated Report to include the Annual CCR Fugitive Dust Control Report, Annual Inspection Report, and Annual Groundwater Monitoring and Correction Action Report by January 31st of each year.

Subpart F: Groundwater Monitoring and Corrective Action

Proposed Subpart F contains the provisions of Part 845 that concern groundwater monitoring and corrective action.

Section 845.600: Groundwater Protection Standards

In this proposed Section, the Illinois EPA establishes the groundwater protection standards that are applicable to new, existing and inactive CCR surface impoundments. This Section also establishes the point of compliance relative to groundwater monitoring at CCR surface impoundments. The groundwater protection standards proposed in this Section correspond to 40 CFR 257, Appendix III and Appendix IV. Additionally, this proposed Section includes some elements of 40 CFR 257.94 and 40 CFR 257.95 including the requirement for the groundwater

protection standards to meet background at the waste boundary.

Section 845.610: General Requirements

In this proposed Section, the Illinois EPA establishes the requirements for groundwater monitoring for all CCR surface impoundments subject to Subpart F during the entire active life of the CCR surface impoundment which include operation, closure, post-closure care and any required corrective action. These requirements include hydrogeologic site characterization to establish the basis for the required groundwater monitoring system, and groundwater sampling and analysis requirements, including appropriate statistical analysis. This Section also establishes the requirement to initiate corrective action based on the analysis of groundwater monitoring and establishes the requirement for annual reporting along with the required contents of the annual reports. The proposed Section generally corresponds to the applicability requirements of 40 CFR 257.90.

Section 845.620: Hydrogeologic Site Characterization

In this proposed Section, the Illinois EPA requires all owners or operators to conduct a comprehensive site investigation and evaluation to determine potential contamination migration pathways and to develop other hydrogeologic information for the facility. In addition to the establishment of a groundwater monitoring system, the data from the hydrogeologic site investigation will be useful when evaluating corrective action and closure options.

Section 845.630: Groundwater Monitoring Systems

In this proposed Section, the Illinois EPA establishes the specific design requirements of the groundwater monitoring system including the number of monitoring wells, their location and construction for each CCR surface impoundment, or if appropriate a combination of CCR surface impoundments. The proposed Section generally corresponds to the groundwater monitoring systems requirements of 40 CFR 257.91.

Section 845.640: Groundwater Sampling and Analysis Requirements

In this proposed Section, the Illinois EPA establishes the requirements for sample collection, preservation and chain of custody. Requirements to use appropriate data collection, sampling and analytical methods are included along with general guidance on the types of statistical methods which are acceptable for the analysis of the groundwater monitoring data collected, and how those statistical methods are used in compliance determination. This proposed Section generally corresponds with elements of the groundwater sampling and analysis requirements of 40 CFR 257.93; the detection monitoring program requirements of 40 CFR 257.95.

Section 845.650: Groundwater Monitoring Program

In this proposed Section, the Illinois EPA establishes the constituents that must be monitored, the frequency of groundwater monitoring and the requirements for the monitoring program to fit with the selected statistical methods. This proposed Section also establishes the process by which owners and operators determine if they must initiate corrective action or are able to provide an alternative source demonstration. The proposed Section contains elements of the detection monitoring program requirements of 40 CFR 257.94 and the assessment monitoring program requirements of 40 CFR 257.95.

Section 845.660: Assessment of Corrective Measures

In this proposed Section, the Illinois EPA establishes the mechanism by which the assessment of corrective measures is initiated. It also sets forth the time frames for initiating and completing the corrective measures assessment, lists general criteria for consideration during the corrective measures assessment, confirms the requirement to continue groundwater monitoring

during the corrective measures assessment and establishes a requirement for public participation as part of the corrective measures assessment. This proposed Section contains elements of the assessment of corrective measures requirements found in 40 CFR 257.96.

Section 845.670: Corrective Action Plan

In this proposed Section, the Illinois EPA establishes the schedule for submission of a corrective action plan to the Illinois EPA. It also sets forth a list of the requirements that must be fulfilled by the corrective action plan. The corrective measures incorporated into the corrective action plan must be protective of human health and the environment, meet the groundwater protection standards of Part 845.600, control to the extent feasible further releases to the environment, remove from the environment as much released material as feasible and comply with the management of waste requirements of Part 845.680. This proposed Section requires the submission of data supporting the selected remedy which is detailed in the corrective action alternatives analysis. The owner or operator must also provide a schedule for implementing and completing the corrective action, taking into consideration facility specific details such as the character and extent of contamination, the availability of treatment and disposal capacity, risks posed to human health and the environment, local groundwater use with regard to quality and quantity including possible impacts on the groundwater and the availability of alternative water supplies. The proposed Section generally corresponds to the selection of remedy requirements found in 40 CFR 257.97.

Section 845.680: Implementation of the Corrective Action Plan

In this proposed Section, the Illinois EPA establishes the timeframe for implementing the Illinois EPA approved corrective action, which must meet applicable groundwater monitoring requirements, document the effectiveness of the remedial action and demonstrate compliance with

groundwater protection standards. The proposed Section also requires that owners and operators take interim measures to prevent further releases while the final corrective action measures are being implemented, along with requirements to amend the corrective action plan if it is determined that the approved corrective action will not be successful in meeting the requirements of the corrective action. This proposed Section also sets forth the criteria for determining when corrective action has been completed and the steps owners and operators must take to verify completion of corrective action. The proposed Section generally corresponds to the implementation of the corrective action program found in 40 CFR 257.98.

Subpart G: Closure and Post-Closure Care

Proposed Subpart G contains provisions for closure and post-closure care of CCR surface impoundments.

Section 845.700: Required Closure or Retrofit of CCR Surface Impoundments

As this proposal requires Illinois EPA's review and approval of proposed closures, the owners and operators of CCR surface impoundments and the Illinois EPA must prioritize which CCR surface impoundments close first. The proposed prioritization scheme assists owners and operators in determining where and how to spend their resources by categorizing impoundments based on risk to health and the environment and the impoundment's proximity to areas of environmental justice concern, requiring those with the highest risk and those located in areas of environmental justice concern to submit a closure application first, approximately nine months after the proposed rules will become effective. The impoundments posing a slightly lower risk would be required to submit closure permit applications six months later, and those with the least amount of risk would be required to submit permit applications 18 months later.

Section 845.710: Closure Alternatives

This Section provides the requirements and factors required when considering closure of a CCR surface impoundment and evaluating closure alternatives. For closure of a CCR surface impoundment, or closure of any lateral expansion of a CCR surface impoundment, the Illinois EPA requires that closure alternatives be considered including, but not limited to, closure by removal. Before selecting a closure method, the owner or operator of each CCR surface impoundment must complete a closure alternatives analysis that considers the long and short term effectiveness and protectiveness of the closure method, the effectiveness of the closure method in controlling future releases, the ease or difficulty of implementing a potential closure method, and the degree to which the concerns of the residents living within communities where the CCR will be handled, transported and disposed are addressed by the closure method. A closure alternatives analysis must be included in the closure plan submitted to the Illinois EPA and must ensure the protection of human health and the environment and achieve compliance with the groundwater protection standards. The alternatives analysis must also meet or exceed a class 4 estimate under the AACE Classification Standard, contain the results of groundwater modeling showing how the closure alternative will achieve compliance with the applicable groundwater protection standards, including seasonal variations, and assess impacts to waters of the State. The analysis must also identify whether the facility has an onsite landfill with remaining capacity that can accept CCR or the ability to construct an onsite landfill. At least 30 days before submission of a construction permit application for closure, the owner or operator must hold a public meeting concerning the closure alternatives.

Section 845.720: Closure Plan

The purpose of this Section is to specify what must be included within closure plans. This Section provides the requirements of a closure plan with submission of a preliminary written closure plan, amendments to the preliminary written closure plan, and final closure plan. The closure plan is

required prior to initiation of the closure activities. The final closure plan must also detail characteristics of the closure activities, CCR surface impoundment, closure alternatives, design of the closure, and any amendments to the preliminary written closure plan.

Section 845.730: Initiation of Closure

This Section provides the requirements for how closure activities must be initiated and when closure is required due to completion of the use of the CCR surface impoundment as an active CCR surface impoundment. The owner or operator must initiate closure of a CCR surface impoundment no later than the timeframes specified if the owner or operator has ceased placing waste in the CCR surface impoundment. Owners and operators of temporarily idled CCR surface impoundments may obtain two year extensions on for initiation of closure with documentation that the impoundment has remaining storage or disposal capacity or that the impoundment can have CCR removed for the purpose of beneficial use and that there is a reasonable likelihood the that impoundment will resume receiving waste or CCR will be removed for the purpose of beneficial reuse. The documentation must be submitted for Illinois EPA review and approval.

Section 845.740: Closure by Removal

This Section provides the requirements for closure by removal. An owner or operator may elect to close a CCR surface impoundment by removing and decontaminating all areas affected by releases from the impoundment. Once closure by removal is completed, groundwater monitoring must continue for three years after closure or for three years after groundwater monitoring does not show an exceedance of the groundwater protection standards. The owner or operator must responsibly handle and transport the CCR, including manifests, transportation plans, onsite dust controls, public notices, and prevent contamination of surface water, groundwater, soil and sediments. Upon completion of CCR removal and decontamination of the CCR surface impoundment, a completion of CCR removal and decontamination report and a certification from

a qualified professional engineer that CCR removal and decontamination of the CCR surface impoundment has been completed must be placed in the facility's operating record pursuant to Section 845.800. Upon completion of groundwater monitoring, the owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment must complete a groundwater monitoring report and a certification from a qualified professional engineer that groundwater monitoring has been completed and place this report in the facility's operating record.

Section 845.750: Closure with a Final Cover System

This Section provides the technical requirements for the final cover system when closure is not by complete removal. The Illinois EPA consulted 35 Ill. Adm. Code 811.204 and Ill. Adm. Code 840.126 when drafting this proposed language. This Section details the closure performance standard for the final cover system when closing by leaving CCR in place. The performance standard will help prevent contamination release through design, optimize drainage, stabilization, minimize infiltration and erosion, and support vegetation. CCR may be placed in the surface impoundment, but only for the purposes of grading and contouring in the design and construction of the final cover.

Section 845.760: Completion of Closure Activities

This Section provides the requirements for the owner or operator to complete closure of existing and new CCR surface impoundments, and any lateral expansion of a CCR surface impoundment, within the timeframe approved by the Illinois EPA in the final closure plan, or within five years of obtaining a construction permit for closure, whichever is less. The Section details the timeframe requirements in which closure is to occur, timeframe extensions for closure by removal and associated demonstrations, maximum time extensions, closure report requirements, and property deed notations for future use.

Section 845.770: Retrofitting

Retrofit of a CCR surface impoundment must be completed in accordance with the requirements of this Section. Retrofitting requires removal of CCR, including any liners, contaminated soils and sediments, conduct any necessary corrective action, and an installation of a compliant liner and leachate collection system. Information about the plan to retrofit must be included in a written retrofit plan submitted with a construction permit before retrofitting begins. The retrofit plan may be amended as needed. Handling and removal of CCR must be consistent with Section 845.740. A retrofit completion report is required after completion of the retrofit activities. At any time after the initiation of a CCR surface impoundment retrofit, the owner or operator may cease the retrofit and seek to initiate closure of the surface impoundment.

Section 845.780: Post-Closure Care Requirements

This Section provides the post-closure care requirements and applies to the owners or operators of CCR surface impoundments who have completed an Illinois EPA-approved closure. However, an owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment that elects to close by removal is not subject to the post-closure care requirement under this Section. The owner or operator must conduct post-closure care consisting of maintaining the integrity and effectiveness of the final cover system, maintaining the integrity and effectiveness of and operating the leachate collection and removal system and maintaining and operating the groundwater monitoring system. Post closure care must continue for 30 years. At the end of 30 years, the owner or operator must conduct post-closure care until the groundwater monitoring shows concentrations are below the groundwater protection standards, and not increasing for those constituents over background provided concentrations have been reduced to the maximum extent feasible and concentrations are protective of human health and the environment. This Section includes the requirements for a

written post-closure care plan and amendments to the plan that may be submitted via an operating permit modification application.

Subpart H: Recordkeeping

In order to show compliance with the proposed rules, records must be kept by the owner or operator. Subpart H provides the requirements for recordkeeping.

Section 845.800: Facility Operating Record

This Section provides the requirements for each owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment to maintain files of information required by this Part in a written operating record at the facility and maintain that record for given timeframes.

Section 845.810: Publicly Accessible Internet Site Requirements

This Section requires the owner or operator to provide a website entitled, "CCR Rule Compliance Data and Information" for each CCR surface impoundment. The section provides dates for website content and determines length of time for information to be stored on the required website. Further, this Section requires the owner or operator to notify and provide any updates to location of website. The Illinois EPA will maintain a list of these web addresses for public access.

Subpart I: Financial Assurance

Proposed Subpart I provides procedures by which the owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment provides financial assurance satisfying the requirements of Section 22.59(f) of the Act.

Section 845.900: General Provisions

This Section outlines a number of general provisions regarding financial assurance, including applicability, exemptions, available mechanisms, Illinois EPA authority and enforcement rights, and procedures for appealing certain Illinois EPA actions.

Section 845.910: Upgrading Financial Assurance

This Section details when financial assurance cost estimates must be upgraded and adjusted. The Illinois EPA proposes that, in addition to annually adjusting for inflation, owners and operators must increase the total amount of financial assurance provided in response to increases in the current cost estimate or decreases in the value of a trust fund.

Section 845.920: Release of Financial Institution and Owner or Operator

This Section describes the instances and manner by which the Illinois EPA will release financial institutions, such as trustees and sureties, and owners or operators from the financial assurance requirements of Subpart I. The Illinois EPA proposes that financial institutions be released when the owner or operator obtains alternative financial assurance, or when the Illinois EPA releases the owner or operator from the financial assurance requirements. Owners or operators would be released from the financial assurance requirements only after the Illinois EPA verified completion of closure, post-closure care, and corrective action pursuant to this Part.

Section 845.930: Cost Estimates

This Section details the cost estimate procedures and requirements for closure and postclosure care, and for corrective action. Regarding cost estimates for corrective action, the Illinois EPA proposes delineating and requiring a "preliminary" cost estimate that would later be replaced by a cost estimate based on an Illinois EPA-approved corrective action plan.

Section 845.940: Revision of Cost Estimates

This Section provides the procedures for annually adjusting cost estimates for inflation, and this Section also requires revisions to cost estimates in certain instances of plan modifications and cost increases.

Section 845.950: Mechanisms for Financial Assurance

This Section generally describes the available financial assurance mechanisms and sets forth timeframes within which owners or operators must provide financial assurance. Further, this Section describes instances when owners or operators may use multiple mechanisms for a single CCR surface impoundment or when a single mechanism may be utilized for multiple CCR surface impoundments in Illinois.

Section 845.960: Trust Fund

This Section details the requirements applicable to the use of a Trust Fund for financial assurance pursuant to Subpart I.

Section 845.970: Surety Bond Guaranteeing Payment

This Section details the requirements applicable to the use of a Surety Bond Guaranteeing Payment for financial assurance pursuant to Subpart I.

Section 845.980: Surety Bond Guaranteeing Performance

This Section details the requirements applicable to the use of a Surety Bond Guaranteeing Performance for financial assurance pursuant to Subpart I.

Section 845.990: Letter of Credit

This Section details the requirements applicable to the use of a Letter of Credit for financial assurance pursuant to Subpart I.

V. TECHNICAL FEASIBILITY AND ECONOMIC REASONABLENESS

As mandated by P.A. 101-171, the proposed regulation must be as protective and comprehensive as Subpart D of 40 CFR 257.⁴ Since owners and operators of CCR surface impoundments are already subject to 40 CFR 257, many of the technical and economic requirements applicable to owners and operators in the proposed Part 845 are already required

⁴ 415 ILCS 5/22.59(g)(1).

under federal law. For example, both 40 CFR 257 and the proposed Part 845 require groundwater monitoring systems and periodic groundwater monitoring, closure and post-closure care plans, corrective action, if necessary, to achieve groundwater protection standards, design criteria for any newly constructed CCR surface impoundments and the maintenance of publicly available records. The proposed regulation requires the owner or operator of CCR surface impoundments to complete a thorough alternatives analysis for corrective action and closure, the technical feasibility and economical reasonableness of which, will be a facility-specific determination based on multiple factors, including constructability, long and short term effectiveness, reliability and protection of human health and the environment. Therefore, the Illinois EPA believes proposed Part 845 is technically feasible and economically reasonable.

Public Act 101-171 also mandated fees and financial assurance for all CCR surface impoundments regulated by the proposed regulations.⁵ Unlike P.A. 101-171, 40 CFR 257 is a self-implementing program. Therefore, documentation to demonstrate compliance are certified by a professional engineer and posted on a public website, relying on citizen lawsuits for enforcement. In contrast, the Illinois EPA, through the mandate of P.A. 101-171, proposes a permitting program administered by the Illinois EPA. As such, the documentation submitted to the Illinois EPA by the owners and operators of CCR surface impoundments is reviewed and approved by Illinois EPA staff during the operation, corrective action, and, if necessary, closure and post-closure care of every CCR surface impoundments that have not completed closure and lower fees for CCR surface impoundments that have completed closure.

In addition to the initial fee, annual fees are required by P.A. 101-171, again with CCR

⁵ 415 ILCS 5/22.59 (f); (g); (j)(1).

surface impoundments that have not completed closure paying a higher annual fee than those that have completed closure. CCR surface impoundments that close with the CCR left in place have a 30-year minimum post-closure care period, which may be longer if the groundwater protection standards that are protective of human health and the environment have not been achieved. However, CCR surface impoundments that close by removing CCR do not have a specified postclosure care period. Once the owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment that has closed by removing CCR demonstrates that they have achieved the groundwater protection standards, which will assure protection of human health and the environment, annual fees cease, since all work required by the proposed rule will be completed. While the time required to achieve the groundwater protection standards will vary depending on hydrogeologic conditions at each facility, the potentially reduced post-closure care period when closure is by removal of CCR, offsets to some extent the potentially higher costs associated with closure by removal. Because the fee system is designed to support the Illinois EPA's administrative work for the review of documents and permitting associated with CCR surface impoundment operation, corrective action, and, if necessary, closure and post-closure care, the fees are reduced as work progresses and the potential higher costs associated with closing CCR surface impoundments may be offset by a shorter period over which fees are collected, the proposed regulations are economically reasonable.

The financial assurance requirements of P.A. 101-171 also create economic considerations in the proposed regulation that do not exist in 40 CFR 257. Each CCR surface impoundment must have and maintain financial assurance to cover the costs of corrective action, and, if necessary, closure and the post-closure care period. The proposed regulations allow the use of several different financial instruments, or combinations thereof, to provide financial assurance. Because CCR surface impoundments that close with the CCR left in place have a 30-year minimum post-

closure care period, financial assurance must necessarily extend at least 30 years past closure. The period for which financial assurance must be maintained is longer if the corrective action to meet groundwater protection standards is still ongoing at the end of the 30-year post-closure care period. However, CCR surface impoundments that close by removing CCR do not have a specified postclosure care period. Once the owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment that has closed by removing CCR demonstrates that they have achieved the groundwater protection standards, the requirement for financial assurance ends. While the time required to achieve the groundwater protection standards will vary depending on hydrogeologic conditions at each facility, the potentially reduced post-closure care period when closure is by removal of CCR, offsets to some extent the costs associated with maintaining financial assurance. Financial assurance is required to guarantee that in the event of financial default by the owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment, adequate funds will be available to complete corrective action, and, if necessary, closure and post-closure care, and the burden of those costs do not fall on the State, the local citizenry, or worse, the facilities set derelict for many years. Because financial assurance is designed to guarantee that corrective action, if necessary, closure and post-closure care will be completed in the event of financial default of an owner or operator and the term of financial assurance may be shorter when closure is by removal of CCR, the proposed regulations are economically reasonable.

VI. AFFECTED FACILITIES

Power generating facilities with CCR surface impoundments may be affected by the Illinois EPA's proposed rule. These facilities include:

NAME OF FACILITY	CCR SURFACE IMPOUNDMENTS
Ameren MO /UE	
Venice	2
Ameren Energy Generating	
Hutsonville	5
Meredosia	3
City Water Light and Power	
City Water Light and Power	2
Commercial Liability Partners, LLC	
Wood River Station	4
Grand Tower Energy Center, LLC	
Grand Tower	1
NRG	
Will County Station 4	
Waukegan Station 3	
Lincoln Stone Quarry	1
Joliet 29	3
Powerton	5
Prairie Power Inc	
Prairie Power	1
Southern Illinois Power Co-op	
Southern Illinois Power Co-op	9
Vistra	
Baldwin Energy Center	4
Coffeen Station	4
Duck Creek Station	5
Edwards Station 1	
Havana Station 3	
Hennepin Station 6	
Joppa Station	2

Kincaid Generation	1
Newton Station	1
Vermilion Station	3

VII. PUBLIC OUTREACH

After the passage of Public Act 101-171, the Illinois EPA began creating a list of the email addresses of persons wishing to be kept apprised of developments in the Illinois EPA's rulemaking process. The Illinois EPA informed all interested persons on the email list of public informational meetings, public commenting periods, stakeholder meetings, and the filing of this regulatory proposal with the Board.

Prior to proposing draft rules, the Illinois EPA hosted in-person listening sessions, including a webinar, across the State to receive public input. The locations were chosen for geographic diversity and to emphasize coal ash impoundments located in areas of environmental justice concern. These listening sessions included the following times and locations:

Peoria	September 10, 2019	Gateway Building 200 Northeast Water Street	2 pm to 4 pm &
		200 Northeast water Street	o pin to 8 pin
Granite City	September 11, 2019	Granite City Township Hall	2 pm to 4 pm &
		2060A Delmar Avenue	6 pm to 8 pm
Danville	September 17, 2019	Bremer Auditorium	2 pm to 4 pm &
	1 ,	2000 E. Main Street	6 pm to 8 pm
Webinar	September 24, 2019	Web login	10 am
Mt. Vernon	September 26, 2019	Rolland Lewis Community Building	2 pm to 4 pm &
		800 S 27th Street	6 pm to 8 pm
Springfield	October 1, 2019	Zion Missionary Baptist Church,	6 pm to 8 pm
		1601 E. Laurel Street	1 1
Joliet	October 8, 2019	Joliet Jr. College	2 pm to 4 pm &
		Weitendorf Agriculture Center	6 pm to 8 pm
		17840 Laraway Road	
Waukegan	October 9, 2019	Whittier Elementary School	2 pm to 4 pm &
		901 N. Lewis Avenue	6 pm to 8 pm

After the above-referenced listening sessions, the Illinois EPA, on December 11, 2019, released a draft of the proposed Part 845 regulations for Coal Combustion Residual (CCR) surface impoundments at power generating facilities. After releasing this public draft, the Illinois EPA hosted a stakeholder meeting on January 6, 2020, in Springfield, Illinois, and accepted written comments on these draft rules until January 13, 2020. The Illinois EPA considered the public comments received at the listening sessions, the stakeholder meeting, and the submissions of written comments when drafting the instant proposed Part 845.

Additionally, since on or about July 2019, Illinois EPA has worked cooperatively with USEPA to provide this proposal to the Board. *See* Attachment B. Illinois EPA discussed the public draft distributed on December 11, 2019, with USEPA and from these discussions, and upon further information and belief, Illinois EPA believes this rulemaking meets the requirements for partial program delegation as proposed.

VIII. SYNOPSIS OF TESTIMONY

The Illinois EPA anticipates presenting six witnesses during the Board's hearings on this proposal. The witnesses are Illinois EPA employees within the Division of Public Water Supplies, Division of Water Pollution Control and the Office of Community Relations. They are (1) Bill Buscher, manager of the Hydrogeology and Compliance Unit; (2) Lynn Dunaway, Environmental Protection Specialist IV; (3) Amy Zimmer, Environmental Protection Geologist III; (4) Darin LeCrone, manager of the Industrial Unit; (5) Lauren Martin, Environmental Protection Geologist I; (6) Chris Pressnall, Environmental Justice Coordinator; (7) Bob Mathis, Accountant Advanced; and (8) Melinda Shaw, Environmental Protection Geologist I.

Bill Buscher graduated from the University of Missouri-Rolla with a Bachelor of Science in Geological Engineering and is a licensed professional geologist. He has worked in Bureau of

Water since April of 1988. His primary responsibilities include application of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act and Board's rules which pertain to groundwater. Mr. Buscher may testify about, *inter alia*, operating and design criteria.

Lynn Dunaway graduated from the Bradley University with a Bachelor of Science, in Geology. Mr. Dunaway has been an Illinois Licensed Professional Geologist since 1998. He has worked in the Groundwater Section, Bureau of Water, since February of 1988. In addition to implementation of programs under the Groundwater Protection Act, he has experience with groundwater standards compliance issues, including implementation of protective measures at the time of permitting and regulatory development. Mr. Dunaway may testify about, *inter alia*, design criteria, groundwater protection standards, groundwater monitoring systems, groundwater monitoring programs including statistical methods for their evaluation, corrective action and corrective action implementation.

Amy Zimmer has worked in the Groundwater Section of the Division of Public Water Supplies since 1998. Before joining the Illinois EPA, she graduated from Northern Illinois University with a Bachelor of Science in Geology. Ms. Zimmer's job duties include conducting geologic investigations and hydrogeologic characterization of aquifers utilized by community water supplies, developing conceptual and mathematical models of flow systems, identifying groundwater flowpaths, evaluating groundwater models and hydrogeologic data received from regulated sites and community water supplies, providing technical input for special projects requiring geologic expertise, and assisting in the preparation of routine reports concerning various aspects of the state's groundwater protection programs. Ms. Zimmer may testify about, *inter alia*, hydrogeologic site characterization, closure, and post-closure care.

Darin LeCrone is the manager of the Industrial Unit, Permit Section, Division of Water

Pollution Control. Mr. LeCrone has worked in the Permit Section since 1992. Before joining the Illinois EPA, he graduated from Southern Illinois University Carbondale with a Bachelor of Science degree in Mechanical Engineering. Mr. LeCrone is a licensed professional engineer in Illinois. His job duties include managing a staff of engineers responsible for implementing the state construction and operating permit programs, the NPDES program, and other related permitting programs for a variety of non-municipal sources including industrial, agriculture, dredge and fill and coal and non-coal mining. Mr. LeCrone may testify about, *inter alia*, permitting CCR surface impoundments.

Lauren Martin graduated from Western Illinois University with a Bachelor of Science in Geology in 2002 and Illinois State University with a Master of Science in Hydrogeology in 2006. Ms. Martin was trained and utilized professionally her OSHA 10-hour Construction Safety Awareness training beginning in 2005 and OSHA 30-hour Construction Safety Training in 2015. Ms. Martin has maintained 40-hour OSHA HAZWOPER/8-hour Refresher and supervisor training beginning since 2005. Ms. Martin has also retained USACE Construction Quality Manager Training since 2015. Ms. Martin has worked in geotechnical engineering and general construction since 2001 and the environmental industry since 2005. At Jacobs Engineering (2018-2020) Ms. Martin held supervisory roles including within transportation and environmental industry projects. At CH2M (2005-2018), Ms. Martin held supervisory roles including Site Superintendent, Construction Quality Manager, Subject Matter Expert, Project Manager, and Task Lead for transportation, water infrastructure, nuclear siting and licensing and environmental projects. At CH2M Ms. Martin was the Site Superintendent, Construction Quality Manager and Site Safety and Health Officer for a coal remediation project, removing coal from underneath railroad tracks at a railyard in rural Illinois. At Nicor (2003-2005), Ms. Martin worked under a Research Grant

through Illinois State University and interned as a Reservoir Engineer. Ms. Martin is published in the World of Environmental Engineering for a portion of her master's thesis, Identification of Potential Vertical as Migration Pathways above Gas Storage Reservoirs (2015). At Whitney and Associates (2001-2003), Ms. Martin performed construction inspections including compaction of beneficially used fly ash and batch plant mixing of beneficially used fly ash. Ms. Martin started at the Illinois EPA as an Environmental Protection Geologist I in February of 2020. Ms. Martin may testify about, *inter alia*, operating criteria, the safety and health plan, emergency action plan and general construction, environmental and transportation site practices and implementation.

Chris Pressnall graduated from Southern Illinois University with a Bachelor of Arts in Zoology and the University of Illinois College of Law with a Juris Doctorate. He has worked for the Illinois EPA since 1998. He worked in the Illinois EPA Division of Legal Counsel until 2017. In 2017 he became the Environmental Justice Coordinator for the Illinois EPA. Mr. Pressnall is responsible for administering the Illinois EPA's Environmental Justice program and may testify about, *inter alia*, the environmental justice portions of the proposed rule.

Bob Mathis is an Accountant Advanced with the Illinois EPA. He has worked in Bureau of Land since 1989. His primary responsibilities include application of the Act and Board's rules which pertain to auditing financial assurance for hazardous waste, solid waste, used tire, UIC and compost facilities. He currently serves as lead accountant in the unit along with being the technical advisor to management for financial assurance issues. Mr. Mathis may present testimony and answer questions related to, *inter alia*, the financial assurance process.

Melinda Shaw graduated from Western Illinois University with a Bachelor of Science in Geology. Cumulatively, she has worked for the Illinois EPA for six years in various remediation programs. Ms. Shaw now works as an Environmental Protection Geologist I in the Groundwater

Section of the Bureau of Water. Ms. Shaw may present testimony about, *inter alia*, location restrictions, manifesting requirements, and recordkeeping.

IX. SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS

A. Documents Relied Upon

The Illinois Administrative Procedure Act provides that all proposed rulemakings must

include:

a descriptive title or other description of any published study or research report used in developing the rule, the identity of the person who performed such study, and a description of where the public may obtain a copy of any such study or research report. If the study was performed by an agency or by a person or entity that contracted with the agency for the performance of the study, the agency shall also make copies of the underlying data available to members of the public upon request if the data are not protected from disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act.

5 ILCS 100/5-40(b)(3.5). The Board's procedural rules require the same information to be included

with any rulemaking proposal filed with the Board in 35 Ill. Adm. Code 102.202(e). A list of the

documents relied upon by the Illinois EPA in developing this proposal, excluding the documents

incorporated by reference, is provided below.

List of Documents Relied Upon	
Public Act 101-171, eff. 7-30-19; revised 10-22-19	
35 Ill. Adm Code Sections 164, 166, 309, 620, 720, 810, 811, 840, and 3702.	
20 CFR 1910 et seq. and 29 CFR 1926 et seq.	
40 CFR 257 et seq. (2019)	
80 Fed. Reg. 74, 21302-21501 (April 17, 2015) (to be codified at 40 C.F.R. pt. 257 and 261).	
81 Fed. Reg. 151, 51802-51808 (August 5, 2016)	
83 Fed. Reg. 51, 11584-11616 (March 15, 2018)	
83 Fed. Reg. 146, 36435-36456 (July 30, 2018)	
84 Fed. Reg. 157, 40353-40371 (August 14, 2019)	
84 Fed. Reg. 231, 65941-65964 (December 2, 2019)	

Util. Solid Waste Activities Group v. Envtl. Prot. Agency, 901 F.3d 414 (D.C. Cir. 2018), judgment entered, 15-1219, 2018 WL 4158384 (D.C. Cir. Aug. 21, 2018)

The Illinois EPA did not perform any new studies, nor did the Illinois EPA contract with any outside entities to perform any studies for the development of this rulemaking proposal. Because no studies were conducted, there is no underlying data meeting the requirements of 5 ILCS 100/5-40(b)(3.5).

B. Incorporations by Reference and Attachments

This section of the Statement of Reasons provides a list of documents the Illinois EPA proposes to incorporate by reference. Section 102.202(d) requires the Illinois EPA to submit "[a]ny material to be incorporated by reference within the proposed rule pursuant to Section 5-75 of the IAPA [5 ILCS 100/5-75]." The Illinois EPA proposes incorporating the following documents by reference:

Documents Incorporated By Reference "Cost Estimate Classification System—As Applied in Engineering, Procurement, and Construction for the Process Industries" TCM Framework: 7.3 – Cost Estimating and Budgeting. March 6, 2009, AACE International Recommended Practice No. 18R-97. (not filed) "Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods," USEPA Publication No. SW-846, as amended by Updates I, II, IIA, IIB, III, IIIA, and IIIB (Doc. No. 955-001-00000-1) (available online at https://www.epa.gov/hw-sw846/sw-846-compendium).

Under the Board's procedural rules, the Illinois EPA may not file copyrighted material electronically through the Clerk's Office On Line ("COOL"). 35 Ill. Adm. Code 101.302(h)(4). Instead, the Illinois EPA must either 1) file a paper original and the copyright owner's authorization for the board to make 2 copies, or 2) a license or other document that allows the Board to access the document electronically and potentially print three copies. *Id*. The Illinois EPA elects to submit one paper original as submitted to Illinois EPA and a letter from the copyright holder that Board may make copies of the original.

C. Attachments

This section of the Statement of Reasons provides list of documents attached to this rulemaking proposal.

Letter	Attachments
A1	Copyright Waiver from AACE International (not filed on COOL)
A2	"Cost Estimate Classification System—As Applied in Engineering,
	Procurement, and Construction for the Process Industries" TCM Framework: 7.3
	– Cost Estimating and Budgeting. March 6, 2009, AACE International
	Recommended Practice No. 18R-97. (not filed on COOL)
В	March 9, 2020, USEPA, Region 5 Letter
С	Util. Solid Waste Activities Group v. Envtl. Prot. Agency, 901 F.3d 414 (D.C.
	Cir. 2018)
D	Public Act 101-171, eff. 7-30-19

X. CONCLUSION

WHEREFORE, the Illinois EPA respectfully requests the Board to adopt the Illinois

EPA's proposed regulation in its entirety as submitted.

SPECIAL NOTE: Undersigned would be remiss not to mention the significant contributions, and tireless efforts, made by Joanne Olson, former Deputy General Counsel for Illinois EPA, and Gabe Neibergall, Illinois EPA Division of Legal Counsel, in preparing this proposed regulation.

Respectfully submitted,

ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL

Dated: March 30, 2020

Rex L. Gradeless, #6303411 Division of Legal Counsel Illinois Environmental Protection Agency 1021 North Grand Avenue East P.O. Box 19276 Springfield, IL 62794-9276 (217) 782-5544 Rex.Gradeless@Illinois.gov PROTECTION AGENCY,

Petitioner,

BY: <u>/s/ Rex L. Gradeless</u> Rex L. Gradeless

THIS FILING IS SUBMITTED ELECTRONICALLY

TITLE 35: ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION SUBTITLE G: WASTE DISPOSAL CHAPTER I: POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD SUBCHAPTER j: COAL COMBUSTION WASTE SURFACE IMPOUNDMENTS

PART 845

STANDARDS FOR THE DISPOSAL OF COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUALS IN SURFACE IMPOUNDMENTS

SUBPART A: GENERAL PROVISIONS

Section:

- 845.100 Scope and Purpose
- 845.110 Applicability of Other Regulations
- 845.120 Definitions
- 845.130 Surface Impoundment Identification
- 845.140 Right of Inspection
- 845.150 Incorporations by Reference
- 845.160 Severability
- 845.170 Inactive Closed CCR Surface Impoundments

SUBPART B: PERMITTING

Section

- 845.200 Permit Requirements and Standards of Issuance
- 845.210 General Provisions
- 845.220 Construction Permits
- 845.230 Operating Permits
- 845.240 Pre-Application Public Notification and Public Meeting
- 845.250 Tentative Determination and Draft Permit
- 845.260 Draft Permit Public Notice and Participation
- Final Permit Determination and Appeal
- 845.280 Transfer, Modification and Renewal
- 845.290 Construction Quality Assurance Program

SUBPART C: LOCATION RESTRICTIONS

Section

- 845.300 Placement Above the Uppermost Aquifer
- 845.310 Wetlands
- Fault Areas
- 845.330 Seismic Impact Zones
- 845.340 Unstable Areas
- 845.350 Failure to Meet Location Standards

SUBPART D: DESIGN CRITERIA

Section 845.400 Liner Design Criteria for Existing CCR Surface Impoundments 845.410 Liner Design Criteria for New CCP Surface Impoundments and

- 845.410Liner Design Criteria for New CCR Surface Impoundments and Any Lateral
Expansion of a CCR Surface Impoundment
- 845.420 Leachate Collection and Removal System
- 845.430 Slope Maintenance
- 845.440 Hazard Potential Classification Assessment
- 845.450 Structural Stability Assessment
- 845.460 Safety Factor Assessment

SUBPART E: OPERATING CRITERIA

Section

- Air Criteria
- 845.510 Hydrologic and Hydraulic Capacity Requirements for CCR Surface Impoundments
- 845.520 Emergency Action Plan
- 845.530 Safety and Health Plan
- 845.540 Inspection Requirements for CCR Surface Impoundments
- 845.550 Annual Consolidated Report

SUBPART F: GROUNDWATER MONITORING AND CORRECTIVE ACTION

Section

- 845.600 Groundwater Protection Standards
- 845.610 General Requirements
- 845.620 Hydrogeologic Site Characterization
- 845.630 Groundwater Monitoring Systems
- 845.640 Groundwater Sampling and Analysis Requirements
- 845.650 Groundwater Monitoring Program
- 845.660 Assessment of Corrective Measures
- 845.670 Corrective Action Plan
- 845.680 Implementation of the Corrective Action Plan

SUBPART G: CLOSURE AND POST-CLOSURE CARE

Section

- 845.700 Required Closure or Retrofit of CCR Surface Impoundments
- 845.710 Closure Alternatives
- 845.720 Closure Plan
- 845.730 Initiation of Closure
- 845.740 Closure by Removal
- 845.750 Closure with a Final Cover System
- 845.760 Completion of Closure Activities
- 845.770 Retrofitting

845.780 Post-Closure Care Requirements

SUBPART H: RECORDKEEPING

Section	
845.800	Facility Operating Record
845.810	Publicly Accessible Internet Site Requirements

SUBPART I: FINANCIAL ASSURANCE

Section

845.900	General Provisions
845.910	Upgrading Financial Assurance
845.920	Release of Financial Institution and Owner or Operator
845.930	Cost Estimates
845.940	Revision of Cost Estimates
845.950	Mechanisms for Financial Assurance
845.960	Trust Fund
845.970	Surety Bond Guaranteeing Payment
845.980	Surety Bond Guaranteeing Performance
845.990	Letter of Credit

AUTHORITY: Implementing Sections 12, 22, and 22.59 of the Environmental Protection Act [415 ILCS 5/12, 22, and 22.59] and authorized by Sections 22.59, 27, and 28 of the Environmental Protection Act [415 ILCS 5/22.59, 27, and 28].

SOURCE: Adopted in R_-_ at __ Ill. Reg.____, effective _____.

SUBPART A: GENERAL PROVISIONS

Section 845.100 Scope and Purpose

- a) This Part establishes criteria for the purpose of determining which CCR surface impoundments do not pose a reasonable probability of adverse effects on health or the environment. CCR surface impoundments failing to satisfy any of the requirements of this Part are considered open dumps, which are prohibited.
- b) This Part applies to owners and operators of new and existing CCR surface impoundments, including any lateral expansions of CCR surface impoundments that dispose or otherwise engage in solid waste management of CCR generated from the combustion of coal at electric utilities and independent power producers. Unless otherwise provided in this Part, these requirements also apply to CCR surface impoundments located off-site of the electric utility or independent power producer.

- c) This Part also applies to inactive CCR surface impoundments at active and inactive electric utilities or independent power producers, regardless of the fuel currently used at the facility to produce electricity.
- d) Except as provided in Section 845.170, inactive CCR surface impoundments are subject to all the requirements of this Part applicable to existing CCR surface impoundments.
- e) This Part does not apply to wastes, including fly ash, bottom ash, boiler slag, and flue gas desulfurization materials generated at facilities that are not part of an electric utility or independent power producer, such as manufacturing facilities, universities, and hospitals. This Part also does not apply to fly ash, bottom ash, boiler slag, and flue gas desulfurization materials, generated primarily from the combustion of fuels (including other fossil fuels) other than coal, for the purpose of generating electricity unless the fuel burned consists of more than fifty percent (50%) coal on a total heat input or mass input basis, whichever results in the greater mass feed rate of coal.
- f) This Part does not apply to the beneficial use of CCR.
- g) This Part does not apply to CCR placement at active or abandoned underground or surface coal mines.
- h) This Part does not apply to landfills that receive CCR.

Section 845.110 Applicability of Other Regulations

- a) Compliance with the requirements of this Part does not affect the need for the owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment or lateral expansion of a CCR surface impoundment, to comply with all other applicable federal, state, tribal, or local laws or other requirements.
- b) Any CCR surface impoundment or lateral expansion of a CCR surface impoundment continues to be subject to the following requirements:
 - 1) Floodplains:
 - A) Facilities or practices in floodplains shall not restrict the flow of the base flood, reduce the temporary water storage capacity of the floodplain, or result in washout of solid waste, so as to pose a hazard to human life, wildlife, or land or water resources.
 - B) As used in this subsection:
 - i) Base flood means a flood that has a 1 percent or greater chance of recurring in any year or a flood of a magnitude

equaled or exceeded once in 100 years on average over a significantly long period.

- ii) Floodplain means the lowland and relatively flat areas adjoining inland and coastal waters, including flood-prone areas of offshore islands, which are inundated by the base flood.
- iii) Washout means the carrying away of solid waste by waters of the base flood.
- 2) Illinois Endangered Species Protection Act, 520 ILCS 10, and 40 CFR 257.3-2.
- 3) Surface Water
 - A facility shall not cause a discharge of pollutants into waters of the United States that is in violation of the requirements of the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) under section 402 of the Clean Water Act, as amended, Section 12(f) of the Act, or 35 Ill. Adm. Code Subtitle C.
 - B) A facility shall not cause a discharge of dredged material or fill material to waters of the United States that is in violation of the requirements under section 404 of the Clean Water Act, as amended.
 - C) A facility or practice shall not cause non-point source pollution of waters of the United States that violates applicable legal requirements implementing an areawide or Statewide water quality management plan that has been approved by USEPA under section 208 of the Clean Water Act, as amended.
 - D) Definitions of the terms Discharge of dredged material, Point source, Pollutant, and Waters of the United States can be found in the Clean Water Act, as amended, 33 U.S.C. 1251 et seq., and implementing regulations, specifically 33 CFR part 323 (42 FR 37122, July 19, 1977).
- 4) Rivers, Lakes and Streams Act, 615 ILCS 5/23 and 23(a) and implementing regulations in 17 Ill. Adm. Code 3702.

Section 845.120 Definitions

Except as stated in this Section, or unless a different meaning of a word or term is clear from the context, the definition of words or terms in this Part will be the same as that applied to the same words or terms in the Environmental Protection Act (Act):

"Act" means the Illinois Environmental Protection Act [415 ILCS 5].

"Active facility" or "active electric utilities" or "independent power producers" means any facility subject to the requirements of this Part that is in operation on or after October 19, 2015. An electric utility or independent power producer is in operation if it is generating electricity that is provided to electric power transmission systems or to electric power distribution systems on or after October 19, 2015. An off-site CCR surface impoundment is in operation if it is accepting or managing CCR on or after October 19, 2015.

"Active life" or "in operation" means the period of operation beginning with the initial placement of CCR in the CCR surface impoundment and ending at completion of closure activities in accordance with Subpart G.

"Agency" means the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency.

"Aquifer" means a geologic formation, group of formations, or portion of a formation capable of yielding usable quantities of groundwater to wells or springs.

"Area-capacity curves" means graphic curves which readily show the reservoir water surface area, in acres, at different elevations from the bottom of the reservoir to the maximum water surface, and the capacity or volume, in acre-feet, of the water contained in the reservoir at various elevations.

"Areas susceptible to mass movement" means those areas of influence (i.e., areas characterized as having an active or substantial possibility of mass movement) where, because of natural or human-induced events, the movement of earthen material at, beneath, or adjacent to the CCR surface impoundment may result in the downslope transport of soil and rock material by means of gravitational influence. Areas of mass movement include, but are not limited to, landslides, avalanches, debris slides and flows, soil fluctuation, block sliding, and rock fall.

"Beneficial use of CCR" means CCR that meets the definition of coal combustion by product in the Act and the definition of "beneficial use of CCR" pursuant to 40 C.F.R. 257.53.

"Board" means Illinois Pollution Control Board.

"Certified Laboratory" means any laboratory certified under Section 4(o) of the Act, or certified by USEPA for the specific constituents to be examined.

"Closed" means placement of CCR in a CCR surface impoundment has ceased, and the owner or operator has completed closure of the CCR surface impoundment and has initiated post-closure care in accordance with Subpart G.

"Coal combustion residuals" or "CCR" means fly ash, bottom ash, boiler slag, and flue gas desulfurization materials generated from burning coal for the purpose of generating electricity by electric utilities and independent power producers. [415 ILCS 5/3.142]

"CCR fugitive dust" means solid airborne particulate matter that contains or is derived from CCR, emitted from any source other than a stack or chimney.

"CCR storage pile" means any temporary accumulation of solid, non-flowing CCR placed on the land that is designed and managed to control releases of CCR to the environment. CCR contained in an enclosed structure is not a CCR storage pile. Examples of control measures to control releases from CCR storage piles include: periodic wetting, application of surfactants, tarps or wind barriers to suppress dust; tarps or berms for preventing contact with precipitation and controlling run-on/runoff; and impervious storage pads or geomembrane liners for soil and groundwater protection.

"CCR surface impoundment" or "impoundment" means a natural topographic depression, man-made excavation, or diked area, which is designed to hold an accumulation of CCR and liquids, and the surface impoundment treats, stores, or disposes of CCR. [415 ILCS 5/3.143]

"Dike" means an embankment, berm, or ridge of either natural or man-made materials used to prevent the movement of liquids, sludges, solids, or other materials.

"Displacement" means the relative movement of any two sides of a fault measured in any direction.

"Disposal" means the discharge, deposit, injection, dumping, spilling, leaking, or placing of any solid waste as defined in section 1004(27) of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act into or on any land or water or into any well so that such solid waste, or constituent thereof, may enter the environment or be emitted into the air or discharged into any waters, including groundwaters. For purposes of this Part, disposal does not include the beneficial use of CCR.

"Downstream toe" means the junction of the downstream slope or face of the CCR surface impoundment with the ground surface.

"Enclosed structure" means:

(1) A completely enclosed, self-supporting structure that is designed and constructed of manmade materials of sufficient strength and thickness to support itself, the CCR, and any personnel and heavy equipment that operate within the structure, and to prevent failure due to settlement, compression, or uplift; climatic conditions; and the stresses of daily operation, including the movement of heavy equipment within the structure and contact of such equipment with containment walls;

(2) Has containment walls that are designed to be sufficiently durable to withstand any movement of personnel, CCR, and handling equipment within the structure;

(3) Is designed and operated to ensure containment and prevent fugitive dust emissions from openings, such as doors, windows and vents, and the tracking of CCR from the structure by personnel or equipment.

"Exceedance of the groundwater protection standard" means:

For existing CCR surface impoundments and inactive CCR surface impoundments, an analytical result with a concentration greater than the numerical value of the constituents listed in 845.600(a), in a down gradient well, or when the up gradient background concentration of a constituent exceeds the numerical value listed in 845.600(a), an analytical result with a concentration at a statistically significant level above the up gradient background concentration, in a down gradient well.

For new CCR surface impoundments and lateral expansions of existing CCR surface impoundments, an analytical result with a constituent concentration at a statistically significant level above the up gradient background concentration, in a down gradient well.

"Existing CCR surface impoundment" means a CCR surface impoundment in which CCR is placed both before and after October 19, 2015, or for which construction commenced prior to October 19, 2015 and in which CCR is placed on or after October 19, 2015. A CCR surface impoundment has commenced construction if the owner or operator has obtained the federal, state, and local approvals or permits necessary to begin physical construction and a continuous on-site, physical construction program had begun prior to October 19, 2015.

"Facility" means all contiguous land, and structures, other appurtenances, and improvements on the land, used for treating, storing, disposing, or otherwise conducting solid waste management of CCR. A facility may consist of several treatment, storage, or disposal operational units (e.g., one or more landfills, surface impoundments, or combinations of them).

"Factor of safety" or "Safety factor" means the ratio of the forces tending to resist the failure of a structure to the forces tending to cause such failure as determined by accepted engineering practice.

"Fault" means a fracture or a zone of fractures in any material along which strata on one side have been displaced with respect to that on the other side.

"Flood hydrograph" means a graph showing, for a given point on a stream, the discharge, height, or other characteristic of a flood as a function of time.

"Free liquids" means liquids that readily separate from the solid portion of a waste under ambient temperature and pressure.

"Groundwater" means water below the land surface in a zone of saturation.

"Hazard potential classification" means the possible adverse incremental consequences that result from the release of water or stored contents due to failure of the diked CCR surface impoundment or mis-operation of the diked CCR surface impoundment or its appurtenances. The hazardous potential classifications include Class 1 and Class 2, which mean:

Class 1 CCR surface impoundment means a diked surface impoundment where failure or mis-operation will probably cause loss of human life.

Class 2 CCR surface impoundment means a diked surface impoundment where failure or mis-operation results in no probable loss of human life, but can cause economic loss, environmental damage, disruption of lifeline facilities, or impact other concerns.

"Height" means the vertical measurement from the downstream toe of the CCR surface impoundment at its lowest point to the lowest elevation of the crest of the CCR surface impoundment, not including spillways.

"Holocene" means the most recent epoch of the Quaternary period, extending from the end of the Pleistocene Epoch, at 11,700 years before present, to present.

"Hydraulic conductivity" means the rate at which water can move through a permeable medium (i.e., the coefficient of permeability).

"Inactive CCR surface impoundment" means a CCR surface impoundment in which CCR was placed before but not after October 19, 2015 and still contains CCR on or after October 19, 2015. Inactive CCR surface impoundments may be located at an active facility or inactive facility.

"Inactive Closed CCR surface impoundment" means an inactive CCR surface impoundment that completed closure before October 19, 2015 with an Agency-approved closure plan.

"Inactive facility" or "inactive electric utilities or independent power producers" means any facility that is not in operation on or after October 19, 2015.

"Incised CCR surface impoundment" means a CCR surface impoundment which is constructed by excavating entirely below the natural ground surface, holds an accumulation of CCR entirely below the adjacent natural ground surface, and does not consist of any constructed diked portion.
"Inflow design flood" means the flood hydrograph that is used in the design or modification of the CCR surface impoundments and its appurtenant works.

"In operation" means the same as "active life."

"Karst terrain" means an area where karst topography, with its characteristic erosional surface and subterranean features, is developed as the result of dissolution of limestone, dolomite, or other soluble rock. Characteristic physiographic features present in karst terranes include, but are not limited to, dolines, collapse shafts (sinkholes), sinking streams, caves, seeps, large springs, and blind valleys.

"Lateral expansion" means a horizontal or vertical expansion of the waste boundaries of an existing CCR surface impoundment made after October 19, 2015.

"Liquefaction factor of safety" means the factor of safety (safety factor) determined using analysis under liquefaction conditions.

"Lithified earth material" means all rock, including all naturally occurring and naturally formed aggregates or masses of minerals or small particles of older rock that formed by crystallization of magma or by induration of loose sediments. This term does not include man-made materials, such as fill, concrete, and asphalt, or unconsolidated earth materials, soil, or regolith lying at or near the earth surface.

"Maximum horizontal acceleration in lithified earth material" means the maximum expected horizontal acceleration at the ground surface as depicted on a seismic hazard map, with a 98% or greater probability that the acceleration will not be exceeded in 50 years, or the maximum expected horizontal acceleration based on a site-specific seismic risk assessment.

"New CCR surface impoundment" means a CCR surface impoundment or lateral expansion of an existing or new CCR surface impoundment that first receives CCR or commences construction after October 19, 2015. A new CCR surface impoundment has commenced construction if the owner or operator has obtained the federal, state, and local approvals or permits necessary to begin physical construction and a continuous on-site, physical construction program had begun after October 19, 2015.

"Operator" means the person(s) responsible for the overall operation of a CCR surface impoundment.

"Outermost damage zone of a fault" means the volume of deformed wall rocks around a fault surface that results from the initiation, propagation, interaction and build-up of slip along faults.

"Owner" means the person(s) who owns a CCR surface impoundment or part of a CCR surface impoundment.

"Poor foundation conditions" means those areas where features exist which indicate that a natural or human-induced event may result in inadequate foundation support for the structural components of an existing or new CCR surface impoundment. For example, failure to maintain static and seismic factors of safety as required in Section 845.460 would cause a poor foundation condition.

"Probable maximum flood" means the flood that may be expected from the most severe combination of critical meteorologic and hydrologic conditions that are reasonably possible in the drainage basin.

"Qualified person" means a person or persons trained to recognize specific appearances of structural weakness and other conditions which are disrupting or have the potential to disrupt the operation or safety of the CCR surface impoundment by visual observation and, if applicable, to monitor instrumentation.

"Qualified professional engineer" means an individual who is licensed under the Professional Engineer Act of 1989, 225 ILCS 32, to practice one or more disciplines of engineering and who is qualified by education, technical knowledge and experience to complete the engineering analyses and make the specific technical certifications required under this Part.

"Recognized and generally accepted engineering practices" means engineering maintenance or operation activities based on established codes, widely accepted standards, published technical reports, or a practice widely recommended throughout the industry. Such practices generally detail approved ways to perform specific engineering, inspection, or mechanical integrity activities.

"Retrofit" means to remove all CCR and contaminated soils and sediments from the CCR surface impoundment, and to ensure the surface impoundment complies with the requirements in Section 845.410.

"Run-off" means any rainwater, leachate, or other liquid that drains over land from any part of a CCR surface impoundment or lateral expansion of a CCR surface impoundment.

"Run-on" means any rainwater, leachate, or other liquid that drains over land onto any part of a CCR surface impoundment or lateral expansion of a CCR surface impoundment.

"Sand and gravel pit" or "quarry" means an excavation for the extraction of aggregate, minerals or metals. The term sand and gravel pit and/or quarry does not include subsurface or surface coal mines.

"Seismic factor of safety" means the factor of safety (safety factor) determined using analysis under earthquake conditions using the peak ground acceleration for a seismic event with a 2% probability of exceedance in 50 years, equivalent to a return period of approximately 2,500 years, based on the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) seismic hazard

maps for seismic events with this return period for the region where the CCR surface impoundment is located.

"Seismic impact zone" means an area having a 2% or greater probability that the maximum expected horizontal acceleration, expressed as a percentage of the earth's gravitational pull (g), will exceed 0.10 g in 50 years.

"Slope protection" means engineered or non-engineered measures installed on the upstream or downstream slope of the CCR surface impoundment to protect the slope against wave action or erosion, including but not limited to rock riprap, wooden pile, concrete revetments, vegetated wave berms, concrete facing, gabions, geotextiles, or fascines.

"Solid waste management" or "management" means the systematic administration of the activities which provide for the collection, source separation, storage, transportation, processing, treatment, or disposal of solid waste.

"Static factor of safety" means the factor of safety (safety factor) determined using analysis under the long-term, maximum storage pool loading condition, the maximum surcharge pool loading condition, and under the end-of-construction loading condition.

"Structural components" means liners, leachate collection and removal systems, final covers, run-on and run-off systems, inflow design flood control systems, and any other component used in the construction and operation of the CCR surface impoundment that is necessary to ensure the integrity of the surface impoundment and that the contents of the surface impoundment are not released into the environment.

"Temporary accumulation" means an accumulation on the land that is neither permanent nor indefinite. To demonstrate that the accumulation on the land is temporary, all CCR must be removed from the pile at the site. The entity engaged in the activity must have a record in place, such as a contract, purchase order, facility operation and maintenance, or fugitive dust control plan, documenting that all of the CCR in the pile will be completely removed according to a specific timeline.

"Unstable area" means a location that is susceptible to natural or human-induced events or forces capable of impairing the integrity, including structural components of some or all of the CCR surface impoundment that are responsible for preventing releases from such surface impoundment. Unstable areas can include poor foundation conditions, areas susceptible to mass movements, and karst terrains.

"Uppermost aquifer" means the geologic formation nearest the natural ground surface that is an aquifer, as well as lower aquifers that are hydraulically interconnected with this aquifer within the facility's property boundary. Upper limit is measured at a point nearest to the natural ground surface to which the aquifer rises during the wet season.

"Waste boundary" means a vertical surface located at the hydraulically downgradient limit of the CCR surface impoundment. The vertical surface extends down into the uppermost aquifer.

"Wetlands" means those areas that are inundated or saturated by surface or groundwater at a frequency and duration sufficient to support, and that under normal circumstances do support, a prevalence of vegetation typically adapted for life in saturated soil conditions. Wetlands generally include swamps, marshes, bogs, and similar areas.

Section 845.130 Surface Impoundment Identification

The owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment must place on or immediately adjacent to the CCR surface impoundment a permanent identification marker at least six feet high showing the identification number of the CCR surface impoundment assigned by the Agency, the name associated with the CCR surface impoundment and the name of the owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment. The owner or operator must maintain the marker at all times an operating permit is required under this Part.

Section 845.140 Right of Inspection

The owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment must allow the Agency and its duly authorized representatives to perform inspections in accordance with its authority under the Act, including but not limited to:

- a) entering at reasonable times the facility where CCR surface impoundments are located or where any activity is to be conducted pursuant to a permit issued under this Part;
- b) having access to and copying at reasonable times any records required to be kept under the terms and conditions of a permit or this Part;
- c) inspecting at reasonable times, including during any hours of operation:
 - 1) equipment constructed or operated under a permit issued under this Part;
 - 2) equipment or monitoring methodology; or
 - 3) equipment required to be kept, used, operated, calibrated and maintained under a permit issued under this Part;
- d) obtaining and removing at reasonable times samples of any raw or finished water, discharge or emission of pollutants;
- e) entering at reasonable times to use any photographic, recording, testing, monitoring or other equipment for the purpose of preserving, testing, monitoring or recording any raw or finished water, activity, discharge or emission authorized by a permit.

Section 845.150 Incorporations by Reference

a) The Board incorporates the following material by reference:

Association For the Advancement of Cost Engineering (AACE)

"Cost Estimate Classification System—As Applied in Engineering, Procurement, and Construction for the Process Industries" TCM Framework: 7.3 – Cost Estimating and Budgeting. March 6, 2009, AACE International Recommended Practice No. 18R-97.

NTIS. National Technical Information Service, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161 (703) 605-6000.

"Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods," USEPA Publication No. SW-846, as amended by Updates I, II, IIA, IIB, III, IIIA, and IIIB (Doc. No. 955-001-00000-1) (available online at https://www.epa.gov/hw-sw846/sw-846-compendium).

b) This Section incorporates no later editions or amendments.

Section 845.160 Severability

If any provision of this Part or its application to any person or under any circumstances is adjudged invalid, such adjudication shall not affect the validity of this Part as a whole or of any portion not adjudged invalid.

Section 845.170 Inactive Closed CCR Surface Impoundments

- a) Only the following provisions of this Part apply to inactive closed CCR surface impoundments:
 - 1) all of Subpart A: General Provisions
 - 2) the following Sections of Subpart B: Permitting
 - A) Section 845.200;
 - B) Section 845.210;
 - C) Section 845.220(a), (c), (f)(1);
 - D) Section 845.230(c) and (d)(4);
 - E) Section 845.250;

- F) Section 845.270;
- G) Section 845.280;
- H) Section 845.290;
- 3) the following Section of Subpart G: Section 845.780(b), (d) and (e); and
- 4) all of Subpart I: Financial Assurance.
- b) When a prior release from an inactive closed CCR surface impoundment has caused an exceedance of the groundwater quality standards in 35 Ill. Adm. Code Part 620, and the owner or operator has not completed remediation of the release before completing closure, the owner or operator must initiate or continue corrective action under an operating permit issued pursuant to this Part.
- c) When a release from an inactive closed CCR surface impoundment causes an exceedance of the groundwater quality standards in 35 Ill. Adm. Code Part 620, and the Agency has not concurred with an alternative source demonstration, the owner or operator of an inactive closed CCR surface impoundment must initiate an assessment of corrective measures that prevents further releases, remediates any releases, and restores the affected area. The owner or operator of the inactive closed CCR surface impoundment shall develop a corrective action plan and obtain a construction permit consistent with subsection (a)(2) of this Section before performing any corrective action to remediate any releases and to restore the affected area, including, but not limited to the final cover system, groundwater monitoring system, groundwater collection trench, extraction wells, slurry walls, or any construction related to corrective action.

SUBPART B: PERMITTING

Section 845.200 Permit Requirements and Standards of Issuance

- a) Permit Requirements
 - 1) No person shall construct, install, or modify a CCR surface impoundment or related treatment or mitigation facilities, pursuant to corrective action measures under Subpart F, without a construction permit issued by the Agency pursuant to this Part.
 - 2) Except as provided in Section 845.230(d), no person shall operate a CCR surface impoundment without an operating permit issued by the Agency pursuant to this Part. For the purposes of this Part, a CCR surface impoundment commences operation upon initial receipt of CCR.

- 3) No person shall perform corrective action at a CCR surface impoundment without obtaining a construction permit for corrective action and modifying the facility's operating permit, or modifying the facility's operating permit when the approved corrective action does not require the modification of the CCR surface impoundment or the installation or modification of related treatment or mitigation facilities.
- 4) Except as provided in Section 22.59(e) of the Act, no person shall close a CCR surface impoundment without obtaining a construction permit for closure issued by the Agency pursuant to this Part.
- 5) A CCR surface impoundment must maintain an operating permit until:
 - A) the completion of post-closure care when the CCR surface impoundment is closed with a final cover system; or
 - B) the completion of groundwater monitoring pursuant to Section 845.740(b) when the CCR surface impoundment is closed by removal.
- 6) The Agency may issue a joint construction and operating permit.
- b) Standards for Issuance
 - 1) Except as provided in subsection (b)(2), the Agency shall not issue any construction or operating permit required by this Part unless the applicant submits adequate proof that the CCR surface impoundment will be constructed, modified or operated so as not to cause a violation of the Act or Board rules.
 - 2) The existence of a violation of the Act, Board regulation, or Agency regulation will not prevent the issuance of a construction or operating permit under this Part if:
 - A) the applicant has been granted a variance or an adjusted standard from the regulation by the Board;
 - B) the permit application is for construction, installation, or operation of equipment to alleviate or correct a violation; or
 - C) the permit application is for construction, installation, or operation of equipment necessary to restore, protect or enhance the environment.

- 3) In granting permits, the Agency shall impose conditions as may be necessary to accomplish the purpose of the Act and as are not inconsistent with this Part. [415 ILCS 5/39(a)]
- 4) In making its determinations on permit applications under this Part, the Agency may consider prior adjudications of noncompliance with this Act by the applicant that involved a release of a contaminant into the environment. [415 ILCS 5/39(a)]

Section 845.210 General Provisions

- a) All permit applications shall be made on such forms as are prescribed by the Agency and shall be mailed or delivered to the address designated by the Agency on the forms. The Agency shall provide a dated, signed receipt upon request. The Agency's record of the date of filing shall be deemed conclusive unless a contrary date is proved by a dated, signed receipt.
- b) Required Signatures of Owners or Operators
 - 1) All permit applications shall contain the name, address, email address and telephone number of the operator, or duly authorized agent, and the property owner to whom all inquiries and correspondence shall be addressed.
 - 2) All permit applications shall be signed by the owner, operator or a duly authorized agent of the operator.
 - 3) An application submitted by a corporation shall be signed by a principal executive officer of at least the level of vice president, or his or her duly authorized representative, if such representative is responsible for the overall operation of the facility described in the application form. In the case of a partnership or a sole proprietorship, the application shall be signed by a general partner or the proprietor, respectively. In the case of a publicly owned facility, the application shall be signed by either the principal executive officer, ranking elected official, or other duly authorized employee.
- c) Legal Description. All permit applications shall contain a legal description of the facility boundary and a description of the boundaries of all units included in the facility.
- d) Previous Assessments, Investigations, Plans and Programs
 - 1) The Agency may approve the use of any hydrogeologic site investigation or characterization, groundwater monitoring well or system, or groundwater monitoring plan completed prior to the effective date of these rules to satisfy the requirements of this Part.

- 2) For existing CCR surface impoundments, the owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment may use a previously completed location restriction demonstration required by Section 845.300 (Placement Above The Uppermost Aquifer), Section 845.310 (Wetlands), Section 845.320 (Fault Areas), Section 845.330 (Seismic Impact Zones), and Section 845.340 (Unstable Areas) provided that the previously completed assessments meet the applicable requirements of those Sections.
- 3) For existing CCR surface impoundments, the owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment may use a previously completed assessment to serve as the initial assessment required by Section 845.440 (Hazard Potential Classification Assessment), Section 845.450 (Structural Stability Assessment) and Section 845.460 (Safety Factor Assessment) provided that the previously completed assessment:
 - A) was not completed more than five years ago; and
 - B) meets the applicable requirements of those Sections.
- 4) For inactive closed CCR surface impoundments, the owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment may use a post-closure care plan previously approved by the Agency.
- e) The Agency shall mail all notices of final action by certified mail, post marked with a date stamp and with return receipt requested. Final action shall be deemed to have taken place on the post marked date that such notice is mailed.
- f) Violation of any permit condition or failure to comply with the Act or regulations promulgated under the Act shall be grounds for enforcement action as provided in the Act, including revocation of a permit.
- g) Issuance of a permit under this Part does not relieve the applicant of the obligation to obtain other permits required by law.
- h) The owner or operator shall place in the facility's operating record all permit applications submitted to the Agency and all permits issued under this Part, as required by Section 845.800(d)(1).

Section 845.220 Construction Permits

- a) All construction permit applications must contain the following information and documents.
 - 1) Design and Construction Plans

- A) Identifying information
 - i) The name and address of the person(s) owning or operating the CCR surface impoundment;
 - ii) The name associated with the CCR surface impoundment; and
 - iii) The identification number of the CCR surface impoundment if one has been assigned by the Agency.
- B) A statement of the purpose for which the CCR surface impoundment is being used, how long the CCR surface impoundment has been in operation, and the types of CCR that have been placed in the CCR surface impoundment.
- C) The name and size in acres of the watershed within which the CCR surface impoundment is located.
- D) A description of the physical and engineering properties of the foundation and abutment materials on which the CCR surface impoundment is constructed.
- E) A statement of the type, size, range, and physical and engineering properties of the materials used in constructing each zone or stage of the CCR surface impoundment; the method of site preparation and construction of each zone of the CCR surface impoundment; and the approximate dates of construction of each successive stage of construction of the CCR surface impoundment.
- F) At a scale that details engineering structures and appurtenances relevant to the design, construction, operation, and maintenance of the CCR surface impoundment, detailed dimensional drawings of the CCR surface impoundment, including a plan view and cross sections of the length and width of the CCR surface impoundment, showing all zones, foundation improvements, drainage provisions, spillways, diversion ditches, outlets, instrument locations, and slope protection, in addition to the normal operating pool surface elevation and the maximum pool surface elevation following peak discharge from the inflow design flood, the expected maximum depth of CCR within the CCR surface impoundment, and any identifiable natural or manmade features that could adversely affect operation.
- G) A description of the type, purpose, and location of existing instrumentation.

- H) Area-capacity curves for the CCR surface impoundment.
- I) A description of each spillway and diversion design features and capacities and calculations used in their determination.
- J) The construction specifications and provisions for surveillance, maintenance, and repair of the CCR surface impoundment.
- K) Any record or knowledge of structural instability of the CCR surface impoundment.
- 2) Narrative Description of the Facility. The permit application shall contain a written description of the facility with supporting documentation describing the procedures and plans that will be used at the facility to comply with the requirements of this Part. Such descriptions shall include, but not be limited to, the following information:
 - A) The types of CCR expected in the CCR surface impoundment, including a chemical analysis of each type of expected CCR;
 - B) An estimate of the maximum capacity of each surface impoundment in gallons or cubic yards;
 - C) The rate at which CCR and non-CCR waste streams currently enter the CCR surface impoundment in gallons per day and dry tons;
 - D) The estimated length of time the CCR surface impoundment will receive CCR and non-CCR waste streams; and
 - E) An on-site transportation plan that includes all existing and planned roads in the facility that will be used during the operation of the CCR surface impoundment.
- 3) Site Location Map. All permit applications shall contain a site location map on the most recent United States Geological Survey (USGS) quadrangle of the area from the 7 ¹/₂ minute series (topographic), or on such other map whose scale clearly shows the following information:
 - A) the facility boundaries and all adjacent property, extending at least 1000 meters (3280 feet) beyond the boundary of the facility;
 - B) all surface waters;
 - C) the prevailing wind direction;

- D) the limits of all 100-year floodplains;
- E) all natural areas designated as a Dedicated Illinois Nature Preserve pursuant to the Illinois Natural Areas Preservation Act (525 ILCS 30/1 et seq.);
- F) all historic and archaeological sites designated by the National Historic Preservation Act (16 U.S.C. 470 et seq.) and the Illinois Historic Sites Advisory Council Act (20 ILCS 3410/1 et seq.); and
- G) all areas identified as critical habitat pursuant to the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.) and the Illinois Endangered Species Protection Act (520 ILCS 10/1 et seq.).
- 4) Site Plan Map. The application shall contain maps, including cross sectional maps of the site boundaries, showing the location of the facility. The following information shall be shown:
 - A) the entire facility, including any proposed and all existing CCR surface impoundment locations;
 - B) the boundaries, both above and below ground level, of the facility and all CCR surface impoundments or landfills containing CCR included in the facility;
 - C) all existing and proposed groundwater monitoring wells; and
 - D) all main service corridors, transportation routes, and access roads to the facility.
- 5) A narrative description of the proposed construction of or modification to a CCR surface impoundment and any projected changes in the volume or nature of the CCR or non-CCR waste streams.
- 6) Plans and specifications fully describing the design, nature, function and interrelationship of each individual component of the facility.
- 7) A new groundwater monitoring program or any modification to an existing groundwater monitoring program that includes but is not limited to the following information:
 - A) a hydrogeologic site investigation meeting the requirements of Section 845.620, if applicable;
 - B) design and construction plans of a groundwater monitoring system meeting the requirements of Section 845.630; and

- C) a proposed groundwater sampling and analysis program that includes selection of the statistical procedures to be used for evaluating groundwater monitoring data as required by Sections 845.640 and 845.650.
- 8) The signature and seal of a qualified professional engineer.
- 9) Certification that the owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment completed the public notification and public meetings required pursuant to Section 845.240, a summary of the issues raised by the public, and a list of interested persons in attendance who would like to be added to the Agency's listserv for the facility.
- b) New Construction. In addition to the requirements in subsection (a), all construction permit applications to build a new CCR surface impoundment, lateral expansion of a CCR surface impoundment, or retrofit an existing CCR surface impoundment must also contain the following information and documents:
 - 1) Plans and specifications that demonstrate the proposed CCR surface impoundment will not be:
 - A) placed less than five feet above the uppermost aquifer pursuant to Section 845.300;
 - B) located in wetlands pursuant to Section 845.310;
 - C) located in fault areas pursuant to Section 845.320;
 - D) located in a seismic impact zone pursuant to Section 845.330; and
 - E) located in an unstable area pursuant to Section 845.340.
 - 2) Plans and specifications that demonstrate the proposed CCR surface impoundment will meet the following design criteria:
 - A) the CCR surface impoundment will have a liner meeting the liner requirements in Section 845.400(b) or (c);
 - B) the CCR surface impoundment will have a leachate collection system meeting the requirements of Section 845.420; and
 - C) the CCR surface impoundment, if not incised, will be constructed with slope protection, as required by Section 845.430.
 - 3) CCR fugitive dust control plan, as specified in Section 845.500(b).

- 4) Preliminary written closure plan, as specified in Section 845.720(a).
- 5) Initial written post-closure care plan, as specified in Section 845.780(d), if applicable.
- c) Corrective Action Construction. In addition to the requirements in subsection (a), all construction permit applications which include any corrective action performed pursuant to Subpart F must also contain the following information and documents:
 - 1) Corrective Action plan, as specified in Section 845.670
 - 2) Groundwater modeling, including:
 - A) the results of groundwater contaminant transport modeling and calculations showing how the corrective action will achieve compliance with the applicable groundwater standards;
 - B) all modeling inputs and assumptions;
 - C) description of the fate and transport of contaminants with the selected corrective action over time;
 - D) capture zone modeling, if applicable; and
 - E) provide the Agency any necessary licenses and software needed to review and access both the model and the data contained within the model.
 - 3) Corrective action groundwater monitoring program, including identification of revisions to the groundwater monitoring system for corrective action; and
 - 4) Any interim measures necessary to reduce the contaminants leaching from the CCR surface impoundment, and/or potential exposures to human or ecological receptors, including an analysis of the factors specified in Section 845.680(a)(3).
- d) Closure Construction. In addition to the requirements in subsection (a), all construction permit applications for closure of the CCR surface impoundment pursuant to Subpart G must contain the following information and documents:
 - 1) Closure prioritization category pursuant to Section 845.700(g), if applicable;

- 2) Final closure plan, as specified in Section 845.720(b), which includes the closure alternatives analysis required by Section 845.710.
- 3) Groundwater modeling, including
 - A) the results of groundwater contaminant transport modeling and calculations showing how the closure will achieve compliance with the applicable groundwater standards;
 - B) all modeling inputs and assumptions;
 - C) description of the fate and transport of contaminants with the selected closure over time;
 - D) capture zone modeling, if applicable; and
 - E) provide the Agency any necessary licenses and software needed to review and access both the model and the data contained within the model.
- 4) Proposed schedule to complete closure; and
- 5) Post-closure care plan as specified in Section 845.780(d), if applicable.
- e) A single construction permit application may be submitted for new construction, corrective action, and closure if the construction is related to the same multi-phased project. The permit application for a project with multiple phases must contain all information required by subsections (a), (b), (c) and (d), as applicable.
- f) Duration of Construction Permits
 - 1) For any construction permit which is not for the closure or retrofit of the CCR surface impoundment, the construction permit shall be issued for fixed terms not to exceed 3 years.
 - 2) For any construction permit for the closure or retrofit of a CCR surface impoundment, the construction permit shall be issued for an initial fixed term expiring within the timeframe approved by the Agency in the construction permit or five years, whichever is less. The Agency may renew a construction permit for closure or retrofit in two year increments pursuant to Section 845.760(b).

Section 845.230 Operating Permits

The operating permit applications as specified in this Section must contain the following information and documents:

- a) Initial operating permit for a new CCR surface impoundments and any lateral expansion of a CCR surface impoundment.
 - 1) A demonstration that the CCR surface impoundment as built meets the location standards in the following sections:
 - A) Section 845.300 (Placement Above the Uppermost Aquifer);
 - B) Section 845.310 (Wetlands);
 - C) Section 845.320 (Fault Areas);
 - D) Section 845.330 (Seismic Impact Zones); and
 - E) Section 845.340 (Unstable Areas);
 - 2) Certification from a qualified professional engineer that the composite liner or if applicable, the alternative composite liner has been constructed in accordance with the requirements of this Section 845.400(b) or (c);
 - 3) Certification from a qualified professional engineer that the leachate collection system has been constructed in accordance with the requirements of Section 845.420, if applicable;
 - 4) Evidence that the permanent markers required by Section 845.130 have been installed;
 - 5) Documentation that the CCR surface impoundment, if not incised, will be operated and maintained with one of the forms of slope protection specified in Section 845.430;
 - 6) Initial hazard potential classification assessment certification, required by Section 845.440(a)(2);
 - 7) Initial Emergency Action Plan certification, required by Section 845.520(e);
 - 8) Initial structural stability assessment certification, required by Section 845.450(c);
 - 9) Initial safety factor assessment certification, required by Section 845.460(b);
 - 10) Fugitive dust control plan certification, as required by Section 845.500(b)(7);

- 11) Initial inflow design flood control system plan certification, as required by Section 845.510(c)(3);
- 12) Proposed groundwater monitoring program that includes a minimum of eight independent samples for each background and downgradient well as required by Section 840.650(b);
- 13) Preliminary written closure plan, as specified in Section 845.720(a);
- 14) Initial written post-closure care plan, as specified in Section 845.780(d), if applicable;
- 15) An analysis of the chemical constituents found within the CCR to be placed in the CCR surface impoundment; and
- 16) An analysis of the chemical constituents of all waste streams, chemical additives and sorbent materials entering or contained in the CCR surface impoundment.
- b) Renewal Operating Permit
 - 1) Documentation that the CCR surface impoundment, if not incised, is being operated and maintained with one of the forms of slope protection specified in Section 845.430;
 - 2) Emergency Action Plan certification if the plan was amended, as required by Section 845.520;
 - 3) Fugitive dust control plan certification if the plan was amended, as required by Section 845.500(b)(7);
 - 4) Any significant changes to the design and construction plans compiled under subsection (d)(2)(A) of this Section or Section 845.220(a)(1);
 - 5) A statement that the groundwater monitoring has been conducted pursuant to an Agency approved groundwater monitoring program;
 - 6) Written preliminary closure plan, if amended, as specified in Section 845.720(a); and
 - 7) Written post-closure care plan, if amended, as specified in Section 845.780(d).
- c) Post-Closure Care Operating Permit

The owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment conducting post-closure care pursuant to Section 845.780 must maintain an operating permit until the completion of post-closure care. Any changes to the post-closure care plan, groundwater monitoring system, groundwater sampling and analysis program, and groundwater monitoring program must be submitted to the Agency in an operating permit application.

- d) Initial Operating Permit for Existing, Inactive and Inactive Closed CCR Surface Impoundments
 - 1) The owner or operator of an existing, inactive or inactive closed CCR surface impoundment who has not completed post-closure care must submit an initial operating permit application to the Agency by September 30, 2021;
 - 2) The initial operating permit application for existing CCR surface impoundments that have not completed an Agency approved closure prior to July 30, 2021, must contain the following information and documents on forms prescribed by the Agency:
 - A) The history of construction specified in Section 845.220(a)(1);
 - B) An analysis of the chemical constituents found within the CCR to be placed in the CCR surface impoundment;
 - C) An analysis of the chemical constituents of all waste streams, chemical additives and sorbent materials entering or contained in the CCR surface impoundment;
 - D) A demonstration that the CCR surface impoundment as built meets or an explanation of how the CCR surface impoundments fails to meet the location standards in the following sections:
 - i) Section 845.300 (Placement Above the Uppermost Aquifer);
 - ii) Section 845.310 (Wetlands);
 - iii) Section 845.320 (Fault Areas);
 - iv) Section 845.330 (Seismic Impact Zones); and
 - v) Section 845.340 (Unstable Areas);
 - D) Evidence that the permanent markers required by Section 845.130 have been installed;

- E) Documentation that the CCR surface impoundment, if not incised, will be operated and maintained with one of the forms of slope protection specified in Section 845.430;
- F) Initial Emergency Action Plan certification, required by Section 845.520(e);
- G) Fugitive dust control plan certification, as required by Section 845.500(b)(7);
- H) Groundwater monitoring information:
 - i) a hydrogeologic site characterization meeting the requirements of Section 845.620;
 - ii) design and construction plans of a groundwater monitoring system meeting the requirements of Section 845.630;
 - a groundwater sampling and analysis program that includes selection of the statistical procedures to be used for evaluating groundwater monitoring data as required by Section 845.640; and
 - iv) proposed groundwater monitoring program that includes a minimum of eight independent samples for each background and downgradient well as required by Section 845.650(b);
- I) Preliminary written closure plan, as specified in Section 845.720(a);
- J) Initial written post-closure care plan, as specified in Section 845.780(d), if applicable;
- K) A certification as specified in Section 845.400(h), or a statement that the CCR surface impoundment does not have a liner that meets the requirements of Section 845.400(b) or (c); and
- L) History of known exceedances of the groundwater protection standards in Section 845.600, and any corrective action taken to remediate the groundwater.
- 3) The initial operating permit application for an existing CCR surface impoundment where an Agency approved closure has been completed prior to July 30, 2021, and where the impoundment is not an inactive closed CCR surface impoundment, must contain the following information and documents on forms prescribed by the Agency:

- A) The history of construction specified in Section 845.220(a)(1);
- B) Evidence that the permanent markers required by Section 845.130 have been installed;
- C) Documentation that the CCR surface impoundment, if not incised, will be operated and maintained with one of the forms of slope protection specified in Section 845.430;
- D) Emergency Action Plan certification, required by Section 845.520(e);
- E) Groundwater monitoring information:
 - i) a hydrogeologic site characterization meeting the requirements of Section 845.620;
 - ii) design and construction plans of a groundwater monitoring system meeting the requirements of Section 845.630;
 - iii) a groundwater sampling and analysis program that includes selection of the statistical procedures to be used for evaluating groundwater monitoring data as required by Section 845.640; and
 - iv) proposed groundwater monitoring program that includes a minimum of eight independent samples for each background and downgradient well as required by Section 845.650(b);
- F) Written post-closure care plan, as specified in Section 845.780(d), if applicable;
- G) History of known exceedances of the groundwater protection standards in Section 845.600, and any corrective action plan taken to remediate the groundwater.
- 4) The initial operating permit application for inactive closed CCR surface impoundments must contain the following information:
 - A) Evidence that the permanent markers required by Section 845.130 have been installed;
 - B) Groundwater monitoring program;
 - C) Written post-closure care plan, as specified in Section 845.780(d); and

- D) History of known exceedances of the groundwater quality standards in 35 Ill. Adm. Code 620, whether the owner or operator has obtained a groundwater management zone, and any corrective action taken to remediate the groundwater.
- e) Operating permits shall be issued for fixed terms not to exceed five years.

Section 845.240 Pre-Application Public Notification and Public Meeting

- a) At least 30 days before the submission of a construction permit application, the owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment must hold at least two public meetings to discuss the proposed construction, where at least one meeting is held after 5:00 p.m. in the evening. Any public meeting held under this Section must be located at a venue that is accessible to persons with disabilities, and the owner or operator must provide reasonable accommodations upon request.
- b) The owner or operator must prepare and circulate a notice explaining the proposed construction project and any related activities and the time and place of the public meeting. The owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment must:
 - 1) mail or hand-deliver the notice to the Agency and all residents within a onemile radius from the facility boundary;
 - 2) post the notice on all of the owner or operator's social media outlets; and
 - 3) post the notice in conspicuous locations throughout villages, towns, or cities within 10 miles of the facility, or use appropriate broadcast media (such as radio or television).
- c) When a proposed construction project or any related activity is located in an area with a significant proportion of non-English speaking residents, the notification must be circulated, or broadcast, in both English and the appropriate non-English language.
- d) The owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment must prepare documentation recording the public meeting and place the documentation in the facility's operating record, as required by Section 845.800(d)(2).
- e) At least 14 days prior to a public meeting, the owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment must post on the owner or operator's publicly accessible internet site all documentation relied upon in making their tentative construction permit application.
- f) At the public meeting, the owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment must outline its decision-making process for the construction permit application,

including, where applicable, the corrective action alternatives and the closure alternatives considered.

g) This Section does not apply to applications for minor modifications as described in Section 845.280(d).

Section 845.250 Tentative Determination and Draft Permit

Following the receipt of a complete application for a construction permit, operating permit or a joint construction and operating permit, the Agency shall prepare a tentative determination.

- a) The tentative determination shall include at least the following:
 - 1) A statement regarding whether the permit is to be issued or denied; and
 - 2) If the determination is to issue the permit, a draft permit and a brief description of any conditions contained therein.
- b) Upon tentative determination to issue or deny the permit:
 - 1) If the determination is to issue the permit, the Agency shall notify the applicant in writing of the content of the tentative determination and draft permit and of its intent to circulate public notice of issuance in accordance with Section 845.260;
 - 2) If the determination is to deny the permit, the Agency shall notify the applicant in writing of the tentative determination and of its intent to circulate public notice of denial, in accordance with Section 845.260. In the case of denial, notice to the applicant shall include a statement of the reasons for denial, as required by Section 39(a) of the Act.
- c) The documents supporting the Agency's tentative decision to issue or deny a permit shall be made part of the Agency's record.

Section 845.260 Draft Permit Public Notice and Participation

- a) The Agency shall post a notification that it has received a permit application on the Agency's webpage and shall email the notice to the Agency's listserv for the applicant's facility.
- b) Public Notice of Draft Permit
 - 1) Not earlier than 15 days following the Agency's notification to the applicant of its tentative decision pursuant to Section 845.250 to issue or deny the permit application, the Agency shall circulate public notice of the completed application for the permit in a manner designed to inform

interested and potentially interested persons of the construction, modification, operation or closure of a CCR surface impoundment and of the proposed determination to issue or deny the permit.

- 2) The contents of public notice of completed applications for permits shall include at least the following:
 - A) Name, address, and telephone number of the Agency;
 - B) Name and address of the applicant;
 - C) Brief description of the applicant's activities or operations which result in the construction, operation, modification or closure of a CCR surface impoundment;
 - D) A statement of the tentative determination to issue or deny the permit;
 - E) A brief description of the procedures for the formulation of final determinations, including the procedures for submitting comments and expiration date of the comment period; and
 - F) Address and telephone number of Agency premises at which interested persons may obtain further information, request a copy of the permit application and related documents.
- 3) Procedures for the circulation of public notice required pursuant to this Section shall include at least the following concurrent actions:
 - A) Posting on the Agency's webpage and all of the Agency's social media outlets;
 - B) Mailing the notice to the clerk of the nearest city, town or village requesting further posting in conspicuous locations throughout the city, town or village;
 - C) Requiring the applicant to post the notice near the entrance to the applicant's premises; and
 - D) Emailing the notice to the Agency's listserv for the facility.
- c) Public Comment Period
 - 1) The Agency shall accept written comments from interested persons on the draft permit determination for 30 days following the circulation of the public notice pursuant to subsection (b).

- 2) All comments shall be submitted to the Agency and to the applicant.
- 3) All written comments submitted during the 30-day comment period shall be retained by the Agency and considered in the formulation of its final determination with respect to the permit application.
- 4) The period for comment may be extended at the discretion of the Agency.
- 5) The Agency shall consider all timely submitted comments.
- d) Public Hearing
 - 1) The Agency may hold a public hearing on the issuance or denial of a draft permit whenever the Agency determines that there exists a significant degree of public interest in the proposed permit.
 - 2) Within the 30-day public comment period, any person, including the applicant, may submit to the Agency a request for a public hearing which must include the reasons why a hearing is warranted.
 - 3) Hearings held pursuant to this Section shall be held in the geographical area in which the CCR surface impoundment is located. When determining the hearing location, consideration shall be given to facilitating attendance of interested or affected persons and organizations and to accessibility of hearing sites to public transportation.
- e) Notice of Public Hearing
 - 1) The Agency shall issue notice of a public hearing not less than 30 days prior to the date of such hearing pursuant to the procedures for the circulation of public notice in subsection (b)(3).
 - 2) The contents of the public notice for the public hearing shall include at least the following:
 - A) Name, address, and telephone number of the Agency;
 - B) Name and address of each applicant whose application will be considered at the hearing;
 - C) Brief description of the applicant's activities or operations which result in the construction, operation, modification or closure of a CCR surface impoundment;
 - D) Information regarding the time and location of the hearing;

- E) The purpose of the hearing;
- F) A concise statement of the issues to be considered at the hearing;
- G) Address and telephone number of premises at which interested persons may obtain further information, request a copy of the draft permit and related documents; and
- H) A statement that the hearing will be conducted in accordance with this Section.
- f) When the Agency holds a public hearing pursuant to this Section, the Agency shall prepare a responsiveness summary which includes:
 - 1) An identification of the public participation activity conducted;
 - 2) Description of the matter on which the public was consulted;
 - 3) An estimate of the number of persons present at the hearing;
 - 4) A summary of all significant comments, criticisms, and suggestions, whether written or oral, submitted at the hearing or during the time the hearing record was open;
 - 5) The Agency's response to all significant comments, criticisms, and suggestions; and
 - 6) A statement of Agency action, including when applicable the issuance or denial of the permit.

Section 845.270 Final Permit Determination and Appeal

- a) The Agency shall not make a final permit determination until the public participation process in Section 845.260 has concluded.
- b) After the consideration of any comments which may have been received, the Agency may either issue or deny the permit.
- c) The Agency shall provide a notice of the issuance or denial of the permit to the applicant, to any person who provides comments or an email address to the Agency during the public notice period or a public hearing, and to any person on the Agency' listserv for the facility. Such notice shall briefly indicate any significant changes which were made from terms and conditions set forth in the draft permit.

- d) In the case of denial, the Agency shall inform the applicant of the reasons for denial, as required by Section 39(a) of the Act.
- e) Appeal
 - 1) If the Agency refuses to grant or grants with conditions a permit under this Part, the applicant may petition the Board to appeal the Agency's final decision pursuant to Section 40 of the Act.
 - 2) If the Agency grants or denies a permit under this Part, a third party, other than the permit applicant or Agency, may appeal the Agency's decision as provided under federal law for CCR surface impoundment permits. 415 ILCS 5/40(g).
 - 3) All appeals must be filed with the Board within 35 days after the final action as specified in Section 845.210(e).

Section 845.280 Transfer, Modification and Renewal

- a) No permit is transferable from one person to another except as approved by the Agency. Approval shall be granted only if a new owner or operator seeking transfer of a permit can demonstrate the ability to comply with all applicable financial requirements of Subpart I of this Part.
- b) Agency Initiated Modification. The Agency may modify a permit under the following conditions:
 - 1) Discovery of a typographical or calculation error;
 - 2) Discovery that a determination or condition was based upon false or misleading information;
 - 3) An order of the Board issued in an action brought pursuant to Title VII, VIII, IX or X of the Act; or
 - 4) Promulgation of new statutes or regulations affecting the permit.
- c) The owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment may initiate modification to its permit by submitting an application to the Agency at any time after the permit is approved and before the permit expires.
- d) The Agency may make minor modifications to a permit without following the public notice procedures of Section 845.260. Minor modifications may only:
 - 1) Correct typographical errors;

- 2) Require more frequent monitoring or reporting by the permittee, including the installation of additional groundwater monitoring wells;
- 3) Allow for a change in ownership or operational control of a facility where the Agency determines that no other change in the permit is necessary, provided that a written agreement containing a specific date for transfer of permit responsibility, coverage, and liability between the current and new permittees has been submitted to the Agency;
- 4) Change the construction schedule which does not impact the scheduled date of completion; or
- 5) Require electronic reporting requirements.
- e) An application for renewal of a permit shall be filed with the Agency at least 180 days prior to the expiration date of the existing permit unless the Agency grants a waiver of this requirement. The Agency may grant a waiver of the 180-day requirement only if:
 - 1) the permittee submits a written request to the Agency at least 60 days before the expiration of the permit;
 - 2) the permittee's written request includes the reasonably justifiable causes for not meeting the 180-day requirement in subsection (e); and
 - 3) the permittee's written request includes a date by which the permittee will submit the renewal application.
- f) Any Agency decision to deny a waiver request must be made within 21 days after receipt of the waiver request in subsection (e)(1).
- g) The terms and conditions of an expiring permit remain effective and enforceable against the permittee until the Agency takes final action on the pending permit renewal application, only if the permittee has submitted a timely application pursuant to subsection (e) and the Agency, through no fault of the permittee, does not issue a new permit on or before the expiration date of the previous permit.

Section 845.290 Construction Quality Assurance Program

- a) The following must be constructed according to a Construction Quality Assurance (CQA) program:
 - 1) the construction of a new CCR surface impoundment, or the lateral expansion of an existing CCR surface impoundment;
 - 2) the retrofit of an existing CCR surface impoundment;

- 3) installation of a groundwater collection system and discharge system;
- 4) installation of the groundwater monitoring system; and
- 5) installation of the final cover system.
- b) The CQA program must meet the following requirements:
 - 1) The owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment must designate a CQA officer who is a qualified professional engineer.
 - 2) At the end of each week of construction until construction is complete, a summary report must be prepared either by the CQA officer or under the supervision of the CQA officer. The report must include descriptions of the weather, locations where construction occurred during the previous week, materials used, results of testing, inspection reports, and procedures used to perform the inspections. The CQA officer must review and approve the report. The owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment shall place the weekly reports in the facility's operating record, as required by Section 845.800(d)(3).
 - 3) The CQA officer must certify the following, when applicable:
 - A) the bedding material contains no undesirable objects;
 - B) the final closure plan or corrective action plan approved by the construction permit has been followed;
 - C) the anchor trench and backfill are constructed to prevent damage to a geosynthetic membrane;
 - D) all tears, rips, punctures, and other damage are repaired;
 - E) all geosynthetic membrane seams are properly constructed and tested in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications;
 - F) any groundwater collection system is constructed to intersect the water table;
 - G) any groundwater collection system is properly constructed to slope toward extraction points, and the extraction equipment is properly designed and installed;

- H) appropriate operation and maintenance plans for the groundwater collection system and extraction and discharge equipment are provided;
- I) proper filter material consisting of uniform granular fill, to avoid clogging, is used in construction;
- J) the filter material as placed possesses structural strength adequate to support the maximum loads imposed by the overlying materials and equipment used at the facility;
- K) CCR stabilization; and
- L) site restoration, if any.
- 4) The CQA officer must supervise and be responsible for all inspections, testing and other activities required to be implemented as part of the CQA program under this Section.
- 5) The CQA officer must be present to provide supervision and assume responsibility for performing all inspections of the following activities, when applicable:
 - A) compaction of the subgrade and foundation to design parameters;
 - B) application of final cover, including installation of the geomembrane; and
 - C) installation of the groundwater collection system and discharge system.
- 6) If the CQA officer is unable to be present as required by subsection (b)(5) of this Section, the CQA officer must provide the following in writing:
 - A) the reasons for his or her absence;
 - B) a designation of a person who must exercise professional judgment in carrying out the duties of the CQA officer-in-absentia; and
 - C) and a signed statement that the CQA officer assumes full responsibility for all inspections performed and reports prepared by the designated CQA officer-in-absentia during the absence of the CQA officer.
- 7) The CQA program must ensure, at a minimum, that construction materials and operations meet design specifications.

SUBPART C: LOCATION RESTICTIONS

Section 845.300 Placement Above The Uppermost Aquifer

- a) Existing and new CCR surface impoundments, and all lateral expansions of CCR surface impoundments must be constructed with a base that is located no less than 1.52 meters (five feet) above the upper limit of the uppermost aquifer, or must demonstrate that there will not be an intermittent, recurring, or sustained hydraulic connection between any portion of the base of the CCR surface impoundment and the uppermost aquifer due to normal fluctuations in groundwater elevations (including the seasonal high water table).
- b) The owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment must obtain a certification from a qualified professional engineer stating that the demonstration meets the requirements of subsection (a) of this Section.
- c) The owner or operator of an existing CCR surface impoundment must complete the demonstration required by subsection (a) of this Section and submit the completed demonstration to the Agency in the facility's initial operating permit application.
- d) The owner or operator of a new CCR surface impoundment or a lateral expansion of a CCR surface impoundment must submit plans and specifications in a construction permit application that demonstrate the CCR surface impoundment will be constructed pursuant to subsection (a) of this Section. Upon completion of construction, the owner or operator must obtain a certification from a qualified professional engineer that the CCR surface impoundment or lateral expansion was constructed in accordance with the requirements in subsection (a) of this Section and submit the certification to the Agency in the facility's initial operating permit application.

Section 845.310 Wetlands

- a) Existing and new CCR surface impoundments, and all lateral expansions of CCR surface impoundments must not be located in wetlands unless the owner or operator demonstrates the following:
 - 1) Where applicable under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act, Interagency Wetlands Policy Act of 1989 (20 ILCS 830 et seq.) and Rivers, Lakes, and Streams Act (615 ILCS 5/4.9 et seq.), or other applicable state wetlands laws, a clear and objective rebuttal of the presumption that an alternative to the CCR surface impoundment is reasonably available that does not involve wetlands.
 - 2) The construction and operation of the CCR surface impoundment will not cause or contribute to any of the following:

- A) A violation of any applicable state or federal water quality standard;
- B) A violation of any applicable toxic effluent standard or prohibition under Section 307 of the Clean Water Act;
- C) Jeopardize the continued existence of endangered or threatened species or result in the destruction or adverse modification of a critical habitat, protected under the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.) and the Illinois Endangered Species Protection Act (520 ILCS 10/1 et seq.); and
- D) A violation of any requirement under the Marine Protection, Research, and Sanctuaries Act of 1972 (16 U.S.C. 1431 and 33 U.S.C. 1401) for the protection of a marine sanctuary.
- 3) The CCR surface impoundment will not cause or contribute to significant degradation of wetlands by addressing all of the following factors:
 - A) Erosion, stability, and migration potential of native wetland soils, muds and deposits used to support the CCR surface impoundment;
 - B) Erosion, stability, and migration potential of dredged and fill materials used to support the CCR surface impoundment;
 - C) The volume and chemical nature of the CCR;
 - D) Impacts on fish, wildlife, and other aquatic resources and their habitat from release of CCR;
 - E) The potential effects of catastrophic release of CCR to the wetland and the resulting impacts on the environment; and
 - F) Any additional factors, as necessary, to demonstrate that ecological resources in the wetland are sufficiently protected.
- 4) To the extent required under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act or applicable state wetlands laws, steps have been taken to attempt to achieve no net loss of wetlands (as defined by acreage and function) by first avoiding impacts to wetlands to the maximum extent reasonable as required by subsections (a)(1) through (3) of this Section, then minimizing unavoidable impacts to the maximum extent reasonable, and finally offsetting remaining unavoidable wetland impacts through all appropriate and reasonable compensatory mitigation actions (e.g., restoration of existing degraded wetlands or creation of man-made wetlands); and

- 5) Sufficient information is available to make a reasoned determination with respect to the demonstrations in subsections (a)(1) through (4) of this Section.
- b) The owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment must obtain a certification from a qualified professional engineer stating that the demonstration meets the requirements of subsection (a) of this Section.
- c) The owner or operator of an existing CCR surface impoundment must complete the demonstration required by subsection (a) of this Section and submit the completed demonstration to the Agency with the facility's initial operating permit application.
- d) The owner or operator of a new CCR surface impoundment or a lateral expansion of a CCR surface impoundment must submit plans and specifications in a construction permit application that demonstrate the CCR surface impoundment will be constructed pursuant to subsection (a) of this Section. Upon completion of construction, the owner or operator must obtain a certification from a qualified professional engineer that the CCR surface impoundment or lateral expansion was constructed in accordance with the requirements in subsection (a) of this Section and submit the certification to the Agency in the facility's initial operating permit application.

Section 845.320 Fault Areas

- a) Existing and new CCR surface impoundments, and all lateral expansions of CCR surface impoundments must not be located within 60 meters (200 feet) of the outermost damage zone of a fault that has had displacement in Holocene time unless the owner or operator demonstrates that an alternative setback distance of less than 60 meters (200 feet) will prevent damage to the structural integrity of the CCR surface impoundment.
- b) The owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment must obtain a certification from a qualified professional engineer stating that the demonstration meets the requirements of subsection (a) of this Section.
- c) The owner or operator of an existing CCR surface impoundment must complete the demonstration required by subsection (a) of this Section and submit the completed demonstration to the Agency with the facility's initial operating permit application.
- d) The owner or operator of a new CCR surface impoundment or a lateral expansion of a CCR surface impoundment must submit plans and specifications in a construction permit application that demonstrate the CCR surface impoundment will be constructed pursuant to subsection (a) of this Section. Upon completion of construction, the owner or operator must obtain a certification from a qualified professional engineer that the CCR surface impoundment or lateral expansion was constructed in accordance with the requirements in subsection (a) of this Section

and submit the certification to the Agency in the facility's initial operating permit application.

Section 845.330 Seismic Impact Zones

- a) Existing and new CCR surface impoundments, and all lateral expansions of CCR surface impoundments must not be located in seismic impact zones unless the owner or operator demonstrates that all structural components including liners, leachate collection and removal systems, and surface water control systems, are designed to resist the maximum horizontal acceleration in lithified earth material for the site.
- b) The owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment must obtain a certification from a qualified professional engineer stating that the demonstration meets the requirements of subsection (a) of this Section.
- c) The owner or operator of an existing CCR surface impoundment must complete the demonstration required by subsection (a) of this Section and submit the completed demonstration to the Agency with the facility's initial operating permit application.
- d) The owner or operator of a new CCR surface impoundment or a lateral expansion of a CCR surface impoundment must submit plans and specifications in a construction permit application that demonstrate the CCR surface impoundment will be constructed pursuant to subsection (a) of this Section. Upon completion of construction, the owner or operator must obtain a certification from a qualified professional engineer that the CCR surface impoundment or lateral expansion was constructed in accordance with the requirements in subsection (a) of this Section and submit the certification to the Agency in the facility's initial operating permit application.

Section 845.340 Unstable Areas

- a) An existing or new CCR surface impoundment, or any lateral expansion of a CCR surface impoundment must not be located in an unstable area unless the owner or operator demonstrates that recognized and generally accepted engineering practices have been incorporated into the design of the CCR surface impoundment to ensure that the integrity of the structural components of the CCR surface impoundment will not be disrupted.
- b) The owner or operator must consider all of the following factors, at a minimum, when determining whether an area is unstable:
 - 1) On-site or local soil conditions, including but not limited to liquefaction, that may result in significant differential settling;
 - 2) On-site or local geologic or geomorphologic features; and

- 3) On-site or local human-made features or events (both surface and subsurface).
- c) The owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment must obtain a certification from a qualified professional engineer stating that the demonstration meets the requirements of subsection (a) of this Section.
- d) The owner or operator of an existing CCR surface impoundment must complete the demonstration required by subsection (a) of this Section and submit the completed demonstration to the Agency with the facility's initial operating permit application.
- e) The owner or operator of a new CCR surface impoundment or a lateral expansion of a CCR surface impoundment must submit plans and specifications in a construction permit application that demonstrate the CCR surface impoundment will be constructed pursuant to subsection (a) of this Section. Upon completion of construction, the owner or operator must obtain a certification from a qualified professional engineer that the CCR surface impoundment or lateral expansion was constructed in accordance with the requirements in subsection (a) of this Section and submit the certification to the Agency in the facility's initial operating permit application.

Section 845.350 Failure to Meet Location Standards

- a) An owner or operator of an existing CCR surface impoundment who fails to demonstrate compliance with the requirements of this Subpart is subject to the requirements of Section 845.700.
- b) An owner or operator of a new CCR surface impoundment, or any lateral expansion of a CCR surface impoundment who fails to make the demonstration showing compliance with the requirements of this Subpart is prohibited from placing CCR in the CCR surface impoundment.

SUBPART D: DESIGN CRITERIA

Section 845.400 Liner Design Criteria For Existing CCR Surface Impoundments

- a) An existing CCR surface impoundment is considered to be an existing lined surface impoundment if it has been constructed with either a composite liner that meets the requirements of subsection (b) of this Section or an alternative composite liner that meets the requirements of subsection (c) of this Section.
- b) Composite Liner
 - 1) A composite liner must consist of two components; the upper component consisting of, at a minimum, a 30-mil geomembrane liner, and the lower

component consisting of at least a two-foot layer of compacted soil with a hydraulic conductivity of no more than 1×10^{-7} centimeters per second (cm/sec). The geomembrane liner components consisting of high-density polyethylene (HDPE) must be at least 60-mil. The geomembrane liner or upper liner component must be installed in direct and uniform contact with the compacted soil or lower liner component.

- 2) The composite liner must be:
 - A) Constructed of materials that have appropriate chemical properties and sufficient strength and thickness to prevent failure due to pressure gradients (including static head and external hydrogeologic forces), physical contact with the CCR or leachate to which they are exposed, climatic conditions, the stress of installation, and the stress of daily operation;
 - B) Constructed of materials that provide appropriate shear resistance of the upper and lower component interface to prevent sliding of the upper component including on slopes;
 - C) Placed upon a foundation or base capable of providing support to the liner and resistance to pressure gradients above and below the liner to prevent failure of the liner due to settlement, compression, or uplift; and
 - D) Installed to cover all surrounding earth likely to be in contact with the CCR or leachate.
- c) Alternative Composite Liner
 - 1) An alternative composite liner must consist of two components; the upper component consisting of, at a minimum, a 30-mil geomembrane liner, and a lower component, that is not a geomembrane, with a liquid flow rate no greater than the liquid flow rate of two feet of compacted soil with a hydraulic conductivity of no more than 1×10^{-7} cm/sec. The geomembrane liner components consisting of high density polyethylene (HDPE) must be at least 60-mil. If the lower component of the alternative liner is compacted soil, the geomembrane liner must be installed in direct and uniform contact with the compacted soil.
 - 2) The liquid flow rate through the lower component of the alternative composite liner shall be no greater than the liquid flow rate through two feet of compacted soil with a hydraulic conductivity of 1×10^{-7} cm/sec. The hydraulic conductivity for the two feet of compacted soil used in the comparison shall be no greater than 1×10^{-7} cm/sec. The hydraulic

conductivity of any alternative to the two feet of compacted soil must be determined using recognized and generally accepted methods.

3) The liquid flow rate comparison must be made using the following equation, which is derived from Darcy's Law for gravity flow through porous media.

$$Q/A = q = k ((h/t)+1)$$

Where:

Q = flow rate (cubic centimeters/second) A = Surface are of the liner (squared centimeters) Q = flow rate per unit area (cubic centimeters/ second/squared centimeter) k = hydraulic conductivity of the liner (centimeters /second) h = hydraulic head above the liner (centimeters); and

- t = thickness of the liner (centimeters)
- 4) The alternative composite liner must meet the requirements specified in subsection (b) of this Section.
- d) The hydraulic conductivity of the compacted soil must be determined using recognized and generally accepted methods.
- e) The owner or operator of an existing CCR surface impoundment that has not completed an Agency approved closure prior to July 30, 2021, must submit an initial operating permit application pursuant to Section 845.230 that demonstrates whether or not the CCR surface impoundment was constructed with either of the following:
 - 1) A composite liner that meets the requirements of subsection (b); or
 - 2) An alternative composite liner that meets the requirements of subsection (c).
- f) A CCR surface impoundment is considered to be an unlined CCR surface impoundment if either:
 - 1) The owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment determines that the CCR surface impoundment is not constructed with a liner that meets the requirements of subsections (b) or (c) of this Section; or
 - 2) The owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment fails to document whether the CCR surface impoundment was constructed with a liner that meets the requirements of subsections (b) or (c) of this Section.
- g) All unlined CCR surface impoundments are subject to the requirements of Section 845.700.
h) The owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment must obtain a certification from a qualified professional engineer attesting that the CCR surface impoundment meets the requirements of subsection (a) of this Section and submit the certification to the Agency in the facility's initial operating permit application.

Section 845.410 Liner Design Criteria for New CCR Surface Impoundments and Any Lateral Expansion of a CCR Surface Impoundment

- a) New CCR surface impoundments and lateral expansions of existing and new CCR surface impoundments must be designed, constructed, operated, and maintained with either a composite liner or an alternative composite liner that meets the requirements of Section 845.400(b) or (c).
- b) Any liner specified in this Section must be installed to cover all surrounding earth likely to be in contact with CCR. Dikes shall not be constructed so as to damage the composite liner.
- c) Prior to construction, the owner or operator must obtain certification from a qualified professional engineer that the design of the composite liner or, if applicable, the design of an alternative composite liner complies with the requirements of this Section and submit this certification to the Agency in the facility's construction permit application.
- d) Upon completion of construction, the owner or operator must obtain a certification from a qualified professional engineer that the composite liner or if applicable, the alternative composite liner has been constructed in accordance with the requirements of this Section and submit this certification to the Agency in the facility's initial operating permit application.

Section 845.420 Leachate Collection and Removal System

A new CCR surface impoundment must be designed, constructed, operated and maintained with a leachate collection and removal system. The leachate collection and removal system must be designed, constructed, operated, and maintained to collect and remove leachate from the leachate collection system of the CCR surface impoundment during its active life and post-closure care period.

- a) The leachate collection and removal system must:
 - 1) be placed above the liner required by Section 845.400 or Section 845.410;
 - 2) have placed above it a filter layer that has a hydraulic conductivity of no less than 1×10^{-5} cm/sec;
 - 3) have a bottom slope of three percent or more towards the collection pipes;

- 4) be constructed of granular drainage materials with a hydraulic conductivity of 1×10^{-1} cm/sec or more and a thickness of 24 inches or more above the crown of the collection pipe; or constructed of synthetic drainage materials with a transmissivity of 6×10^{-4} m²/sec or more;
- 5) be constructed of materials that are chemically resistant to CCR and any non-CCR waste managed in the CCR surface impoundment and the leachate expected to be generated, and of sufficient strength and thickness to prevent collapse under the pressures exerted by overlying waste and any waste cover materials and equipment used at the CCR surface impoundment;
- 6) be designed, constructed and operated with collection pipes at the base of the granular material, to prevent clogging with fines during the active life and post-closure care period;
- 7) have collection pipes
 - A) designed such that leachate is collected at a sump and is pumped or flows out of the CCR surface impoundment;
 - B) with slopes that allow flow from all points within the CCR surface impoundment to the sump or drain outlet; and
 - C) large enough to conduct periodic cleaning;
- 8) have a protective layer or other means of deflecting the force of CCR pumped into the CCR surface impoundment; and
- 9) be designed and operated to minimize clogging during the active life and post-closure care period.
- b) The owner or operator must obtain certification from a qualified professional engineer that the design of the leachate collection system complies with the requirements of this Section and submit this certification to the Agency in the facility's construction permit application.
- c) Upon completion, the owner or operator must obtain a certification from a qualified professional engineer that the leachate collection system has been constructed in accordance with the requirements of this Section and submit this certification to the Agency in the facility's initial operating permit application.

Section 845.430 Slope Maintenance

The slopes and pertinent surrounding areas of the CCR surface impoundment must be designed, constructed, operated, and maintained with one of the forms of slope protection specified in

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 03/30/2020 **R2020-019**

subsection (a) of this Section that meets all of the performance standards of subsection (b) of this Section.

- a) Slope protection must consist of one of the following:
 - 1) A vegetative cover consisting of grassy vegetation;
 - 2) An engineered cover consisting of a single form or combination of forms of engineered slope protection measures; or
 - 3) A combination of the forms of cover specified in subsections (a)(1) or (a)(2) of this Section.
- b) Any form of cover for slope protection must meet the following performance standards:
 - 1) The cover must be installed and maintained on the slopes and pertinent surrounding areas of the CCR surface impoundment;
 - 2) The cover must provide protection against surface erosion, wave action, and adverse effects of rapid drawdown;
 - 3) The cover must be maintained to allow for the observation of and access to the slopes and pertinent surrounding areas during routine and emergency events;
 - 4) Woody vegetation must be removed from the slopes or pertinent surrounding areas. Any removal of woody vegetation with a diameter greater than 1/2 inch must be directed by a person familiar with the design and operation of the CCR surface impoundment and in consideration of the complexities of removal of a tree or a shrubbery, who must ensure the removal does not create a risk of destabilizing the CCR surface impoundment or otherwise adversely affect the stability and safety of the CCR surface impoundment or personnel undertaking the removal; and
 - 5) The height of vegetation must not exceed 12 inches.

Section 845.440 Hazard Potential Classification Assessment

- a) Hazard potential classification assessments
 - 1) The owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment must conduct an initial and annual hazard potential classification assessment of the CCR surface impoundment. The owner or operator must document the hazard

potential classification of each CCR surface impoundment as either a Class 1 or Class 2 CCR surface impoundment. The owner or operator must also document the basis for each hazard potential classification.

- 2) The owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment must obtain a certification from a qualified professional engineer stating that the initial hazard potential classification and each annual classification was conducted in accordance with the requirements of this Section.
- 3) Timeframe for submission of the Hazard Potential Classification Assessments and Certifications
 - A) The owner or operator of a new CCR surface impoundment must submit the initial hazard potential classification assessment certification with the initial operating permit application prior to the initial receipt of CCR in the surface impoundment.
 - B) The owner or operator of an existing CCR surface impoundment must submit the initial hazard potential classification assessment certification with its first annual inspection report required by Section 845.540(b).
 - C) The owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment must submit the annual hazard potential classification assessment certification each year with the annual inspection required by Section 845.540(b).
 - D) The owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment must place each hazard potential classification assessment in the facility's operating record, as required by Section 845.800(d)(4).
- b) The requirements of this Section apply to all CCR surface impoundments, except for those CCR surface impoundments that are incised CCR surface impoundments. If an incised CCR surface impoundment is subsequently modified (e.g., a dike is constructed) such that the CCR surface impoundment no longer meets the definition of an incised CCR surface impoundment, the CCR surface impoundment is subject to the requirements of this Section.

Section 845.450 Structural Stability Assessment

a) The owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment must conduct initial and annual structural stability assessments and document whether the design, construction, operation, and maintenance of the CCR surface impoundment is consistent with recognized and generally accepted engineering practices for the maximum volume of CCR and CCR wastewater which can be impounded therein. The assessment must, at a minimum, document whether the CCR surface impoundment has been designed, constructed, operated, and maintained with:

- 1) Stable foundations and abutments;
- 2) Adequate slope protection to protect against surface erosion, wave action, and adverse effects of sudden drawdown;
- 3) Dikes mechanically compacted to a density sufficient to withstand the range of loading conditions in the CCR surface impoundment;
- 4) Slope protection consistent with Section 845.430;
- 5) A single spillway or a combination of spillways configured as specified in subsection (a)(5)(A) of this Section. The combined capacity of all spillways must be designed, constructed, operated, and maintained to adequately manage flow during and following the peak discharge from the event specified in subsection (a)(5)(B) of this Section.
 - A) All spillways must be either:
 - i) Of non-erodible construction and designed to carry sustained flows; or
 - ii) Earth- or grass-lined and designed to carry short-term, infrequent flows at non-erosive velocities where sustained flows are not expected.
 - B) The combined capacity of all spillways must adequately manage flow during and following the peak discharge from a:
 - i) Probable maximum flood for a Class 1 CCR surface impoundment; or
 - ii) 1000-year flood for a Class 2 CCR surface impoundment.
- 6) Hydraulic structures underlying the base of the CCR surface impoundment or passing through the dike of the CCR surface impoundment that maintain structural integrity and are free of significant deterioration, deformation, distortion, bedding deficiencies, sedimentation, and debris which may negatively affect the CCR surface impoundment; and
- 7) For CCR surface impoundments with downstream slopes which can be inundated by the pool of an adjacent water body, such as a river, stream or lake, downstream slopes that maintain structural stability during low pool of the adjacent water body or sudden drawdown of the adjacent water body.

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 03/30/2020 **R2020-019**

- b) The annual assessment described in this Section must identify any structural stability deficiencies associated with the CCR surface impoundment in addition to recommending corrective measures. If a deficiency or a release is identified during the periodic assessment, the owner or operator of the surface impoundment must submit to the Agency a construction permit application including documentation detailing proposed corrective measures and must obtain any necessary permits from the Agency as soon as feasible.
- c) The owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment must obtain a certification from a qualified professional engineer stating that the initial structural stability assessments and each annual assessment thereafter was conducted in accordance with the requirements of this Section.
- d) Timeframe for submission of structural stability assessment
 - 1) The owner or operator of a new CCR surface impoundment must submit the initial structural stability assessment certification with the initial operating permit application prior to the initial receipt of CCR in the surface impoundment.
 - 2) The owner or operator of an existing CCR surface impoundment must submit the initial structural stability assessment certification with its first annual inspection report required by Section 845.540(b).
 - 3) The owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment must submit the annual structural stability assessment certification each year with the annual inspection required by Section 845.540(b).
 - 4) The owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment must place each structural stability assessment in the facility's operating record, as required by Section 845.800(d)(5).
- f) The requirements of this Section apply to all CCR surface impoundments, except for those CCR surface impoundments that are incised CCR surface impoundments. If an incised CCR surface impoundment is subsequently modified (e.g., a dike is constructed) such that the CCR surface impoundment no longer meets the definition of an incised CCR surface impoundment, the CCR surface impoundment is subject to the requirements of this Section.

Section 845.460 Safety Factor Assessment

a) The owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment must conduct an initial and annual safety factor assessments for each CCR surface impoundment and document whether the calculated factors of safety for each CCR surface impoundment achieve the minimum safety factors specified in this Section for the critical cross section of the embankment. The critical cross section is the cross section anticipated to be the most susceptible of all cross sections to structural failure based on appropriate engineering considerations, including loading conditions. The safety factor assessments must be supported by appropriate engineering calculations.

- For new CCR surface impoundments, the calculated static factor of safety under the end-of-construction loading condition must equal or exceed 1.30. The assessment of this loading condition is only required for the initial safety factor assessment and is not required for subsequent assessments.
- 2) The calculated static factor of safety under the long-term, maximum storage pool loading condition must equal or exceed 1.50.
- 3) The calculated static factor of safety under the maximum surcharge pool loading condition must equal or exceed 1.40.
- 4) The calculated seismic factor of safety must equal or exceed 1.00.
- 5) For dikes constructed of soils that have susceptibility to liquefaction, the calculated liquefaction factor of safety must equal or exceed 1.20.
- b) The owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment must obtain a certification from a qualified professional engineer stating that the initial safety factor assessment and each annual assessment thereafter was conducted in accordance with the requirements of this Section.
- c) Timeframe for submission of the safety factor assessment
 - 1) The owner or operator of a new CCR surface impoundment must submit the initial safety factor assessment certification with the initial operating permit application prior to the initial receipt of CCR in the surface impoundment.
 - 2) The owner or operator of an existing CCR surface impoundment must submit the initial safety factor assessment certification with its first annual inspection report required by Section 845.540(b).
 - 3) The owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment must submit the annual safety factor assessment certification each year with the annual inspection required by Section 845.540(b).
 - 4) The owner or operator of a new CCR surface impoundment must place each safety factor assessment in the facility's operating record as required by Section 845.800(d)(6).
- d) Failure to document minimum safety factors.

- 1) For new CCR surface impoundments, until the date an owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment documents that the calculated factors of safety achieve the minimum safety factors specified in this section, the owner or operator is prohibited from placing CCR in such CCR surface impoundment.
- 2) An owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment who either fails to complete a timely safety factor assessment or fails to demonstrate minimum safety factors as required by this Section is subject to the requirements of Section 845.700.
- e) The requirements of this Section apply to all CCR surface impoundments, except for those CCR surface impoundments that are incised CCR surface impoundments. If an incised CCR surface impoundment is subsequently modified (e.g., a dike is constructed) such that the CCR surface impoundment no longer meets the definition of an incised CCR surface impoundment, the CCR surface impoundment is subject to the requirements of this Section.

SUBPART E: OPERATING CRITERIA

Section 845.500 Air Criteria

- a) The owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment, or any lateral expansion of a CCR surface impoundment must adopt measures that will effectively minimize CCR from becoming airborne at the facility, including CCR fugitive dust originating from CCR surface impoundments, roads, and other CCR management and material handling activities.
- b) CCR fugitive dust control plan. The owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment must prepare and operate in accordance with a CCR fugitive dust control plan as specified in subsections (b)(1) through (7) of this Section. This requirement applies in addition to, not in place of, any applicable standards under the Occupational Safety and Health Act, including but not limited to 29 CFR 1910.1018, 29 CFR 1910.1024, 29 CFR 1910.1025, 29 CFR 1910.1027, and 1910.1053, or any other State or federal law.
 - 1) The CCR fugitive dust control plan must identify and describe the CCR fugitive dust control measures the owner or operator will use to minimize CCR from becoming airborne at the facility. The owner or operator must select, and include in the CCR fugitive dust control plan, the CCR fugitive dust control measures that are most appropriate for site conditions, along with an explanation of how the measures selected are applicable and appropriate for site conditions. Examples of control measures that may be appropriate include: locating CCR inside an enclosure or partial enclosure; operating a water spray or fogging system; reducing fall distances at material drop points; using wind barriers, compaction, or vegetative covers;

establishing and enforcing reduced vehicle speed limits; paving and sweeping roads; covering trucks transporting CCR; reducing or halting operations during high wind events; or applying a daily cover.

- 2) The CCR fugitive dust control plan must include procedures to log citizen complaints received by the owner or operator involving CCR fugitive dust events at the facility.
- 3) The CCR fugitive dust control plan must include a description of the procedures the owner or operator will follow to periodically assess the effectiveness of the control plan.
- 4) The owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment must prepare an initial CCR fugitive dust control plan for the facility no later than September 30, 2021, or by initial receipt of CCR in any CCR surface impoundment at the facility if the owner or operator becomes subject to this Part after September 30, 2021.
- 5) Amendment of the plan. The owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment subject to the requirements of this Section may amend the written CCR fugitive dust control plan at any time provided the revised plan is submitted to the Agency. The owner or operator must amend the written plan whenever there is a change in conditions that would substantially affect the written plan in effect, such as the construction and operation of a new CCR surface impoundment.
- 6) The owner or operator must place the initial and any amendments to the fugitive dust control plan in the facility's operating record as required by Section 845.800(d)(7).
- 7) The owner or operator must obtain a certification from a qualified professional engineer that the initial CCR fugitive dust control plan, or any subsequent amendment of it, meets the requirements of this Section.
- c) Annual CCR fugitive dust control report. The owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment must prepare an annual CCR fugitive dust control report that includes a description of the actions taken by the owner or operator to control CCR fugitive dust, a record of all citizen complaints, and a summary of any corrective measures taken. The annual CCR fugitive dust control report must be submitted as a part of the annual consolidated report required by Section 845.550.

Section 845.510 Hydrologic and Hydraulic Capacity Requirements for CCR Surface Impoundments

a) The owner or operator of an existing or new CCR surface impoundment or any lateral expansion of a CCR surface impoundment must design, construct, operate,

and maintain an inflow design flood control system as specified in subsections (a)(1) and (2) of this Section.

- 1) The inflow design flood control system must adequately manage flow into the CCR surface impoundment during and following the peak discharge of the inflow design flood specified in subsection (a)(3) of this Section.
- 2) The inflow design flood control system must adequately manage flow from the CCR surface impoundment to collect and control the peak discharge resulting from the inflow design flood specified in subsection (a)(3) of this Section.
- 3) The inflow design flood, at a minimum, is:
 - A) For a Class 1 CCR surface impoundment, as determined under Section 845.440(a), the probable maximum flood;
 - B) For a Class 2 CCR surface impoundment, as determined under Section 845.440(a), the 1,000-year flood; or
 - C) For an incised CCR surface impoundment, the 25-year flood.
- b) Discharge from the CCR surface impoundment must be handled in accordance with the surface water requirements in Section 845.110(b)(3) and 35 Ill. Adm. Code Subtitle C.
- c) Inflow design flood control system plan
 - 1) Content of the plan. The owner or operator must prepare initial and annual inflow design flood control system plans for the CCR surface impoundment. These plans must document how the inflow design flood control system has been designed and constructed to meet the requirements of this Section. Each plan must be supported by appropriate engineering calculations.
 - 2) Amendment of the plan. The owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment may amend the written inflow design flood control system plan at any time. The owner or operator must amend the written inflow design flood control system plan whenever there is a change in conditions that would substantially affect the written plan in effect.
 - 3) The owner or operator must obtain a certification from a qualified professional engineer stating that the initial and periodic inflow design flood control system plans meet the requirements of this Section
 - 4) Timeframe for plan submission

- A) The owner or operator of a new CCR surface impoundment must submit to the Agency the initial inflow design flood control system plan certification with the initial operating permit application prior to the initial receipt of CCR in the surface impoundment.
- B) The owner or operator of an existing CCR surface impoundment must submit the initial inflow design flood control system plan certification with its first annual inspection report required by Section 845.540(b).
- C) The owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment must submit the annual inflow design flood control system plan certification each year with the annual inspection required by Section 845.540(b).
- D) The owner or operator of a new CCR surface impoundment must place each inflow design flood control system plan in the facility's operating record, as required by Section 845.800(d)(8).

Section 845.520 Emergency Action Plan

- a) The owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment must prepare and maintain a written Emergency Action Plan (EAP). The owner or operator must place the EAP and any amendment of the EAP in the facility's operating record, as required by Section 845.800(d)(9).
- b) At a minimum, the EAP must:
 - 1) Define the events or circumstances involving the CCR surface impoundment that represent a safety emergency, along with a description of the procedures that will be followed to detect a safety emergency in a timely manner;
 - 2) Define responsible persons, their respective responsibilities, and notification procedures in the event of a safety emergency involving the CCR surface impoundment;
 - 3) Provide contact information of emergency responders;
 - 4) Include a map which delineates the downstream area which would be affected in the event of a CCR surface impoundment failure and a physical description of the CCR surface impoundment; and
 - 5) Include provisions for an annual face-to-face meeting or exercise between representatives of the owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment and the local emergency responders.

- c) The owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment must prepare an initial Emergency Action Plan for the facility no later than September 30, 2021, or by initial receipt of CCR in any CCR surface impoundment at the facility if the owner or operator becomes subject to this Part after September 30, 2021.
- d) Amendment of the plan
 - 1) The owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment may amend the written EAP at any time.
 - 2) The owner or operator must amend the written EAP whenever there is a change in conditions that would substantially affect the EAP in effect.
 - 3) The written EAP must be evaluated, at a minimum, every five years to ensure the information required in this Section is accurate.
- e) The owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment must obtain a certification from a qualified professional engineer stating that the written EAP, and any subsequent amendment of the EAP, meets the requirements of this Section.
- f) Activation of the EAP. The EAP must be implemented once events or circumstances involving the CCR surface impoundment that represent a safety emergency are detected, including conditions identified during any structural stability assessments, annual inspections, and inspections by a qualified person. The owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment must submit records documenting all activations of the EAP to the Agency and place the documentation in the facility's operating record as required by Section 845.800(d)(10).
- g) The owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment must document the annual face-to-face meeting or exercise between representatives of the owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment and the local emergency responders as required by subsection (b)(5). The owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment must place this documentation in the facility's operating record as required by Section 845.800(d)(11).

Section 845.530 Safety and Health Plan

a) The owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment shall develop a Safety and Health Plan and ensure that employees, contract workers, and third-party contractors are informed regarding the Safety and Health Plan. The owner or operator shall conduct ongoing worker hazard analyses and ensure employees, contract workers, and third-party contractors are aware of said analyses. The plan shall be updated as needed based on the worker hazard analyses, but at least annually. The plan and all amendments to the plan, shall be placed in the facility's operating record as required by Section 845.800(d)(12), and on the owner or operator's publicly accessible internet site.

- b) For worker exposure safety, in addition to all other applicable local, state and federal requirements, the owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment, for all chemical constituents identified in the CCR pursuant to Sections 845.230(a)(15) and 845.230(d)(2)(C), must:
 - consider the recommendations in the most recent "NIOSH Pocket Guide to Chemical Hazards", Department of Human Health and Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health;
 - 2) implement the Occupational Safety and Health Administration regulations in Chapter 17 of Title 29 of the Code of Federal Regulations for all hazards not otherwise classified as defined in 29 CFR 1910.1200(c); and
 - 3) provide safety data sheets (Globally Harmonized System of Classification and Labeling of Chemicals adopted by OSHA) or create a facility-specific safety data sheet pursuant to 29 CFR 1910.1200(g).
- c) The Safety and Health Plan must include a personnel training program that meets the following minimum requirements:
 - 1) Employees, contract workers, and third-party contractors must successfully complete a training program that informs them of the hazards at the facility to ensure compliance with the requirements of this Part. The facility must maintain an outline of the training program used (or to be used) at the facility and a brief description of training program updates.
 - 2) At a minimum, the training program must be designed to ensure that employees, contract workers, and third-party contractors understand and are able to respond effectively to the following:
 - A) procedures for using, inspecting, repairing, and replacing facility emergency and monitoring equipment;
 - B) communications or alarm systems;
 - C) response to fires or explosions;
 - D) response to a spill or release of CCR;
 - E) the training pursuant to the Occupational Safety and Health Standards in 29 CFR 1910.120, 29 CFR 1926.65, and the OSHA 10hour or 30-hour construction safety training;

- F) information about chemical hazards and hazardous materials identified in subsection (b) of this Section; and
- G) the use of engineering controls, administrative controls, and personal protective equipment.
- d) Employees, contract workers, and third-party contractors must successfully complete the program required in subsection (c) of this Section prior to undertaking any activity to construct, operate or close a CCR surface impoundment.
- e) Employees, contract workers, and third-party contractors must take part in an annual review of the initial training required in subsection (c) of this Section.
- f) The owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment must perform, at a minimum, the following hazard communication activities:
 - 1) post signs at the facility identifying the hazards of CCR, including dust inhalation when handling CCR;
 - 2) post signs at the facility identifying unstable CCR areas which may make operation of heavy equipment hazardous; and
 - 3) post signs at the facility where the CCR surface impoundment is located identifying safety measures and necessary precautions, including the proper use of personal protective equipment.

Section 845.540 Inspection Requirements for CCR Surface Impoundments

- a) Inspections by a qualified person.
 - 1) All CCR surface impoundments and any lateral expansion of a CCR surface impoundment must be examined by a qualified person as follows:
 - A) At intervals not exceeding seven days and after each 25-year, 24-hour storm, inspect for the following:
 - i) any appearances of actual or potential structural weakness and other conditions which are disrupting or have the potential to disrupt the operation or safety of the CCR surface impoundment;
 - ii) deterioration, malfunctions or improper operation of overtopping control systems where present;

- iii) sudden drops in the level of the CCR surface impoundment's contents;
- iv) erosion that creates rills, gullies, or crevices six inches or deeper, other signs of deterioration including failed or eroded vegetation in excess of 100 square feet, or cracks in dikes or other containment devices; and
- v) any visible releases.
- B) At intervals not exceeding seven days, inspect the discharge of all outlets of hydraulic structures which pass underneath the base of the CCR surface impoundment or through the dike of the CCR surface impoundment for abnormal discoloration, flow or discharge of debris or sediment;
- C) At intervals not exceeding 30 days, monitor all CCR surface impoundment instrumentation; and
- D) The owner or operator shall prepare a report for each inspection which includes the date of the inspection, condition of the CCR surface impoundment, any repairs made to the CCR surface impoundment and the date of the repair. The results of the inspection by a qualified person must be recorded in the facility's operating record as required by Section 845.800(d)(13).
- 2) The owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment must initiate the inspections required under subsection (a) no later than March 30, 2021, or by initial receipt of CCR in an CCR surface impoundment if the owner or operator becomes subject to this Part after March 30, 2021. The inspections required under subsection (a) must continue until the completion of closure by removal or the completion of post-closure care.
- b) Annual inspections by a qualified professional engineer.
 - 1) The CCR surface impoundment must be inspected on an annual basis by a qualified professional engineer to ensure that the design, construction, operation, and maintenance of the CCR surface impoundment is consistent with recognized and generally accepted engineering standards. The inspection must, at a minimum, include:
 - A review of available information regarding the status and condition of the CCR surface impoundment, including, but not limited to, files available in the operating record (e.g., CCR surface impoundment design and construction information required by Sections 845.220(a)(1) and 845.230(d)(2)(A), previous structural stability

assessments required under Section 845.450, the results of inspections by a qualified person, and results of previous annual inspections);

- B) A visual inspection of the CCR surface impoundment to identify signs of distress or malfunction of the CCR surface impoundment and appurtenant structures;
- C) A visual inspection of any hydraulic structures underlying the base of the CCR surface impoundment or passing through the dike of the CCR surface impoundment for structural integrity and continued safe and reliable operation;
- D) The annual hazard potential classification certification, required by Section 845.440, if applicable;
- E) The annual structural stability assessment certification, required by Section 845.450, if applicable;
- F) The annual safety factor assessment certification, required by Section 845.460, if applicable; and
- G) The inflow design flood control system plan certification, required by Section 845.510(c).
- 2) Inspection report. The qualified professional engineer must prepare a report following each inspection that addresses the following:
 - A) Any changes in geometry of the impounding structure since the previous annual inspection;
 - B) The location and type of existing instrumentation and the maximum recorded readings of each instrument since the previous annual inspection;
 - C) The approximate minimum, maximum, and present depth and elevation of the impounded water and CCR since the previous annual inspection;
 - D) The storage capacity of the impounding structure at the time of the inspection;
 - E) The approximate volume of the impounded water and CCR at the time of the inspection;

- F) Any appearances of an actual or potential structural weakness of the CCR surface impoundment, in addition to any existing conditions that are disrupting or have the potential to disrupt the operation and safety of the CCR surface impoundment and appurtenant structures; and
- G) Any other changes which may have affected the stability or operation of the impounding structure since the previous annual inspection.
- 3) By January 31 of each year, the inspection report must be completed and submitted with the annual consolidated report required by Section 845.550.
- 4) Frequency of inspections. The owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment must conduct the inspection required by subsections (b)(1) and (2) of this Section on an annual basis. The deadline for conducting a subsequent inspection is based on the date of conducting the previous inspection.
- 5) If a deficiency or release is identified during an inspection, the owner or operator must submit to the Agency documentation detailing proposed corrective measures and obtain any necessary permits from the Agency.

Section 845.550 Annual Consolidated Report

- a) No later than January 31 of each year, the owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment must prepare an annual consolidated report for the preceding calendar year that includes the following:
 - 1) Annual CCR fugitive dust control report, required by Section 845.500(c);
 - 2) Annual inspection report, required by Section 845.540(b), including
 - A) annual hazard potential classification certification, required by Section 845.440, if applicable;
 - B) annual structural stability assessment certification, required by Section 845.450, if applicable;
 - C) annual safety factor assessment certification, required by Section 845.460, if applicable; and
 - D) inflow design flood control system plan certification, required by Section 845.510(c).

- 3) Annual Groundwater Monitoring and Corrective Action Report, required by Section 845.610(e).
- b) The owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment must place the annual consolidated report in the facility's operating record as required by Section 845.800(d)(14).

SUBPART F: GROUNDWATER MONITORING AND CORRECTIVE ACTION

Section 845.600 Groundwater Protection Standards

- a) For existing CCR surface impoundments and for inactive CCR surface impoundments
 - 1) The groundwater protection standards at the waste boundary shall be:
 - A) Antimony: 0.006 mg/L
 - B) Arsenic: 0.010 mg/L
 - C) Barium: 2.0 mg/L
 - D) Beryllium: 0.004 mg/L
 - E) Boron: 2 mg/L
 - F) Cadmium: 0.005 mg/L
 - G) Chloride: 200 mg/L
 - H) Chromium: 0.1 mg/L
 - I) Cobalt: 0.006 mg/L
 - J) Fluoride: 4.0 mg/L
 - K) Lead: 0.0075 mg/L
 - L) Lithium: 0.04 mg/L
 - M) Mercury: 0.002 mg/L
 - N) Molybdenum: 0.1 mg/L
 - O) pH: 6.5-9.0 units

- P) Selenium: 0.05 mg/L
- Q) Sulfate: 400 mg/L
- R) Thallium: 0.002 mg/L
- S) Total Dissolved Solids: 1200 mg/L
- T) Radium 226 and 228 combined: 5 pCi/L
- 2) For constituents with a background concentration higher than the levels identified under subsection (a)(1) of this Section, the background concentration shall be the groundwater protection standard.
- b) For new CCR surface impoundments, the groundwater protection standards at the waste boundary shall be background for the constituents listed in subsection (a)(1) and Calcium.
- c) The owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment may not obtain alternative groundwater quality standards in 35 Ill. Adm. Code 620.450(a)(4) for the constituents in subsections (a) and (b) before the end of post-closure care pursuant to Section 845.780, when closing with a final cover system, or before the end of groundwater monitoring pursuant to Section 845.740(b), when closing by removal.

Section 845.610 General Requirements

- a) All CCR surface impoundments and lateral expansions of CCR surface impoundments are subject to the groundwater monitoring and corrective action requirements under this Subpart.
- b) Required submissions and Agency approvals for groundwater monitoring
 - 1) Existing CCR surface impoundments. The owner or operator of an existing CCR surface impoundment must submit the following to the Agency in an initial operating permit application:
 - A) a hydrogeologic site characterization meeting the requirements of Section 845.620;
 - B) design and construction plans of a groundwater monitoring system meeting the requirements of Section 845.630;
 - C) a groundwater sampling and analysis program that includes selection of the statistical procedures to be used for evaluating groundwater monitoring data as required by Section 845.640; and

- D) a monitoring program that includes a minimum of eight independent samples for each background and downgradient well as required by Section 845.650(b).
- 2) New CCR surface impoundments. The owner or operator of a new CCR surface impoundment and all lateral expansions of a CCR surface impoundment must submit the information required in subsection (b)(1)(A)-(C) in a construction permit application, and the information required in subsection (b)(1)(D) in an operating permit application.
- 3) All owners and operators of CCR surface impoundments must:
 - A) conduct groundwater monitoring pursuant to a monitoring program approved by the Agency under this Subpart;
 - B) evaluate the groundwater monitoring data for statistically significant levels over background levels for the constituents listed in Section 845.600 after each sampling event;
 - C) determine compliance with the groundwater protection standards in Section 845.600 after each sampling event; and
 - D) submit all groundwater monitoring data to the Agency and any analysis performed under subsection (b)(3)(B) and (b)(3)(C) within 60 days after completion of sampling, and place the groundwater monitoring data in the facility's operating record as required by Section 845.800(d)(15).
- c) Once the groundwater monitoring system and the groundwater monitoring program have been established at the CCR surface impoundment as required by this Subpart, the owner or operator must conduct groundwater monitoring and, if necessary, corrective action throughout the active life and post-closure care period of the CCR surface impoundment or the time period specified in Section 845.740(b) when closure is by removal.
- d) In the event of a release from a CCR surface impoundment, the owner or operator must immediately take all necessary measures to control all sources of the release so as to reduce or eliminate, to the maximum extent feasible, further releases of contaminants into the environment. The owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment must comply with all applicable requirements in Sections 845.660, 845.670, 845.680.
- e) Annual Groundwater Monitoring and Corrective Action Report
 - 1) The owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment must prepare and submit to the Agency an annual groundwater monitoring and corrective

action report as a part of the annual consolidated report required by Section 845.550.

- 2) For the preceding calendar year, the annual report must document the status of the groundwater monitoring and corrective action plan for the CCR surface impoundment, summarize key actions completed, including but not limited to the status of permit applications and Agency approvals, describe any problems encountered, discuss actions to resolve the problems, and project key activities for the upcoming year.
- 3) At a minimum, the annual groundwater monitoring and corrective action report must contain the following information, to the extent available:
 - A) A map, aerial image, or diagram showing the CCR surface impoundment, all background (or upgradient) and downgradient monitoring wells, including the well identification numbers, that are part of the groundwater monitoring program for the CCR surface impoundment, and a visual delineation of any exceedances of the groundwater protection standards;
 - B) Identification of any monitoring wells that were installed or decommissioned during the preceding year, along with a narrative description of why those actions were taken;
 - C) A potentiometric surface map for each groundwater elevation sampling event required by Section 845.650(b)(2);
 - D) In addition to all the monitoring data obtained under this Subpart, a summary including the number of groundwater samples that were collected for analysis for each background and downgradient well, and the dates the samples were collected;
 - E) A narrative discussion of any statistically significant increases over background levels for the constituents listed in Section 845.600; and
 - F) Other information required to be included in the annual report as specified in this Subpart.
- 4) A section at the beginning of the annual report must provide an overview of the current status of groundwater monitoring program and corrective action plan for the CCR surface impoundment. At a minimum, the summary must:
 - A) specify whether groundwater monitoring data shows a statistically significant increase over background concentrations for one or more constituents listed in Section 845.600;

- B) identify those constituents having a statistically significant increase over background concentrations and the names of the monitoring wells associated with such an increase;
- C) specify whether there have been any exceedances of the groundwater protection standards for one or more constituents listed in Section 845.600;
- D) identify those constituents with exceedances of the groundwater protection standards in Section 845.600 and the names of the monitoring wells associated with such an exceedance;
- E) provide the date when the assessment of corrective measures was initiated for the CCR surface impoundment;
- F) provide the date when the assessment of corrective measures was completed for the CCR surface impoundment;
- G) specify whether a remedy was selected pursuant to Section 845.670 during the current annual reporting period, and if so, the date of remedy selection; and
- H) specify whether remedial activities were initiated or are ongoing pursuant to Section 845.780 during the current annual reporting period.

Section 845.620 Hydrogeologic Site Characterization

- a) The owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment must design and implement a hydrogeologic site characterization.
- b) The hydrogeologic site characterization shall include but not be limited to the following:
 - 1) Geologic well logs/boring logs;
 - 2) Climatic aspects of the site, including seasonal and temporal fluctuations in groundwater flow;
 - 3) Identification of nearby surface water bodies and drinking water intakes;
 - 4) Identification of nearby pumping wells and associated uses of the groundwater;
 - 5) Identification of nearby dedicated nature preserves;

- 6) Geologic setting;
- 7) Structural characteristics;
- 8) Geologic cross-sections;
- 9) Soil characteristics;
- 10) Identification of confining layers;
- 11) Identification of potential migration pathways;
- 12) Groundwater quality data;
- 13) Vertical and horizontal extent of the geologic layers to a minimum depth of 100 feet below land surface, including lithology and stratigraphy;
- 14) A map displaying any known underground mines beneath a CCR surface impoundment;
- 15) Chemical and physical properties of the geologic layers to a minimum depth of 100 feet below land surface;
- 16) Hydraulic characteristics of the geologic layers identified as migration pathways and geologic layers that limit migration, including:
 - A) water table depth;
 - B) hydraulic conductivities;
 - C) effective and total porosities;
 - D) direction and velocity of groundwater flow; and
 - E) map of the potentiometric surface;
- 17) groundwater classification pursuant to 35 Ill. Adm. Code 620; and
- 18) Any other information requested by the Agency.

Section 845.630 Groundwater Monitoring Systems

a) Performance standard. The owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment must install a groundwater monitoring system that consists of a sufficient number of wells, installed at appropriate locations and depths, to yield groundwater samples that:

- 1) Accurately represent the quality of background groundwater that has not been affected by leakage from a landfill containing CCR or CCR surface impoundment. A determination of background quality may include sampling of wells that are not hydraulically upgradient of the CCR management area where:
 - A) Hydrogeologic conditions do not allow the owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment to determine what wells are hydraulically upgradient; or
 - B) Sampling at other wells will provide an indication of background groundwater quality that is demonstratively as representative or more representative than that provided by the upgradient wells; and
- 2) Accurately represent the quality of groundwater passing the waste boundary of the CCR surface impoundment. The downgradient monitoring system must be installed at the waste boundary that ensures detection of groundwater contamination. All potential contaminant pathways must be monitored.
- b) The number, spacing, and depths of monitoring system wells shall be determined based upon site-specific technical information identified in the hydrogeologic site characterization conducted under Section 845.620.
- c) The groundwater monitoring system must include a sufficient number of monitoring wells necessary to meet the performance standards specified in subsection (a) of this Section based on the site-specific information specified in subsection (b) of this Section. The groundwater monitoring system must contain:
 - 1) a minimum of one upgradient and three downgradient monitoring wells; and
 - 2) additional monitoring wells as necessary to accurately represent the quality of background groundwater that has not been affected by leakage from the CCR surface impoundment and the quality of groundwater passing the waste boundary of the CCR surface impoundment.
- d) Multiunit groundwater monitoring system
 - 1) The owner or operator of multiple CCR surface impoundments may install a multiunit groundwater monitoring system instead of separate groundwater monitoring systems for each CCR surface impoundment.
 - 2) The multiunit groundwater monitoring system must be equally as capable of detecting monitored constituents at the waste boundary of the CCR surface impoundment as the individual groundwater monitoring system

specified in subsections (a) through (c) of this Section for each CCR surface impoundment based on the following factors:

- A) number, spacing, and orientation of each CCR surface impoundment;
- B) hydrogeologic setting;
- C) site history; and
- D) engineering design of the CCR surface impoundment.
- e) Monitoring wells must be properly constructed in a manner consistent with the standards of 77 Ill. Adm. Code 920.170.
 - 1) The owner or operator must document and include in the facility's operating record the design, installation, development, and decommissioning of any monitoring wells, piezometers and other measurement, sampling, and analytical devices. The qualified professional engineer must be given access to this documentation when completing the groundwater monitoring system certification required under subsection (g) of this Section.
 - 2) The monitoring wells, piezometers, and other measurement, sampling, and analytical devices must be operated and maintained so that they perform to the design specifications throughout the life of the monitoring program.
- f) The owner or operator of a new CCR surface impoundment must submit a construction permit application containing documentation showing that the groundwater monitoring system is designed to meet the requirements of this Section. The owner or operator of all CCR surface impoundments must submit an operating permit application containing documentation showing that the groundwater monitoring system has been constructed to meet the requirements of this Section.
- g) The owner or operator must obtain a certification from a qualified professional engineer stating that the groundwater monitoring system has been designed and constructed to meet the requirements of this Section. If the groundwater monitoring system includes the minimum number of monitoring wells specified in subsection (c)(1) of this Section, the certification must document the basis supporting this determination. The certification must be submitted to the Agency with the appropriate permit application.

Section 845.640 Groundwater Sampling and Analysis Requirements

a) The groundwater monitoring program must include consistent sampling and analysis procedures that are designed to ensure monitoring results that provide an

accurate representation of groundwater quality at the background and downgradient wells required by Section 845.630. The owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment must develop a sampling and analysis program that includes procedures and techniques for:

- 1) Sample collection;
- 2) Sample preservation and shipment;
- 3) Analytical procedures;
- 4) Chain of custody control; and
- 5) Quality assurance and quality control.
- b) The groundwater monitoring program must include sampling and analytical methods that are appropriate for groundwater sampling and that accurately measure constituents and other monitoring parameters in groundwater samples. For purposes of this Subpart, the term constituent refers to both constituents and other monitoring parameters listed in Section 845.600.
- c) Groundwater elevations must be measured in each well prior to purging, each time groundwater is sampled. The owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment must determine the rate and direction of groundwater flow each time groundwater is sampled. Groundwater elevations in wells which monitor the same CCR management area must be measured within a period of time short enough to avoid temporal variations in groundwater flow which could preclude accurate determination of groundwater flow rate and direction.
- d) The owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment must establish background groundwater quality in a hydraulically upgradient or background well(s) for each of the constituents listed in Section 845.600. Background groundwater quality may be established at wells that are not located hydraulically upgradient from the CCR surface impoundment if it meets the requirements of Section 845.630(a)(1).
- e) The number of samples collected when conducting monitoring (for both downgradient and background wells) must be consistent with the statistical procedures chosen under subsection (f) of this Section and the performance standards under subsection (g) of this Section. The sampling procedures shall be those specified under Section 845.650(a) through (c).
- f) The owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment must select one of the statistical methods specified in subsections (f)(1) through (5) of this Section to be used in evaluating groundwater monitoring data for each specified constituent. The statistical test chosen shall be conducted separately for each constituent in each monitoring well.

- 1) A parametric analysis of variance followed by multiple comparison procedures to identify statistically significant evidence of contamination. The method must include estimation and testing of the contrasts between each compliance well's mean and the background mean levels for each constituent.
- 2) An analysis of variance based on ranks followed by multiple comparison procedures to identify statistically significant evidence of contamination. The method must include estimation and testing of the contrasts between each compliance well's median and the background median levels for each constituent.
- 3) A tolerance or prediction interval procedure, in which an interval for each constituent is established from the distribution of the background data and the level of each constituent in each compliance well is compared to the upper tolerance or prediction limit.
- 4) A control chart approach that gives control limits for each constituent.
- 5) Another statistical test method that meets the performance standards of subsection (g) of this Section.
- 6) The owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment must obtain a certification from a qualified professional engineer stating that the selected statistical method is appropriate for evaluating the groundwater monitoring data for the CCR surface impoundment. The certification must include a narrative description of the statistical method selected to evaluate the groundwater monitoring data. The certification must be submitted to the Agency with the appropriate permit application.
- 7) The owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment must submit the following to the Agency in an operating permit application:
 - A) documentation of the statistical method chosen; and
 - B) the qualified professional engineer certification required under subsection (f)(6).
- g) Any statistical method chosen under subsection (f) of this Section shall comply with the following performance standards, as appropriate, based on the statistical test method used:
 - 1) The statistical method used to evaluate groundwater monitoring data shall be appropriate for the distribution of constituents. Normal distributions of data values shall use parametric methods. Non-normal distributions shall

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 03/30/2020 **R2020-019**

use non-parametric methods. If the distribution of the constituents is shown by the owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment to be inappropriate for a normal theory test, then the data must be transformed or a distribution-free (non-parametric) theory test must be used. If the distributions for the constituents differ, more than one statistical method may be needed.

- 2) If an individual well comparison procedure is used to compare an individual compliance well constituent concentration with background constituent concentrations or a groundwater protection standard, the test shall be done at a Type I error level no less than 0.01 for each testing period. If a multiple comparison procedure is used, the Type I experiment wise error rate for each testing period shall be no less than 0.05; however, the Type I error of no less than 0.01 for individual well comparisons must be maintained. This performance standard does not apply to tolerance intervals, prediction intervals, or control charts.
- 3) If a control chart approach is used to evaluate groundwater monitoring data, the specific type of control chart and its associated constituent values shall be such that this approach is at least as effective as any other approach in this Section for evaluating groundwater data. The constituent values shall be determined after considering the number of samples in the background data base, the data distribution, and the range of the concentration values for each constituent of concern.
- 4) If a tolerance interval or a prediction interval is used to evaluate groundwater monitoring data, the levels of confidence and, for tolerance intervals, the percentage of the population that the interval must contain, shall be such that this approach is at least as effective as any other approach in this Section for evaluating groundwater data. These constituents shall be determined after considering the number of samples in the background data base, the data distribution, and the range of the concentration values for each constituent of concern.
- 5) The statistical method must account for data below the limit of detection with one or more statistical procedures at least as effective as any other approach in this Section for evaluating groundwater data. Any practical quantitation limit that is used in the statistical method shall be the lowest concentration level that can be reliably achieved within specified limits of precision and accuracy during routine laboratory operating conditions that are available to the facility. For the constituents identified in Section 845.600(a)(1), the practical quantitation limit must be less than the groundwater protection standards.

- 6) If necessary, the statistical method must include procedures to control or correct for seasonal and spatial variability as well as temporal correlation in the data.
- h) The owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment must determine whether or not there is a statistically significant increase over background values for each constituent in Section 845.600.
 - 1) In determining whether a statistically significant increase has occurred, the owner or operator must compare the groundwater quality of each constituent at each monitoring well designated pursuant to Section 845.630(a)(2) or (d)(1) to the background value of that constituent, according to the statistical procedures and performance standards specified under subsections (f) and (g) of this Section.
 - 2) Within 60 days after completing sampling and analysis, the owner or operator must determine whether there has been a statistically significant increase over background for any constituent at each monitoring well.
- i) The owner or operator must measure total recoverable metals concentrations in measuring groundwater quality. Measurement of total recoverable metals captures both the particulate fraction and dissolved fraction of metals in natural waters. Groundwater samples shall not be field-filtered prior to analysis.
- All groundwater samples taken pursuant to this Subpart must be analyzed by a certified laboratory using Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods, SW-846, incorporated by reference in Section 845.150.

Section 845.650 Groundwater Monitoring Program

- a) The owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment must conduct groundwater monitoring consistent with this Section. At a minimum, groundwater monitoring must include groundwater monitoring for all constituents with a groundwater protection standard in Section 845.600 and Calcium. The owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment must submit a groundwater monitoring plan to the Agency with its operating permit application.
- b) Monitoring Frequency
 - 1) The monitoring frequency for all constituents with a groundwater protection standard in Section 845.600 and Calcium shall be at least quarterly during the active life of the CCR surface impoundment and the post-closure care period or period specified in Section 845.740(b) when closure is by removal.

- A) For existing CCR surface impoundments, a minimum of eight independent samples from each background and downgradient well must be collected and analyzed for all constituents with a groundwater protection standard listed in Section 845.600(a) and Calcium no later than 180 days after the effective date of this Part.
- B) For new CCR surface impoundments, and all lateral expansions of CCR surface impoundments, a minimum of eight independent samples for each background well and downgradient well must be collected and analyzed for all constituents with a groundwater protection standard listed in Section 845.600(a) and Calcium during the first 180 days of sampling.
- 2) The groundwater elevation monitoring frequency shall be monthly.
- c) The number of samples collected and analyzed for each background well and downgradient well during subsequent quarterly sampling events must be consistent with Section 845.640, and must account for any unique characteristics of the site, but must include at least one sample from each background and downgradient well.
- d) If one or more constituents are detected, and confirmed by an immediate resample, in exceedance of the groundwater protection standards in Section 845.600 in any sampling event, the owner or operator must notify the Agency which constituent exceeded the groundwater protection standard and place the notification in the facility's operating record as required by Section 845.800(d)(16). The owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment also must:
 - 1) Characterize the nature and extent of the release and any relevant site conditions that may affect the remedy ultimately selected. The characterization must be sufficient to support a complete and accurate assessment of the corrective measures necessary to effectively clean up all releases from the CCR surface impoundment pursuant to Section 845.660. The owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment must submit the characterization to the Agency and place the characterization in the facility's operating record as required by Section 845.800(d)(16). Characterization of the release includes the following minimum measures:
 - A) Install additional monitoring wells necessary to define the contaminant plume(s);
 - B) Collect data on the nature and estimated quantity of material released including specific information on the constituents listed in Section 845.600 and the levels at which they are present in the material released;

- C) Install at least one additional monitoring well at the facility boundary in the direction of contaminant migration and sample this well in accordance with subsection (a) and (b) of this Section; and
- D) Sample all wells in accordance with subsection (a) and (b) of this Section to characterize the nature and extent of the release.
- 2) Notify all persons who own the land or reside on the land that directly overlies any part of the plume of contamination if contaminants have migrated off-site as indicated by sampling of wells in accordance with subsection (d)(1) of this Section. The owner or operator must send notifications made pursuant to this subsection (d)(2) to the Agency and place the notifications in the facility's operating record as required by Section 845.800(d)(16).
- 3) Except as provided in subsection (d)(4), within 90 days of the detected exceedance of the groundwater protection standard, initiate an assessment of corrective measures as required by Section 845.660.
- 4) Alternative Source Demonstration. The owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment may, within 60 days of the detected exceedance of the groundwater protection standard, submit a demonstration to the Agency that a source other than the CCR surface impoundment caused the contamination and the CCR surface impoundment did not contribute to the contamination, or that the exceedance of the groundwater protection standard resulted from error in sampling, analysis, statistical evaluation, natural variation in groundwater quality, or a change in the potentiometric surface and groundwater flow direction. Any such demonstration must be supported by a report that includes the factual or evidentiary basis for any conclusions and must be certified to be accurate by a qualified professional engineer.
 - A) The Agency shall provide a written response either concurring or not concurring with the demonstration within 30 days.
 - B) If the Agency concurs with the demonstration, the owner or operator must continue monitoring in accordance with this Section. The owner or operator must also include the demonstration in the annual groundwater monitoring and corrective action report required by Section 845.610(e), in addition to the certification by a qualified professional engineer.
 - C) If the Agency does not concur with the written demonstration made pursuant to subsection (d)(4) of this Section, the owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment must initiate the assessment of corrective measures requirements under Section 845.660.

Section 845.660 Assessment of Corrective Measures

- a) Unless the Agency has concurred with an alternative source demonstration made pursuant to Section 845.650(d)(4), the owner or operator must initiate an assessment of corrective measures to prevent further releases, to remediate any releases and to restore the affected area.
 - 1) The assessment of corrective measures must be initiated within 90 days of finding that any constituent listed in Section 845.600 has been detected in exceedance of the groundwater protection standards in Section 845.600, or immediately upon detection of a release from a CCR surface impoundment.
 - 2) The assessment of corrective measures must be completed and submitted to the Agency within 90 days of initiation of assessment of corrective measures, unless the owner or operator demonstrates to the Agency the need for additional time to complete the assessment of corrective measures due to site-specific conditions or circumstances. The owner or operator must submit this demonstration along with a certification from a qualified professional engineer attesting that the demonstration is accurate to the Agency within 60 days of initiating an assessment of corrective measures. The Agency shall either approve or disapprove the demonstration within 30 days. The 90-day deadline to complete the assessment of corrective measures may be extended for no longer than 60 days. The owner or operator must also include the Agency approved demonstration in the annual groundwater monitoring and corrective action report required by Section 845.610(e), in addition to the certification by a qualified professional engineer.
- b) The owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment must continue to monitor groundwater in accordance with the monitoring program as specified in Section 845.650.
- c) The assessment under subsection (a) of this Section must include an analysis of the effectiveness of potential corrective measures in meeting all of the requirements and objectives of the corrective action plan as described under Section 845.670 addressing at least the following:
 - 1) The performance, reliability, ease of implementation, and potential impacts of appropriate potential remedies, including safety impacts, cross-media impacts, and control of exposure to any residual contamination;
 - 2) The time required to begin and complete the corrective action plan; and

- 3) The institutional requirements, such as state or local permit requirements or other environmental or public health requirements that may substantially affect implementation of the corrective action plan.
- d) The owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment must discuss the results of the corrective measures assessment at least 30 days prior to the selection of remedy in a public meeting with interested and affected parties as required by Section 845.240.
- e) When the owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment is completing closure and corrective action simultaneously, the owner or operator may combine the requirements of this Section and Section 845.710 into one assessment of alternatives.

Section 845.670 Corrective Action Plan

- a) The owner or operator must prepare a semi-annual report describing the progress in selecting a remedy and developing a corrective action plan. The semi-annual report must be submitted to the Agency and placed in the operating record as required by Section 845.800(d)(17).
- b) Within one year of completing the assessment of corrective measures as specified in Section 845.660, and after completion of the public meeting in Section 845.660(d), the owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment must submit a corrective action plan, which identifies-the selected remedy, in a construction permit application to the Agency. This requirement applies in addition to, not in place of, any applicable standards under any other State or federal law.
- c) The corrective action plan must meet the following requirements:
 - 1) be based on the results of the corrective measures assessment conducted under Section 845.660;
 - 2) identify a selected remedy, which at a minimum, meets the standards listed in subsection (d) of this Section;
 - contain the corrective action alternatives analysis specified in subsection (e); and
 - 4) contain proposed schedules for implementation, including an analysis of the factors in subsection (f);
- d) The selected remedy in the corrective action plan must:
 - 1) Be protective of human health and the environment;

- 2) Attain the groundwater protection standards as specified in Section 845.600;
- 3) Control the source(s) of releases so as to reduce or eliminate, to the maximum extent feasible, further releases of constituents in Section 845.600 of this Part into the environment;
- 4) Remove from the environment as much of the contaminated material that was released from the CCR surface impoundment as is feasible, taking into account factors such as avoiding inappropriate disturbance of sensitive ecosystems; and
- 5) Comply with standards for management of wastes as specified in Section 845.680(d).
- e) Corrective Action Alternatives Analysis. In selecting a remedy that meets the standards of subsection (d) of this Section, the owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment shall consider the following evaluation factors:
 - 1) The long- and short-term effectiveness and protectiveness of the potential remedy(s), along with the degree of certainty that the remedy will prove successful based on consideration of the following:
 - A) Magnitude of reduction of existing risks;
 - B) Magnitude of residual risks in terms of likelihood of further releases due to CCR remaining following implementation of a remedy;
 - C) The type and degree of long-term management required, including monitoring, operation, and maintenance;
 - D) Short-term risks that might be posed to the community or the environment during implementation of such a remedy, including potential threats to human health and the environment associated with excavation, transportation, and re-disposal of contaminants;
 - E) Time until groundwater protection standards in Section 845.600 are achieved;
 - F) The potential for exposure of humans and environmental receptors to remaining wastes, considering the potential threat to human health and the environment associated with excavation, transportation, re-disposal, containment or changes in groundwater flow;

- G) The long-term reliability of the engineering and institutional controls, including an analysis of any off-site, nearby destabilizing activities; and
- H) Potential need for replacement of the remedy.
- 2) The effectiveness of the remedy in controlling the source to reduce further releases based on consideration of the following factors:
 - A) The extent to which containment practices will reduce further releases; and
 - B) The extent to which treatment technologies may be used.
- 3) The ease or difficulty of implementing a potential remedy(s) based on consideration of the following types of factors:
 - A) Degree of difficulty associated with constructing the technology;
 - B) Expected operational reliability of the technologies;
 - C) Need to coordinate with and obtain necessary approvals and permits from other agencies;
 - D) Availability of necessary equipment and specialists; and
 - E) Available capacity and location of needed treatment, storage, and disposal services.
- 4) The degree to which community concerns are addressed by a potential remedy(s).
- f) The owner or operator must specify, as part of the corrective action plan, a schedule for implementing and completing remedial activities. Such a schedule must require the completion of remedial activities within a reasonable period of time taking into consideration the factors set forth in subsections (f)(1) through (6) of this Section. The owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment must consider the following factors in determining the schedule of remedial activities:
 - 1) Extent and nature of contamination, as determined by the characterization required under Section 845.650(d);
 - 2) Reasonable probabilities of remedial technologies in achieving compliance with the groundwater protection standards established under Section 845.600 and other objectives of the remedy;

- 3) Availability of treatment or disposal capacity for CCR managed during implementation of the remedy;
- 4) Potential risks to human health and the environment from exposure to contamination prior to completion of the remedy;
- 5) Resource value of the aquifer including:
 - A) Current and future uses, including but not limited to potential, residential, agricultural, commercial industrial and ecological uses;
 - B) Proximity and withdrawal rate of users;
 - C) Groundwater quantity and quality;
 - D) The potential impact to the subsurface ecosystem, wildlife, other natural resources, crops, vegetation, and physical structures caused by exposure to CCR constituents;
 - E) The hydrogeologic characteristic of the facility and surrounding land; and
 - F) The availability of alternative water supplies; and
- 6) Other relevant factors.

Section 845.680 Implementation of the Corrective Action Plan

- a) Within 90 days of the Agency's approval of the corrective action plan submitted under Section 845.670, the owner or operator must initiate corrective action. Based on the schedule approved by the Agency for implementation and completion of corrective action, the owner or operator must:
 - 1) Establish and implement a corrective action groundwater monitoring program that:
 - A) At a minimum, meets the requirements of the monitoring program under Section 845.650;
 - B) Documents the effectiveness of the corrective action remedy; and
 - C) Demonstrates compliance with the groundwater protection standard pursuant to subsection (c) of this Section.
 - 2) Implement the corrective action remedy approved by the Agency under Section 845.670; and
- 3) Take any interim measures necessary to reduce the contaminants leaching from the CCR surface impoundment, and/or potential exposures to human or ecological receptors. Interim measures must, to the greatest extent feasible, be consistent with the objectives of and contribute to the performance of any remedy that may be required pursuant to Section 845.670. The following factors must be considered by an owner or operator in determining whether interim measures are necessary:
 - A) Time required to develop and implement a final remedy;
 - B) Actual or potential exposure of nearby populations or environmental receptors to any of the constituents listed in Section 845.600 of this Part;
 - C) Actual or potential contamination of sensitive ecosystems or current or potential drinking water supplies;
 - D) Further degradation of the groundwater that may occur if remedial action is not initiated expeditiously;
 - E) Weather conditions that may cause any of the constituents listed in Section 845.600 of this Part to migrate or be released;
 - F) Potential for exposure to any of the constituents listed in Section 845.600 of this Part as a result of an accident or failure of a container or handling system; and
 - G) Other situations that may pose threats to human health and the environment.
- b) If the Agency or an owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment, determines, at any time, that compliance with the requirements of Section 845.670(d) is not being achieved through the remedy selected, the owner or operator must implement other methods or techniques that could feasibly achieve compliance with the requirements. These methods or techniques must receive approval by the Agency before implementation.
- c) Corrective action shall be considered complete when:
 - 1) The owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment demonstrates compliance with the groundwater protection standards established under Section 845.600 has been achieved at all points within the plume of contamination that lie beyond the waste boundary;

- 2) Compliance with the groundwater protection standards has been achieved by demonstrating that concentrations of constituents listed in Section 845.600 of this Part have not exceeded the groundwater protection standards for a period of three consecutive years using the statistical procedures and performance standards in Section 845.640(f) and (g); and
- 3) All actions required to complete the remedy have been satisfied.
- d) All CCR managed pursuant to a remedy approved by the Agency under Section 845.670, or an interim measure required under subsection (a)(3) of this Section, shall be managed in a manner that complies with this Part.
- e) Upon completion of the corrective action plan, the owner or operator must submit to the Agency a corrective action completion report and certification.
 - 1) The corrective action completion report must contain supporting documentation, including, but not limited to:
 - Any engineering and hydrogeology reports, including, but not limited to, monitoring well completion reports and boring logs, all CQA reports, certifications, and designations of CQA officers-inabsentia required by Section 845.290 of this Part;
 - B) A written summary of the implementation of the corrective action plan as set forth in the construction permit and this Part;
 - C) Groundwater monitoring data demonstrating compliance with Section 845.680(c);
 - D) Any remedial actions completed pursuant to Section 845.680(d);
 - E) Documentation showing compliance with the selected remedy requirements of Section 845.670(b); and
 - F) Any other information relied upon by the qualified professional engineer in making the closure certification.
 - The corrective action completion certification must include a statement from a qualified professional engineer attesting that the corrective action plan has been completed in compliance with the requirements of subsection (c) of this Section.
 - 3) The owner or operator must place the corrective action completion report and certification in the facility's operating record as required by Section 845.800(d)(18).

SUBPART G: CLOSURE AND POST-CLOSURE CARE

Section 845.700 Required Closure or Retrofit of CCR Surface Impoundments

- a) Required closure. The owner or operator of the following CCR surface impoundments must cease placing CCR or non-CCR waste streams in the CCR surface impoundment and must initiate closure of the CCR surface impoundment:
 - 1) an existing CCR surface impoundment that has not demonstrated compliance with any of the following location restrictions:
 - A) uppermost aquifer location as specified in Section 845.300;
 - B) wetlands, as specified in Section 845.310;
 - C) fault areas, as specified in Section 845.320;
 - D) seismic impact zones, as specified in Section 845.330; or
 - E) unstable areas, as specified in Section 845.340.
 - 2) The owner or operator of any CCR surface impoundment that has failed to complete the initial or any subsequent annual safety factor assessment required by Section 845.460 or that has failed to document the calculated factors of safety for the CCR surface impoundment to achieve the minimum safety factors specified in Section 845.460(a)(1) through (5).
- b) Required Closure or Retrofit. The owner or operator of an existing unlined CCR surface impoundment, as determined under Section 845.400(f), must cease placing CCR and non-CCR waste streams into such CCR surface impoundment and either retrofit or close the CCR surface impoundment in accordance with the requirements of Subpart G. The owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment electing to retrofit must submit a construction permit application to retrofit pursuant to Section 845.770 according to the schedule in subsection (h);
- c) Beginning on the effective date of this Part, the owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment required to close under subsection (a) or electing to close under subsection (b) must immediately take steps to categorize the CCR surface impoundment pursuant to subsection (g) of this Section and to comply with the closure alternatives analysis requirements in Section 845.710. No later than 30 days after the effective date of this Part, the owner or operator must send the category designation, including a justification for the category designation, for each CCR surface impoundment to the Agency for review. The owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment must submit a construction permit application containing a final closure plan pursuant to the schedule in subsection (h) of this Section.

- d) Timeframes for Closure
 - 1) Except as provided in subsection (d)(2), the owner or operator must cease placing CCR and non-CCR waste streams in the impoundment and initiate closure within six months of failing to complete any of the demonstrations listed in subsection (a).
 - 2) For CCR surface impoundments required to close under subsection (a)(1) or electing to close under subsection (b):
 - A) If, on the effective date of this Part, the owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment has not satisfied an alternative closure requirement of 40 CFR 257.103 that allows for the continued receipt of CCR or non-CCR waste streams, the owner or operator must not place CCR or non-CCR waste streams into the CCR surface impoundment after the effective date of this Part.
 - B) If, on the effective date of this Part, the owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment has demonstrated that alternative disposal capacity is infeasible under 40 CFR 257.103, the owner or operator must cease placing CCR or non-CCR waste streams into the CCR surface impoundment by the end of the initial time extension approved under 40 CFR 257.103 or once alternative capacity becomes available, whichever is sooner. In no case may the owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment place CCR or non-CCR waste streams into the CCR surface impoundment after October 15, 2023.
 - C) If, on the effective date of this Part, the owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment has demonstrated permanent cessation of coal-fired power boiler(s) by a certain date under 40 CFR 257.103, the owner or operator must:
 - i) for CCR surface impoundments that are 40 acres or smaller, cease operation of the coal-fired boiler and complete closure no later than October 17, 2023; or
 - ii) for CCR surface impoundments that are larger than 40 acres, cease operation of the coal-fired boiler and complete closure no later than October 17, 2028.
 - D) Failure to remain in compliance with any of the requirements of this Part will result in the automatic loss of authorization under subsection (d)(2)(B) and subsection (d)(2)(C).

- E) The owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment will not be given extensions of the timeframes for closure.
- e) Semi-Annual Reports. The owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment closing under the time frames in subsection (d)(2)(B) and (d)(2)(C) shall prepare semi-annual reports consistent with the requirements in 40 CFR 257.103 until the owner or operator has initiated closure.
- f) An owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment required to close pursuant to this Section must prepare the notification required under Section 845.730(d) that the CCR surface impoundment is closing under this Section.
- g) Closure Prioritization
 - 1) The owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment required to close under this Section must assign the CCR surface impoundment to one of the following categories. Category 1 has the highest priority for closure. Category 7 has the lowest priority category for closure.
 - A) Category 1 includes CCR surface impoundments that have impacted an existing potable water supply well or that have impacted groundwater quality within the setback of an existing potable water supply well.
 - B) Category 2 includes CCR surface impoundments that are an imminent threat to human health or the environment as determined by the Agency pursuant to subsection (g)(5).
 - C) Category 3 includes CCR surface impoundments located in areas of environmental justice concern as determined by the Agency pursuant to subsection (g)(6).
 - D) Category 4 includes inactive CCR surface impoundments that have an exceedance of the groundwater protection standards in Section 845.600.
 - E) Category 5 includes existing CCR surface impoundments that have exceedances of the groundwater protection standards in Section 845.600.
 - F) Category 6 includes inactive CCR surface impoundments that are in compliance with the groundwater protection standards in Section 845.600.

- G) Category 7 includes existing CCR surface impoundments that are in compliance with the groundwater protection standards in Section 845.600.
- 2) If a CCR surface impoundment can be categorized in more than one category, the owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment must assign the CCR surface impoundment the highest priority category.
- 3) Whenever an owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment has more than one CCR surface impoundment that must close under this Section, the owner or operator shall close the CCR surface impoundments in order of priority.
- 4) If the CCR surface impoundment meets the criteria for Category 1, the owner or operator must take immediate steps to mitigate the impact to any existing potable water supply. The owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment shall act to replace the water supply with a supply of equal or better quality and quantity within 30 days of notice that such impact has occurred.
- 5) The Agency may designate a CCR surface impoundment as a Category 2 surface impoundment when:
 - A) the CCR surface impoundment has failed to document that the calculated factors of safety for the CCR surface impoundment achieve the minimum safety factors specified in Section 845.460(a)(1) through (5);
 - B) the CCR surface impoundment has not demonstrated compliance with the location restrictions in Subpart C of this Part;
 - C) the owner or operator has been enjoined pursuant to 415 ILCS 5/43;
 - D) an exceedance of the groundwater protection standards in Section 845.600 has migrated off-site; or
 - E) the Agency finds that an emergency condition exists creating an immediate danger to public health or welfare, or the environment.
- 6) For the purposes of this Part and only this Part, areas of environmental justice concern are identified as any area that meets either of the following:
 - A) any area within one-mile of a census block group where the number of low-income persons is twice the statewide average, where low income means the number or percent of a census block group's

population in households where the household income is less than or equal to twice the federal poverty level; or

- B) any area within one-mile of a census block group where the number of minority persons is twice the statewide average, where minority means the number or percent of individuals in a census block group who list their racial status as a race other than white alone or list their ethnicity as Hispanic or Latino.
- 7) For purposes of subsection (6), if any part of a facility falls within one-mile of the census block group, the entire facility, including all of its CCR surface impoundments, shall be considered an area of environmental justice concern.
- 8) The Agency may designate a CCR surface impoundment as another Category when site-specific conditions contradict the designations provided by the owner or operator in Section 845.700(c) and the categories in Sections 845.700(g)(1)(A) through 845.700(g)(1)(G).
- h) Application Schedule
 - 1) Category 1, Category 2, Category 3, and Category 4 CCR surface impoundment owners or operators must submit either a construction permit application containing a final closure plan or submit a construction permit application to retrofit the CCR surface impoundment in accordance with the requirements of this Part no later than January 1, 2022.
 - 2) Category 5 CCR surface impoundment owners or operators must submit either a construction permit application containing a final closure plan or submit a construction permit application to retrofit the CCR surface impoundment in accordance with the requirements of this Part no later than July 1, 2022.
 - 3) Category 6 and Category 7 CCR surface impoundment owners or operators must submit either a construction permit application containing a final closure plan or submit a construction permit application to retrofit the CCR surface impoundment in accordance with the requirements of this Part no later than July 1, 2023.
 - 4) Owners or operators consolidating one or more CCR surface impoundments for closure must meet the application schedule of the highest priority CCR surface impoundment.
 - 5) If the Agency denies a construction permit application submitted pursuant to this Section, the owner and operator must submit a revised construction permit application addressing all deficiencies identified by the Agency. The

revised construction permit application for closure must be submitted to the Agency within 90 days after the Agency's denial if the Agency's denial is not appealed pursuant to Section 845.270. If the Agency's denial is appealed, the owner or operator must submit a revised construction permit application for closure within 90 days after a final decision by the Illinois Pollution Control Board is rendered. The owner or operator's proposed response to all deficiencies identified by the Agency in a public meeting with interested and affected parties held pursuant to Section 845.240.

Section 845.710 Closure Alternatives

- a) Closure of a CCR surface impoundment, or any lateral expansion of a CCR surface impoundment, must be completed either by leaving the CCR in place and installing a final cover system or through removal of the CCR and decontamination of the CCR surface impoundment, as described in Sections 845.720 through 845.760.
- b) Before selecting a closure method, the owner or operator of each CCR surface impoundment must complete a closure alternatives analysis. The closure alternatives analysis must examine the following for each closure alternative:
 - 1) the long- and short-term effectiveness and protectiveness of the closure method, including identification and analyses of the following factors:
 - A) the magnitude of reduction of existing risks;
 - B) the magnitude of residual risks in terms of likelihood of future releases of CCR;
 - C) the type and degree of long-term management required, including monitoring, operation, and maintenance;
 - D) the short-term risks that might be posed to the community or the environment during implementation of such a closure, including potential threats to human health and the environment associated with excavation, transportation, and re-disposal of contaminants;
 - E) the time until closure and post-closure care or the completion of groundwater monitoring pursuant to Section 845.740(b) is completed;
 - F) the potential for exposure of humans and environmental receptors to remaining wastes, considering the potential threat to human health and the environment associated with excavation, transportation, redisposal, containment or changes in groundwater flow;

- G) the long-term reliability of the engineering and institutional controls, including an analysis of any off-site, nearby destabilizing activities; and
- H) potential need for future corrective action of the closure alternative.
- 2) the effectiveness of the closure method in controlling future releases based on analyses of the following factors:
 - A) the extent to which containment practices will reduce further releases; and
 - B) the extent to which treatment technologies may be used.
- 3) the ease or difficulty of implementing a potential closure method based on analyses of the following types of factors:
 - A) degree of difficulty associated with constructing the technology;
 - B) expected operational reliability of the technologies;
 - C) need to coordinate with and obtain necessary approvals and permits from other agencies;
 - D) availability of necessary equipment and specialists; and
 - E) available capacity and location of needed treatment, storage, and disposal services.
- 4) the degree to which the concerns of the residents living within communities where the CCR will be handled, transported and disposed are addressed by the closure method.
- c) The owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment must analyze complete removal of the CCR as one closure alternative in the closure alternatives analysis. The closure alternative analysis must identify whether the facility has an onsite landfill with remaining capacity, which can legally accept CCR, and, if not, whether constructing an onsite landfill is possible. The owner and operator of the CCR surface impoundment must include any other closure method in the alternatives analysis if requested by the Agency.
- d) The analysis for each alternative completed pursuant to this Section must:
 - 1) meet or exceed a class 4 estimate under the AACE Classification Standard, incorporated by reference in Section 845.150, or a comparable classification practice as provided in the AACE Classification Standard;

- 2) contain the results of groundwater contaminant transport modeling and calculations showing how the closure alternative will achieve compliance with the applicable groundwater protection standards;
- 3) include a description of the fate and transport of contaminants with the closure alternative over time including consideration of seasonal variations; and
- 4) assess impacts to waters in the state.
- e) At least 30 days before submission of a construction permit application for closure, the owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment must discuss the results of the closure alternatives analysis in a public meeting with interested and affected parties as required by Section 845.240.
- f) After completion of the public meeting pursuant to subsection (e), the owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment must select a closure method and submit a final closure plan to the Agency pursuant to Section 845.720(b). All materials demonstrating completion of the closure alternatives analysis specified in this Section must be submitted with the final closure plan.
- g) The selected closure method must meet the requirements and standards of this Part, ensure the protection of human health and the environment, and achieve compliance with the groundwater protection standards in Section 845.600.

Section 845.720 Closure Plan

- a) Preliminary written closure plan
 - 1) Content of the preliminary closure plan. The owner or operator of a new CCR surface impoundment or an existing CCR surface impoundment not required to close under Section 845.700 must prepare a preliminary written closure plan that describes the steps necessary to close the CCR surface impoundment at any point during the active life of the CCR surface impoundment consistent with recognized and generally accepted engineering practices. The preliminary written closure plan must include, at a minimum, the information specified in subsections (a)(1)(A) through (F) of this Section.
 - A) A narrative description of how the CCR surface impoundment will be closed in accordance with this Part.
 - B) If closure of the CCR surface impoundment will be accomplished through removal of CCR from the CCR surface impoundment, a

description of the procedures to remove the CCR and decontaminate the CCR surface impoundment in accordance with Section 845.740.

- C) If closure of the CCR surface impoundment will be accomplished by leaving CCR in place, a description of the final cover system, designed in accordance with Section 845.750, and the methods and procedures to be used to install the final cover. The closure plan must also discuss how the final cover system will achieve the performance standards specified in Section 845.750.
- D) An estimate of the maximum inventory of CCR ever on-site over the active life of the CCR surface impoundment.
- E) An estimate of the largest area of the CCR surface impoundment ever requiring a final cover as required by Section 845.750 at any time during the CCR surface impoundment's active life.
- A schedule for completing all activities necessary to satisfy the F) closure criteria in this Section, including an estimate of the year in which all closure activities for the CCR surface impoundment will be completed. The schedule should provide sufficient information to describe the sequential steps that will be taken to close the CCR surface impoundment, including identification of major milestones such as coordinating with and obtaining necessary approvals and permits from other agencies, the dewatering and stabilization phases of CCR surface impoundment closure, or installation of the final cover system, and the estimated timeframes to complete each step or phase of CCR surface impoundment closure. When preparing the preliminary written closure plan, if the owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment estimates that the time required to complete closure will exceed the timeframes specified in Section 845.760(a), the preliminary written closure plan must include the site-specific information, factors and considerations that would support any time extension sought under Section 845.760(b).
- 2) The owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment must submit the preliminary written closure plan to the Agency with its initial operating permit application. The owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment must submit the most recently amended preliminary closure plan to the Agency with each operating permit renewal application. The owner or operator must place preliminary and amended preliminary written closure plans in the facility's operating record as required by Section 845.800(d)(19).
- 3) Amendment of a preliminary written closure plan.

- A) The owner or operator may amend the preliminary written closure plan at any time.
- B) The owner or operator must amend the preliminary written closure plan whenever:
 - i) There is a change in the operation of the CCR surface impoundment that would substantially affect the written closure plan in effect; or
 - ii) Before closure activities have commenced, unanticipated events necessitate a revision of the written closure plan.
- C) The owner or operator must amend the closure plan at least 60 days prior to a planned change in the operation of the facility or CCR surface impoundment, or no later than 60 days after an unanticipated event requires the need to revise an existing written closure plan.
- 4) The owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment must obtain a written certification from a qualified professional engineer that the initial and any amendment of the preliminary written closure plan meets the requirements of this Part.
- b) Final Closure Plan
 - 1) The owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment must submit, as a part of a construction permit application for closure, a final closure plan to the Agency before the installation of a final cover system or removal of CCR from the surface impoundment for the purpose of closure.
 - 2) Except as otherwise provided in Section 22.59 of the Act, the owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment must not close a CCR surface impoundment without a construction permit issued pursuant to this Part.
 - 3) The final closure plan must identify the proposed selected closure method, and include the information required in subsection (a)(1) of this Section and the closure alternatives analysis as specified in Section 845.710.
 - 4) If a final written closure plan revision is necessary after closure activities have commenced for a CCR surface impoundment, the owner or operator must submit a request to modify the construction permit no later than 60 days following the triggering event.
 - 5) The owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment must obtain a written certification from a qualified professional engineer that the final written closure plan meets the requirements of this Part.

Section 845.730 Initiation of Closure

Initiation of closure activities. Except as provided for in this Section, the owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment must initiate closure of the CCR surface impoundment no later than the applicable timeframes specified in either subsections (a) or (b) of this Section. For purposes of this Part, closure of the CCR surface impoundment has been initiated if the owner or operator has ceased placing waste in the CCR surface impoundment and has submitted to the Agency a construction permit application pursuant to Section 845.220(d).

- a) Known Final Receipt. The owner or operator must initiate closure of the CCR surface impoundment no later than 30 days after the date on which the CCR surface impoundment either:
 - 1) Receives the known final placement of waste, either CCR or any non-CCR waste stream; or
 - 2) Removes the known final volume of CCR from the CCR surface impoundment for the purpose of beneficial use of CCR.
- b) Temporarily Idled CCR Surface Impoundments.
 - Except as provided by subsection (b)(2) of this Section, the owner or operator must initiate closure of a CCR surface impoundment that has not received CCR or any non-CCR waste stream or is no longer removing CCR for the purpose of beneficial use within two years of the last receipt of waste or within two years of the last removal of CCR material for the purpose of beneficial use.
 - 2) Notwithstanding subsection (b)(1) of this Section, the owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment may secure an additional two years to initiate closure of the idle surface impoundment if the Agency approves the owner or operator's written demonstration that the CCR surface impoundment will continue to accept wastes or will start removing CCR for the purpose of beneficial use. The documentation must be supported by, at a minimum, the information specified in subsections (b)(2)(A) and (B) of this Section. The owner or operator may obtain two-year extensions provided the owner or operator continues to be able to demonstrate that there is reasonable likelihood that the CCR surface impoundment will accept wastes in the foreseeable future or will remove CCR from the surface impoundment for the purpose of beneficial use. The owner or operator must place each Agency approved demonstration, if more than one time extension is sought, in the facility's operating record as required by Section 845.800(d)(20) prior to the end of any two-year period.

- A) Information documenting that the CCR surface impoundment has remaining storage or disposal capacity or that the CCR surface impoundment can have CCR removed for the purpose of beneficial use; and
- B) Information demonstrating that that there is a reasonable likelihood that the CCR surface impoundment will resume receiving CCR or non-CCR waste streams in the foreseeable future or that CCR can be removed for the purpose of beneficial use. The narrative must include a best estimate as to when the CCR surface impoundment will resume receiving CCR or non-CCR waste streams. The situations listed in subsections (b)(2)(B)(i) through (iv) of this Section are examples of situations that would support a determination that the CCR surface impoundment will resume receiving CCR or non-CCR waste streams in the foreseeable future.
 - Normal plant operations include periods during which the CCR surface impoundment does not receive CCR or non-CCR waste streams, such as the alternating use of two or more CCR surface impoundments whereby at any point in time one CCR surface impoundment is receiving CCR while CCR is being removed from a second CCR surface impoundment after its dewatering.
 - The CCR surface impoundment is dedicated to a coal-fired boiler surface impoundment that is temporarily idled (e.g., CCR is not being generated) and there is a reasonable likelihood that the coal-fired boiler will resume operations in the future.
 - iii) The CCR surface impoundment is dedicated to an operating coal-fired boiler (i.e., CCR is being generated); however, no CCR are being placed in the CCR surface impoundment because the CCR is being entirely diverted to beneficial uses, but there is a reasonable likelihood that the CCR surface impoundment will again be used in the foreseeable future.
 - iv) The CCR surface impoundment currently receives only non-CCR waste streams and those non-CCR waste streams are not generated for an extended period of time, but there is a reasonable likelihood that the CCR surface impoundment will again receive non-CCR waste streams in the future.
- 3) In order to obtain additional time extension(s) to initiate closure of a CCR surface impoundment beyond the two years provided by subsection (b)(1) of this Section, the owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment

must submit the demonstration required by subsection (b)(2) of this Section to the Agency for review and approval. The written documentation must include the following statement signed by the owner or operator or an authorized representative:

I CERTIFY UNDER PENALTY OF LAW THAT I HAVE PERSONALLY EXAMINED AND AM FAMILIAR WITH THE INFORMATION SUBMITTED IN THIS DEMONSTRATION AND ALL ATTACHED DOCUMENTS, AND THAT, BASED ON MY INQUIRY OF THOSE INDIVIDUALS IMMEDIATELY RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING THE INFORMATION, I BELIEVE THAT THE SUBMITTED INFORMATION IS TRUE, ACCURATE, AND COMPLETE. I AM AWARE THAT THERE ARE SIGNIFICANT PENALTIES FOR SUBMITTING FALSE INFORMATION, INCLUDING THE POSSIBILITY OF FINE AND IMPRISONMENT.

- c) The timeframes specified in subsections (a) and (b) of this Section do not apply to an owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment closing the CCR surface impoundment as required by Section 845.700:
- d) No later than the date the owner or operator initiates closure of a CCR surface impoundment, the owner or operator must prepare a notification of intent to close a CCR surface impoundment. The notification must be placed in the facility's operating record as required by Section 845.800(d)(21).

Section 845.740 Closure by Removal

- a) Closure by removal of CCR. An owner or operator may elect to close a CCR surface impoundment by removing and decontaminating all areas affected by releases from the CCR surface impoundment. CCR removal and decontamination of the CCR surface impoundment are complete when the CCR in the surface impoundment and any areas affected by releases from the CCR surface impoundment have been removed.
- b) After closure by removal has been completed, the owner or operator must continue groundwater monitoring pursuant to Subpart F for three years after the completion of closure or for three years after groundwater monitoring does not show an exceedance of the groundwater protection standard established pursuant to Section 845.600, whichever is longer.
- c) The owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment removing CCR during closure must responsibly handle and transport the CCR consistent with this subsection.
 - 1) Transportation

A) Manifests

- i) When transporting CCR off-site by motor vehicle, manifests must be carried as specified in 35 Ill. Adm. Code 809. For purposes of this Part, coal combustion fly ash that is removed from a CCR surface impoundment is not exempt from the manifest requirement.
- ii) When transporting CCR off-site by any other mode or method, including but not limited to trains or barges, manifests must be carried specifying, at a minimum, the following information: the volume of the CCR; the location from which the CCR was loaded onto the mode of transportation and the date the loading took place; and the location where the CCR is being taken and the date it will be delivered.
- B) The owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment from which CCR is removed and transported off-site shall develop a CCR transportation plan, which shall include:
 - i) identification of the transportation method selected, including whether a combination of transportation methods will be used;
 - ii) the frequency, time of day, and routes of CCR transportation;
 - iii) any measures to minimize noise, traffic, and safety concerns caused by the transportation of the CCR;
 - iv) measures to limit fugitive dust from any transportation of CCR;
 - v) installation and use of a vehicle washing station;
 - vi) a means of covering the CCR for any mode of CCR transportation, including conveyor belts; and
 - vii) a requirement that, for transport by motor vehicle, the CCR is transported by a permitted special waste hauler pursuant to 35 Ill. Adm. Code 809.201.
- 2) The owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment must develop and implement onsite dust controls, which must include:

- A) A water spray or other commercial dust suppressant to suppress dust in CCR handling areas and haul roads; and
- B) CCR must be handled to minimize airborne particulates and offsite particulate movement during any weather event or condition.
- 3) The owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment must provide the following public notices:
 - A) signage must be posted at the property entrance warning of the hazards of CCR dust inhalation; and
 - B) when CCR is transported off-site, a written notice explaining the hazards of CCR dust inhalation, the transportation plan and tentative transportation schedule must be provided to units of local government through which the CCR will be transported.
- 4) The owner or operator of the surface impoundment must take measures to prevent contamination of surface water, groundwater, soil and sediments from the removal of CCR, including but not limited to the following:
 - A) CCR removed from the surface impoundment may only be temporarily stored, and must be stored in a lined landfill, CCR surface impoundment, enclosed structure or a CCR storage pile.
 - B) CCR storage piles shall
 - i) be tarped or constructed with wind barriers to suppress dust and to limit stormwater contact with storage piles;
 - ii) be periodically wetted or have periodic application of dust suppressants;
 - iii) have a storage pad, or a geomembrane liner, with a hydraulic conductivity no greater than 1×10^{-7} cm/sec, that is properly sloped to allow appropriate drainage;
 - iv) be tarped over the edge of the storage pad where possible;
 - v) be constructed with fixed and mobile berms where appropriate to reduce run-on and run-off of stormwater to and from the storage pile, and minimize stormwater-CCR contact; and

- vi) have a groundwater monitoring system that is consistent with the requirements of Section 845.630 and approved by the Agency.
- C) The owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment shall incorporate general housekeeping procedures such as daily cleanup of CCR, tarping of trucks, maintaining the pad and equipment, and good practices during unloading and loading.
- D) The owner or operator of the CCR must minimize the amount of time the CCR is exposed to precipitation and wind.
- E) The discharge of stormwater runoff which has come in contact with CCR must be covered by an individual National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit. The owner or operator shall develop and implement a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) in addition to any other requirements of the facility's NPDES permit. Any construction permit application for closure must include a copy of the SWPPP.
- d) At the end of each month where CCR is being removed from a CCR surface impoundment, the owner or operator must prepare a report that describes the weather, precipitation amounts, the amount of CCR removed from the CCR surface impoundment, the amount and location of CCR being stored on-site, the amount of CCR transported offsite, the implementation of good housekeeping procedures required by Section 845.740(c)(4)(C), the implementation of dust control measures, and documents worker safety measures implemented. The owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment must place the monthly report in the facility's operating record as required by Section 845.800(d)(22).
- e) Upon completion of CCR removal and decontamination of the CCR surface impoundment pursuant to subsection (a) of this Section, the owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment must submit to the Agency a completion of CCR removal and decontamination report and a certification from a qualified professional engineer that CCR removal and decontamination of the CCR surface impoundment has been completed in accordance with this Section. The owner or operator must place the CCR removal and decontamination report and certification in the facility's operating record as required by Section 845.800(d)(30).
- f) Upon completion of groundwater monitoring required pursuant to subsection (b) of this Section, the owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment must submit to the Agency a completion of groundwater monitoring report and a certification from a qualified professional engineer that groundwater monitoring has been completed in accordance with this Section. The owner or operator must place the groundwater monitoring report and certification in the facility's operating record as required by Section 845.800(d)(23).

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 03/30/2020 **R2020-019**

Section 845.750 Closure with a Final Cover System

Closure performance standard when leaving CCR in place:

- a) The owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment must ensure that, at a minimum, the CCR surface impoundment is closed in a manner that will:
 - 1) Control, minimize or eliminate, to the maximum extent feasible, postclosure infiltration of liquids into the waste and releases of CCR, leachate, or contaminated run-off to the ground or surface waters or to the atmosphere;
 - 2) Preclude the probability of future impoundment of water, sediment, or slurry;
 - 3) Include measures that provide for major slope stability to prevent the sloughing or movement of the final cover system during the closure and post-closure care period;
 - 4) Minimize the need for further maintenance of the CCR surface impoundment; and
 - 5) Be completed in the shortest amount of time consistent with recognized and generally accepted engineering practices.
- b) Drainage and stabilization of CCR surface impoundments. The owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment or any lateral expansion of a CCR surface impoundment must meet the requirements of subsection (b) of this Section prior to installing the final cover system required under subsection (c) of this Section.
 - 1) Free liquids must be eliminated by removing liquid wastes or solidifying the remaining wastes and waste residues.
 - 2) Remaining wastes must be stabilized sufficient to support the final cover system.
- c) Final cover system. If a CCR surface impoundment is closed by leaving CCR in place, the owner or operator must install a final cover system that is designed to minimize infiltration and erosion, and at a minimum, meets the requirements of this subsection (c) of this Section. The final cover system must consist of a low permeability layer and a final protective layer. The design of the final cover system must be included in the preliminary and final written closure plans required by Section 845.720 and the construction permit application for closure submitted to the Agency.

- 1) Standards for the low permeability layer. The low permeability layer must have a permeability less than or equal to the permeability of any bottom liner system or natural subsoils present, or a hydraulic conductivity no greater than 1×10^{-7} cm/sec, whichever is less. The low permeability layer must be constructed in accordance with the following standards in either subsections (c)(1)(A) or (c)(1)(B) of this Section, unless the owner or operator demonstrates that another low permeability layer construction technique or material provides equivalent or superior performance to the requirements of either subsections (c)(1)(A) or (c)(1)(B) of this Section and is approved by the Agency.
 - A) A compacted earth layer constructed in accordance with the following standards:
 - i) The minimum allowable thickness must be 0.91 meter (3 feet); and
 - ii) The layer must be compacted to achieve a hydraulic conductivity of 1×10^{-7} cm/sec or less and minimize void spaces.
 - B) A geomembrane constructed in accordance with the following standards:
 - i) The geosynthetic membrane must have a minimum thickness of 40 mil (0.04 inches) and, in terms of hydraulic flux, be equivalent or superior to a 3 foot layer of soil with a hydraulic conductivity of 1×10^{-7} cm/sec;
 - ii) The geomembrane must have strength to withstand the normal stresses imposed by the waste stabilization process; and
 - iii) The geomembrane must be placed over a prepared base free from sharp objects and other materials that may cause damage.
- 2) Standards for the final protective layer. The final protective layer must meet the following requirements, unless the owner or operator demonstrates that another final protective layer construction technique or material provides equivalent or superior performance to the requirements of subsection (c)(2) of this Section and is approved by the Agency.
 - A) Cover the entire low permeability layer;

- B) Be at least 3 feet thick and must be sufficient to protect the low permeability layer from freezing and minimize root penetration of the low permeability layer;
- C) Consist of soil material capable of supporting vegetation;
- D) Be placed as soon as possible after placement of the low permeability layer; and
- E) Be covered with vegetation to minimize wind and water erosion.
- 3) The disruption of the integrity of the final cover system must be minimized through a design that accommodates settling and subsidence.
- 4) The owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment must obtain a written certification from a qualified professional engineer that the design of the final cover system meets the requirements of this Section.
- d) This subsection specifies the allowable uses of CCR in the closure of CCR surface impoundments closing pursuant Section 845.700. Notwithstanding the prohibition on further placement in Section 845.700, CCR may be placed in such surface impoundments, but only for the purposes of grading and contouring in the design and construction of the final cover system if:
 - 1) The CCR placed must have been generated at the facility and be located at the facility at the time closure was initiated;
 - 2) CCR must be placed entirely above the elevation of CCR in the surface impoundment, following dewatering and stabilization as required in subsection (b);
 - 3) The CCR must be placed entirely within the perimeter berms of the CCR surface impoundment; and
 - 4) The final cover system must be constructed with either:
 - A) A slope not steeper than 5% grade after allowance for settlement; or
 - B) At a steeper grade, if the Agency determines that the steeper slope is necessary based on conditions at the site, to facilitate run-off and minimize erosion, and that side slopes are evaluated for erosion potential based on a stability analysis to evaluate possible erosion potential. The stability analysis, at a minimum, must evaluate the site geology; characterize soil shear strength; construct a slope stability model; establish groundwater and seepage conditions, if

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 03/30/2020 **R2020-019**

any; select loading conditions; locate critical failure surface; and iterate until minimum factor of safety is achieved.

Section 845.760 Completion of Closure Activities

- a) Except as provided for in subsection (b) of this Section, the owner or operator must complete closure of existing and new CCR surface impoundments and any lateral expansion of a CCR surface impoundment, within the timeframe approved by the Agency in the final closure plan, or within five years of obtaining a construction permit for closure, whichever is less.
- b) Extensions of closure timeframes.
 - 1) The timeframes for completing closure of a CCR surface impoundment specified under subsection (a) of this Section may be extended if the owner or operator has demonstrated to the Agency that it was not feasible to complete closure of the CCR surface impoundment within the required timeframes due to factors beyond the facility's control.
 - 2) The demonstration must include a narrative discussion explaining the basis for additional time.
 - 3) The owner or operator must submit the demonstration to the Agency with a renewal construction permit application for closure.
 - 4) Factors that may support such a demonstration include:
 - A) Complications stemming from the climate and weather, such as unusual amounts of precipitation or a significantly shortened construction season;
 - B) Time required to dewater a surface impoundment due to the volume of CCR contained in the CCR surface impoundment or the characteristics of the CCR in the surface impoundment;
 - C) The geology and terrain surrounding the CCR surface impoundment will affect the amount of material needed to close the CCR surface impoundment; or
 - D) Time required or delays caused by the need to coordinate with and obtain necessary approvals and permits from the Agency or other agencies.
- c) Maximum time extensions.

- 1) CCR surface impoundments of 40 acres or smaller that are not closing by removal may extend the time to complete closure by no longer than two years.
- 2) CCR surface impoundments larger than 40 acres that are not closing by removal may extend the timeframe to complete closure of the CCR surface impoundment multiple times, in two-year increments. For each two-year extension sought, the owner or operator must substantiate the factual circumstances demonstrating the need for the extension. No more than a total of five two-year extensions may be obtained for any CCR surface impoundment.
- 3) CCR surface impoundments that are closing by removal may extend the time to complete closure multiple times, in two-year increments. For each two-year extension sought, the owner or operator must substantiate the factual circumstances demonstrating the need for the extension.
- d) In order to obtain additional time extension(s) to complete closure of a CCR surface impoundment beyond the times provided by subsection (a) of this Section, the owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment must include with the demonstration required by subsection (b) of this Section the following statement signed by the owner or operator or an authorized representative:

I CERTIFY UNDER PENALTY OF LAW THAT I HAVE PERSONALLY EXAMINED AND AM FAMILIAR WITH THE INFORMATION SUBMITTED IN THIS DEMONSTRATION AND ALL ATTACHED DOCUMENTS, AND THAT, BASED ON MY INQUIRY OF THOSE INDIVIDUALS IMMEDIATELY RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING THE INFORMATION, I BELIEVE THAT THE SUBMITTED INFORMATION IS TRUE, ACCURATE, AND COMPLETE. I AM AWARE THAT THERE ARE SIGNIFICANT PENALTIES FOR SUBMITTING FALSE INFORMATION, INCLUDING THE POSSIBILITY OF FINE AND IMPRISONMENT.

- e) Upon completion of all closure activities required by this Part and approved in the final closure plan, the owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment must submit to the Agency a closure report and a closure certification.
 - 1) The closure report must contain supporting documentation, including, but not limited to:
 - A) Engineering and hydrogeology reports, including, but not limited to, monitoring well completion reports and boring logs, all CQA reports, certifications, and designations of CQA officers-in-absentia required by Section 845.290 of this Part;

- B) Photographs including time, date and location information of the photograph of the final cover system and groundwater collection system, if applicable, and any other photographs relied upon to document construction activities;
- C) A written summary of closure requirements and completed activities as set forth in the closure plan and this Part; and
- D) Any other information relied upon by the qualified professional engineer in making the closure certification.
- 2) The closure certification must include a statement from a qualified professional engineer that closure has been completed in accordance with the Agency-approved final closure plan and the requirements of this Section.
- 3) The owner or operator must place the closure report and certification in the facility's operating record as required by Section 845.800(d)(23).
- f) Within 30 days of the Agency's approval of the closure report and closure certification submitted pursuant to subsection (e) of this Section, the owner or operator must prepare a notification of closure of the CCR surface impoundment. The notification must include the certification by a qualified professional engineer as required by subsection (e)(2) of this Section. The owner or operator must place the notification in the facility's operating record as required by Section 845.800(d)(24).
- g) If an owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment has completed closure of the CCR surface impoundment before the effective date of this Part, the owner or operator must notify the Agency of the completed closure by September 30, 2021 if such notification has not previously been submitted.
- h) Deed notations.
 - 1) Following closure of a CCR surface impoundment, the owner or operator must record a notation on the deed to the property, or some other instrument that is normally examined during title search.
 - 2) The notation on the deed must in perpetuity notify any potential purchaser of the property that:
 - A) The land has been used as a CCR surface impoundment; and
 - B) Its use is restricted under the post-closure care requirements as provided by Section 845.780(d)(1)(C) or groundwater monitoring requirements in Section 845.740(b).

3) Within 30 days of recording a notation on the deed to the property, the owner or operator must submit to the Agency a notification stating that the notation has been recorded. The owner or operator must place the notification in the facility's operating record as required by 845.800(d)(25).

Section 845.770 Retrofitting

Retrofit of a CCR surface impoundment must be completed in accordance with the requirements of this Section.

- a) To retrofit an existing CCR surface impoundment, the owner or operator must:
 - 1) First remove all CCR, including any liners, as necessary, and contaminated soils and sediments from the CCR surface impoundment; and
 - 2) Comply with the requirements in Sections 845.410 and 845.420.
- b) A CCR surface impoundment undergoing a retrofit remains subject to all other requirements of this Part, including the requirement to conduct any necessary corrective action.
- c) Written retrofit plan
 - 1) Content of the plan. The owner or operator must prepare a written retrofit plan that describes the steps necessary to retrofit the CCR surface impoundment consistent with recognized and generally accepted engineering practices. The written retrofit plan must include, at a minimum, all of the following information:
 - A) A narrative description of the specific measures that will be taken to retrofit the CCR surface impoundment in accordance with this section.
 - B) A description of the procedures to remove all CCR, liners as necessary, and contaminated soils and sediments from the CCR surface impoundment.
 - C) An estimate of the maximum amount of CCR and other contaminated materials that will be removed as part of the retrofit operation.
 - D) An estimate of the largest area of the CCR surface impoundment that will be affected by the retrofit operation.

- E) A schedule for completing all activities necessary to satisfy the retrofit criteria in this Section, including an estimate of the year in which retrofit activities of the CCR surface impoundment will be completed.
- 2) The owner or operator must submit the written retrofit plan with the construction permit application and must obtain a construction permit before retrofitting a CCR surface impoundment.
- 3) Amendment of a written retrofit plan.
 - A) The owner or operator may submit a permit modification application to amend the initial or any subsequent written retrofit plan at any time.
 - B) The owner or operator must seek to amend the written retrofit plan whenever:
 - i) There is a change in the operation of the CCR surface impoundment that would substantially affect the written retrofit plan in effect; or
 - ii) unanticipated events necessitate a revision of the written retrofit plan either before or after retrofit activities have commenced.
 - C) The owner or operator must seek to amend the retrofit plan at least 60 days prior to a planned change in the operation of the facility or CCR surface impoundment, or no later than 60 days after an unanticipated event requires the revision of an existing written retrofit plan. If a written retrofit plan needs to be revised after retrofit activities have commenced for a CCR surface impoundment, the owner or operator must submit a request to modify the construction permit no later than 60 days following the triggering event.
- 4) The owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment must obtain a written certification from a qualified professional engineer that the activities outlined in the written retrofit plan, including any amendment of the plan, meet the requirements of this Section.
- d) No later than the date the owner or operator submits a construction permit application to the Agency to retrofit a CCR surface impoundment, the owner or operator must prepare a notification of intent to retrofit a CCR surface impoundment. The owner or operator has completed the notification when it has been placed in the facility's operating record as required by Section 845.800(d)(26).

- e) When activities related to retrofitting the CCR surface impoundment include the removal of CCR from the surface impoundment, the handling and removal of CCR must be performed in a manner consistent with the requirements in Section 845.740.
- f) Deadline for completion of activities related to the retrofit of a CCR surface impoundment. Any CCR surface impoundment that is being retrofitted must complete all retrofit activities within the timeframe approved by the Agency in the retrofit plan, or within five years of obtaining a construction permit, whichever is less. The same procedures specified for the extension closure timeframes in Section 845.760(b) apply to extension of retrofit timeframes.
- g) Upon completion of all retrofit activities required by this Part and approved by the Agency in a construction permit, the owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment must submit to the Agency a retrofit completion report and certification.
 - 1) The retrofit completion report must contain supporting documentation, including, but not limited to:
 - A) Engineering and hydrogeology reports, including, but not limited to, monitoring well completion reports and boring logs, all CQA reports, certifications, and designations of CQA officers-in-absentia required by Section 845.290 of this Part;
 - B) Photographs including time, date and location information of the photograph of the liner system and leachate collection system, and any other photographs relied upon to document construction activities;
 - C) A written summary of retrofit requirements and completed activities as set forth in the construction permit and this Part; and
 - D) Any other information relied upon by the qualified professional engineer in making the closure certification.
 - 2) The retrofit certification must include a statement from a qualified professional engineer that retrofit has been completed in accordance with the retrofit plan specified in subsection (b) of this Section and the requirements of this Part.
 - 3) The owner or operator must place the retrofit completion report and certification in the facility's operating record as required by Section 845.800(d)(27).

- h) Within 30 days of the Agency's approval of the retrofit completion report and certification submitted pursuant to subsection (f) of this Section, the owner or operator must prepare a notification of completion of retrofit activities. The notification must include the certification by a qualified professional engineer as required by subsection (g)(2) of this Section. The owner or operator has completed the notification when it has been placed in the facility's operating record as required by Section 845.800(d)(28).
- i) At any time after the initiation of a CCR surface impoundment retrofit, the owner or operator may cease the retrofit and seek to initiate closure of the CCR surface impoundment in accordance with the requirements of this Subpart G. The owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment must obtain an approved construction permit for closure.

Section 845.780 Post-Closure Care Requirements

- a) Applicability
 - 1) Except as provided by subsection (a)(2) of this Section, this Section applies to the owners or operators of CCR surface impoundments who have completed an Agency approved closure.
 - 2) An owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment that elects to close a CCR surface impoundment by removing CCR as provided by Section 845.740 is not subject to the post-closure care criteria under this Section.
- b) Post-closure care maintenance requirements. Following closure of the CCR surface impoundment, the owner or operator must conduct post-closure care for the CCR surface impoundment, which must consist of at least the following:
 - 1) Maintaining the integrity and effectiveness of the final cover system, including making repairs to the final cover as necessary to correct the effects of settlement, subsidence, erosion, or other events, and preventing run-on and run-off from eroding or otherwise damaging the final cover;
 - 2) If the CCR surface impoundment is subject to the design criteria under Section 845.420, maintaining the integrity and effectiveness of the leachate collection and removal system and operating the leachate collection and removal system in accordance with the requirements of Section 845.420; and
 - 3) Maintaining the groundwater monitoring system and monitoring the groundwater in accordance with the requirements of Subpart F.
- c) Post-closure care period.

- 1) Except as provided by subsection (c)(2) of this Section, the owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment must conduct post-closure care for 30 years.
- 2) At the end of the 30-year post-closure care period, the owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment must continue to conduct post-closure care until the groundwater monitoring data shows the concentrations are:
 - A) below the groundwater protections standards in Section 845.600; and
 - B) not increasing for those constituents over background, using the statistical procedures and performance standards in Section 845.640(f) and (g), provided that:
 - i) concentrations have been reduced to the maximum extent feasible; and
 - ii) concentrations are protective of human health and the environment.
- d) Written post-closure care plan
 - Content of the plan. The owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment must prepare a written post-closure care plan that includes, at a minimum, the information specified in subsections (d)(1)(A) through (C) of this Section.
 - A) A description of the monitoring and maintenance activities required in subsection (b) of this Section for the CCR surface impoundment and the frequency at which these activities will be performed;
 - B) The name, address, telephone number, and email address of the person or office to contact about the facility during the post-closure care period; and
 - C) A description of the planned uses of the property during the postclosure care period. Post-closure use of the property shall not disturb the integrity of the final cover, liner(s), or any other component of the containment system, or the function of the monitoring systems unless necessary to comply with the requirements in this Part. Any other disturbance is allowed if the owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment demonstrates that disturbance of the final cover, liner, or other component of the containment system, including any removal of CCR, will not increase the potential threat to human health or the environment. The demonstration must be

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 03/30/2020 **R2020-019**

certified by a qualified professional engineer and must be submitted to the Agency.

- 2) Deadline to prepare the initial written post-closure care plan. The owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment must submit to the Agency an initial written post-closure care plan consistent with the requirements specified in subsection (d)(1) of this Section with its initial operating permit application.
- 3) Amendment of a written post-closure care plan.
 - A) The owner or operator may submit an operating permit modification application to amend the initial or any subsequent written postclosure care plan developed pursuant to subsection (d)(1) of this Section at any time.
 - B) The owner or operator must seek to amend the written closure care plan whenever:
 - i) There is a change in the operation of the CCR surface impoundment that would substantially affect the written post-closure care plan in effect; or
 - ii) unanticipated events necessitate a revision of the written post-closure care plan, after post-closure activities have commenced.
 - C) The owner or operator must seek to amend the written post-closure care plan at least 60 days prior to a planned change in the operation of the facility or CCR surface impoundment, or no later than 60 days after an unanticipated event requires the need to revise an existing written post-closure care plan. If a written post-closure care plan is revised after post-closure activities have commenced for a CCR surface impoundment, the owner or operator must submit a request to modify the operating permit no later than 30 days following the triggering event.
- 4) The owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment must obtain a written certification from a qualified professional engineer that the initial and any amendment of the written post-closure care plan meets the requirements of this Section.
- e) Upon the completion of the post-closure care period, the owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment must submit a request to the Agency to terminate postclosure care. The request must include a certification by a qualified professional engineer verifying that post-closure care has been completed in accordance with

the post-closure care plan specified in subsection (d) of this Section and the requirements of this Section.

f) Notification of completion of post-closure care period. Within 30 days of the Agency's approval of owner or operator's request to terminate post-closure care, the owner or operator must prepare a notification of completion of post-closure care and must place the notification in the facility's operating record as required by Section 845.800(d)(29).

SUBPART H: RECORDKEEPING

Section 845.800 Facility Operating Record

- a) Each owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment subject to the requirements of this Part must maintain files of all information required by this Section in a written operating record at the facility.
- b) Unless specified otherwise, each file must be retained for at least three years past the date the Agency approved the owner or operator's request to terminate postclosure care, when closure is with a final cover system, or the completion of groundwater monitoring pursuant to Section 845.740(b), when closure is by removal.
- c) An owner or operator of more than one CCR surface impoundment subject to the provisions of this Part may comply with the requirements of this Section in one recordkeeping system provided the system identifies each file by the name and identification number of each CCR surface impoundment. The files may be maintained on microfilm, on a computer, on computer disks, on a storage system accessible by a computer, on magnetic tape disks, or on microfiche.
- d) The owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment must place the following in the facility's operating record:
 - 1) copies of all permit applications and permits issued under this Part;
 - 2) documentation recording the public meetings held pursuant to Section 845.240;
 - 3) weekly CQA reports Section 845.290(b);
 - 4) hazard potential classification assessments for CCR surface impoundments, as required by Section 845.440(a)(3)(D);
 - 5) structural stability assessments for CCR surface impoundments, as required by Section 845.450(d)(4);

- 6) safety factor assessments for CCR surface impoundments, as required by Section 845.460(c)(4);
- 7) the CCR fugitive dust control plan and any subsequent amendment of the plan, as required by Section 845.500(b)(6), except that only the most recent fugitive dust control plan must be maintained in the facility's operating record irrespective of the time requirement specified in subsection (b) of this Section;
- 8) inflow design flood control system plans for CCR surface impoundments, as required by Section 845.510(c)(4)(D);
- 9) Emergency Action Plan, as required by Section 845.520(a), except that only the most recent EAP must be maintained in the facility's operating record irrespective of the time requirement specified in subsection (b) of this Section;
- 10) documentation prepared by the owner or operator recording all activations of the EAP as required Section 845.520(f);
- 11) documentation prepared by the owner or operator recording the annual faceto-face meeting or exercise between representatives of the owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment and the local emergency responders as required by Section 845.520(g);
- 12) Safety and Health Plan, as required by Section 845.530(a);
- 13) documentation recording the results of each inspection and instrumentation monitoring by a qualified person as required by Section 845.540(a)(1)(D);
- 14) annual consolidated report, as required by Section 845.550, which contains the following:
 - A) the annual CCR fugitive dust control report required by 845.500(c);
 - B) the annual inspection report as required by Section 845.540(b)(3); and
 - C) the annual groundwater monitoring and corrective action report as required by Section 845.610(e).
- 15) all groundwater monitoring data submitted to the Agency and any analysis performed, as required by Section 845.610(b)(3)(D);

- 16) within 30 days of detecting one or more monitored constituents above the groundwater protection standard, the notifications as required by Section 845.650(d);
- 17) the semi-annual report describing the progress in selecting and designing the remedy as required by Section 845.670(a);
- 18) within 30 days of completing the corrective action plan, the notification as required by Section 845.680(e);
- 19) the preliminary written closure plan, and any amendment of the plan, as required by Section 845.720(a), except that only the most recent closure plan must be maintained in the facility's operating record irrespective of the time requirement specified in subsection (b) of this Section;
- 20) the written demonstration(s), including the certification required by Section 845.730(b)(3), for a time extension for initiating closure as required by Section 845.730(b)(2);
- 21) the notification of intent to close a CCR surface impoundment as required by Section 845.730(d);
- 22) the monthly reports for closure by removal, as required by Section 845.740(d);
- 23) the closure report and certification, as required by Section 845.760(e)(3), or completion of groundwater monitoring report and certification, as required by Section 845.740(f);
- 24) the notification of completion of closure of a CCR surface impoundment as required by Section 845.760(f);
- 25) the notification recording a notation on the deed as required by Section 845.760(h);
- 26) the notification of intent to initiate retrofit of a CCR surface impoundment as required by Section 845.770(d);
- 27) the retrofit completion report and certification, as required by Section 845.770(g)(3);
- 28) the notification of completion of retrofit activities as required by Section 845.770(h);
- 29) the notification of completion of post-closure care period as required by Section 845.780(f);

- 30) the completion of CCR removal and decontamination report and certification, as required by Section 845.740(e); and
- 31) the most current cost estimates pursuant to Section 845.940(d).

Section 845.810 Publicly Accessible Internet Site Requirements

- a) Each owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment subject to the requirements of this Part must maintain a publicly accessible Internet site (CCR website) containing the information specified in this Section. The owner or operator's website must be titled "CCR Rule Compliance Data and Information."
- b) An owner or operator of more than one CCR surface impoundment subject to the provisions of this Part may comply with the requirements of this Section by using the same Internet site for multiple CCR surface impoundments provided the CCR website clearly delineates information by the name and identification number of each CCR surface impoundment.
- c) Unless otherwise required in this Section, the information required to be posted to the CCR website must be made available to the public on the CCR website until 3 years after post-closure care (where closure is with a final cover system) or the completion of groundwater monitoring pursuant to Section 845.740(b) (where closure is by removal).
- d) Unless otherwise required in this Section, the information must be posted to the CCR website within 30 days of placing the pertinent information required by Section 845.800 in the operating record.
- e) The owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment subject to this Part must place all the information specified under Section 845.800(d) on the owner or operator's CCR website.
- f) The owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment subject to this Part must place all the information specified under Section 845.240(e) on the owner or operator's CCR website at least 14 days prior to the public meeting.
- g) The owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment subject to this Part must notify the Agency of the web address of the publicly accessible Internet site, including any change to the web address. The Agency must maintain a list of these web addresses on the Agency's website.

SUBPART I: FINANCIAL ASSURANCE

Section 845.900 General Provisions

- a) This Subpart provides procedures by which the owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment, subject to this Part, provides financial assurance satisfying the requirements of Section 22.59(f) of the Act.
- b) The owner or operator must provide financial assurance to ensure the following:
 - 1) completion of closure;
 - 2) completion of post-closure care, if applicable; and
 - 3) remediation of releases from a CCR surface impoundment.
- c) The owner or operator shall maintain financial assurance equal to or greater than the current cost estimates calculated pursuant to Section 845.930 at all times, except as otherwise provided by Section 845.910.
- d) Financial assurance shall be provided, as specified in Section 845.950, by a trust agreement, a surety bond guaranteeing payment, a surety bond guaranteeing payment or performance, or an irrevocable letter of credit. The owner or operator shall provide financial assurance to the Agency within the timeframe(s) set forth in Section 845.950(c).
- e) This Subpart does not apply to the State of Illinois, its agencies and institutions, to any unit of local government, or to any not-for-profit electric cooperative as defined in Section 3.4 of the Electric Supplier Act [220 ILCS 30].
- f) The Agency is authorized to enter into such contracts and agreements as it may deem necessary to carry out the purposes of this Subpart and of Section 22.59(f) of the Act. Neither the State, nor the Director of the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency, nor any State employee shall be liable for any damages or injuries arising out of or resulting from any action taken under this Part.
- g) The Agency may sue in any court of competent jurisdiction to enforce its rights under financial instruments. The filing of an enforcement action before the Board is not a condition precedent to such an Agency action, except when this Subpart or the terms of the instrument provide otherwise.
- h) The Agency shall have the authority to approve or disapprove any financial assurance mechanism posted or submitted pursuant to this Subpart.
- i) The following Agency actions may be appealed to the Board as a permit denial pursuant to Section 845.270(e) and Section 22.59(f)(3) of the Act:
 - 1) A refusal to accept financial assurance tendered by the owner or operator;
 - 2) A refusal to release the owner or operator from the requirement to maintain

financial assurance;

- 3) A refusal to release excess funds from a trust;
- 4) A refusal to approve a reduction in the penal sum of a bond; and
- 5) A refusal to approve a reduction in the amount of a letter of credit.
- j) An owner or operator must notify the Agency by certified mail of the commencement of a voluntary or involuntary proceeding under Title 11 of the United States Code (Bankruptcy) naming any of the owners or operators as debtor, within 10 days after commencement of the proceeding.
- k) An owner or operator that fulfills the requirements of Sections 845.960, 845.970, 845.980, or 845.990 by obtaining a trust fund, surety bond, or letter of credit will be deemed to be without the required financial assurance in the event of bankruptcy of the trustee or issuing institution, or a suspension or revocation of the authority of the trustee institution to act as trustee or of the institution issuing the surety bond or letter of credit to issue such instruments. The owner or operator must establish alternative financial assurance within 60 days after such an event.

Section 845.910 Upgrading Financial Assurance

- a) The owner or operator shall increase the total amount of financial assurance so as to equal or exceed the current cost estimate within 60 days after either of the following occurrences:
 - 1) An increase in the current cost estimate; or
 - 2) A decrease in the value of a trust fund.
- b) The owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment shall annually make adjustments for inflation if required pursuant to Sections 845.930 or 845.940.

Section 845.920 Release of Financial Institution and Owner or Operator

- a) The Agency shall release a trustee, surety, or other financial institution when:
 - 1) An owner or operator substitutes alternative financial assurance such that the total financial assurance for the CCR surface impoundment is equal to or greater than the current cost estimate, without counting the amounts to be released; or
 - 2) The Agency releases the owner or operator from the requirements of this Subpart pursuant to subsection (b).
- b) The Agency will release an owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment from the requirements of this Subpart under the following circumstances:
 - 1) Completed Closure. In the Agency's approval of the closure report and certification pursuant to Section 845.760, the Agency will notify the owner or operator in writing that it is no longer required by this Subpart to maintain financial assurance for closure of the CCR surface impoundment.
 - 2) Completed Post-Closure Care. In the Agency's approval of the owner or operator's request to terminate post-closure care pursuant to Section 845.780, the Agency will notify the owner or operator in writing that it is no longer required by this Subpart to maintain financial assurance for post-closure care of the CCR surface impoundment.
 - 3) Completed Corrective Action. In the Agency's approval of the corrective action completion report and certification pursuant to Section 845.680, the Agency will notify the owner or operator in writing that it is no longer required by this Subpart to maintain financial assurance for corrective action.

Section 845.930 Cost Estimates

- a) The owner or operator shall prepare cost estimates for:
 - 1) the total costs for closure and post-closure care;
 - 2) preliminary corrective action costs; and
 - 3) the total costs of the correction action plan for remediation of any releases from a CCR surface impoundment.
- b) Written cost estimate for closure and post-closure
 - 1) The owner or operator must have a detailed written estimate, in current dollars, of the cost of closing the CCR surface impoundment in accordance with this Part and providing post-closure care on an annual basis, when required, in accordance with this Part. The cost estimate is the total cost for closure and post-closure care.
 - 2) The cost estimate must equal the cost of final closure and post-closure care at the point in the CCR surface impoundment's active life when the extent and manner of its operation would make closure and post-closure care the most expensive.
 - 3) The cost estimate must be based on the assumption that the Agency will contract with a third party at the appropriate prevailing wage(s), pursuant to

the Prevailing Wage Act, 820 ILCS 130, if applicable, to implement the closure and post-closure care plans. A third party is a party who is neither a parent nor a subsidiary of the owner or operator.

- 4) The cost estimate may not be reduced by allowance for the salvage value of facility structures or equipment, for the resale value of land, for the sale of CCR or its beneficial reuse if permitted by the Agency pursuant to this Part, or for other assets associated with the facility at the time of partial or final closure.
- 5) The owner or operator must not incorporate a zero cost for CCR, if permitted by the Agency pursuant to this Part, that might have economic value.
- 6) The cost estimate must, at a minimum, include all costs for all activities necessary to close the CCR surface impoundment and provide post-closure care in accordance with all requirements of this Part.
- 7) The post-closure care portion of the cost estimate must, at a minimum, be based on the following elements:
 - A) Maintaining the integrity and effectiveness of the final cover system, including making repairs to the final cover as necessary to correct the effects of settlement, subsidence, erosion, or other events, and preventing run-on and run-off from eroding or otherwise damaging the final cover;
 - B) If the CCR surface impoundment is subject to the design criteria under Section 845.420, maintaining the integrity and effectiveness of the leachate collection and removal system and operating the leachate collection and removal system in accordance with the requirements of Section 845.420; and
 - C) Maintaining the groundwater monitoring system and monitoring the groundwater in accordance with the requirements of this Part.
- c) Cost Estimate for Corrective Action
 - Preliminary Corrective Action Cost Estimate. An owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment with a release that has caused an exceedance of the groundwater protection standard in Section 845.600 or groundwater quality standard in 35 Ill. Adm. Code 620, must provide a preliminary corrective action cost estimate that is equal to 25% of the costs calculated pursuant subsection (b).

- 2) Corrective Action Cost Estimate. The owner or operator must provide to the Agency a detailed written estimate, in current dollars, of the cost of hiring a third party at the appropriate prevailing wage(s), pursuant to the Prevailing Wage Act, 820 ILCS 130, if applicable, to implement the approved corrective action plan in accordance with this Part. The corrective action cost estimate must account for the total costs of corrective action activities as described in the approved corrective action plan for the entire corrective action period.
- 3) The owner or operator must annually adjust the cost estimates in this subsection for inflation (see Section 845.940(a)) until the approved corrective action plan is completed.
- 4) The owner or operator must increase the corrective action cost estimates in this subsection and the amount of financial assurance provided if changes in the corrective action plan or CCR surface impoundment conditions increase the maximum costs of corrective action.
- 5) The owner or operator may reduce the amount of the corrective action cost estimate, upon Agency approval, if the cost estimate exceeds the maximum remaining costs of corrective action.

Section 845.940 Revision of Cost Estimates

- a) During the active life of the CCR surface impoundment, the owner or operator shall adjust the cost estimates of closure, post-closure care, and corrective action for inflation on an annual basis. Such adjustments shall occur within 60 days prior to the anniversary date of the establishment of the financial instruments used to comply with Section 845.950. The adjustment may be made by recalculating the maximum costs of closure, post-closure care, or corrective action in current dollars, or by using an inflation factor derived from the annual Implicit Price Deflator for Gross National Product (Deflator) as published by the U.S. Department of Commerce in its Survey of Current Business (Table 1.1.9), as specified in subsections (a)(1) and (a)(2). The inflation factor is the result of dividing the latest published annual Deflator by the Deflator for the previous year.
 - 1) The first adjustment is made by multiplying the cost estimate by the inflation factor. The result is the adjusted cost estimate.
 - 2) Subsequent adjustments are made by multiplying the latest adjusted cost estimate by the latest inflation factor.
- b) During the active life of the CCR surface impoundment, the owner or operator must revise the cost estimate no later than 30 days after the Agency has approved a request to modify the corrective action plan, closure plan or post-closure care plan, if the change in the modified plan increases the cost of corrective action, closure or

post-closure care. The revised cost estimate must be adjusted for inflation, as specified in subsection (a).

- c) At least 60 days prior to submitting any closure plan to the Agency, the owner or operator must revise the cost estimate if the selected closure method increases the estimated closure or post-closure care costs.
- d) The owner or operator must keep the most current cost estimates in the facility's operating record during the operating life of the CCR surface impoundment.

Section 845.950 Mechanisms for Financial Assurance

- a) The owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment shall utilize any of the mechanisms listed in subsections (a)(1) through (a)(4) to provide financial assurance for closure and post-closure care, and for corrective action at a CCR surface impoundment. An owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment shall also meet the requirements of subsections (b), (c), and (d). The mechanisms are as follows:
 - 1) A trust fund (see Section 845.960);
 - 2) A surety bond guaranteeing payment (see Section 845.970);
 - 3) A surety bond guaranteeing performance (see Section 845.980); or
 - 4) An irrevocable letter of credit (see Section 845.990).
- b) The owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment shall ensure that the language of the mechanisms listed in subsection (a), when used for providing financial assurance for closure, post-closure, and corrective action, is consistent with the forms prescribed by the Agency and satisfies the following:
 - 1) The amount of funds assured is sufficient to cover the costs of closure, postclosure care, and corrective action; and
 - 2) The funds will be available in a timely fashion when needed.
- c) The owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment shall provide financial assurance utilizing one or more of the mechanisms listed in subsection (a) within the following timeframes:
 - 1) An owner or operator of an existing CCR surface impoundment shall provide financial assurance to the Agency for closure and post-closure care within 60 days from the effective date of this Part;
 - 2) An owner or operator of a new CCR surface impoundment shall provide

financial assurance to the Agency for closure and post-closure care at least 60 days before the date of initial receipt of CCR in the CCR surface impoundment.

- 3) In the case of corrective action required pursuant to this Part, the owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment shall provide preliminary financial assurance for corrective action no later than when the owner or operator initiates an assessment of corrective measures pursuant to Section 845.650(d)(3). The preliminary financial assurance for corrective action must be maintained until replaced with financial assurance based on the cost estimate of the corrective action. The owner or operator of the CCR surface impoundment shall provide financial assurance based on the approved corrective action plan to the Agency no later than 60 days after the Agency's approval or the effective date of this Part, whichever is later.
- d) The owner or operator shall provide continuous financial assurance coverage until the owner or operator is released from the financial assurance requirements of this Subpart pursuant to Section 845.920(b).
- e) Use of Multiple Financial Assurance Mechanisms. An owner or operator may satisfy the requirements of this Subpart by establishing more than one financial mechanism per CCR surface impoundment. These mechanisms are limited to trust funds, surety bonds guaranteeing payment, and letters of credit. The mechanisms must be as specified in Sections 845.960, 845.970, and 845.990, as applicable, except that it is the combination of mechanisms, rather than the single mechanism, that must provide financial assurance for an aggregate amount at least equal to the current cost estimate for closure, post-closure care, and corrective action, except that mechanisms guaranteeing performance, rather than payment, may not be combined with other instruments. The owner or operator may use any or all of the mechanisms to provide financial assurance for corrective action, closure and post-closure care.
- f) Use of a Financial Assurance Mechanism for Multiple CCR Surface Impoundments in Illinois. An owner or operator may use a financial assurance mechanism specified in this Subpart to meet the requirements of this Subpart for more than one CCR surface impoundment located in Illinois. Evidence of financial assurance submitted to the Agency must include a list showing, for each CCR surface impoundment, the identification number (see Section 845.130), name, address and the amount of funds assured by the mechanism. The amount of funds available through the mechanism must be no less than the sum of funds that would be available if a separate mechanism had been established and maintained for each CCR surface impoundment. The amount of funds available to the Agency must be sufficient to close and provide post-closure care for all of the owner or operator's CCR surface impoundments. In directing funds available through a single mechanism for the closure and post-closure care of any single CCR surface impoundment covered by that mechanism, the Agency shall direct only that amount

of funds designated for that CCR surface impoundment, unless the owner or operator agrees to the use of additional funds available under that mechanism.

Section 845.960 Trust Fund

- a) An owner or operator may satisfy the requirements of this Subpart by establishing a fully funded trust fund that conforms to the requirements of this Section and submitting an original signed duplicate of the trust agreement to the Agency.
- b) The trustee must be an entity that has the authority to act as a trustee and of whom either of the following is true:
 - 1) It is an entity whose trust operations are examined by the Illinois Department of Financial and Professional Regulation pursuant to the Illinois Banking Act [205 ILCS 5]; or
 - 2) It is an entity that complies with the Corporate Fiduciary Act [205 ILCS 620].
- c) The trust agreement must be on forms prescribed by the Agency. The trust agreement must be updated within 60 days after a change in the amount of the current closure, post-closure, and corrective action cost estimates covered by the agreement.
- d) The trust fund must be fully funded from the date that the trust agreement becomes effective.
- e) The trustee must evaluate the trust fund annually, as of the day the trust was created or on such earlier date as may be provided in the agreement. The trustee must notify the owner or operator and the Agency of the value within 30 days after the evaluation date.
- f) If the owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment establishes a trust fund after having used one or more alternative mechanisms specified in this Subpart, the trust fund must be fully funded and established according to the specifications of this Section.
- g) Release of excess funds.
 - 1) If the value of the financial assurance is greater than the total amount of the current cost estimate, the owner or operator may submit a written request to the Agency for a release of the amount in excess of the current cost estimate.
 - 2) Within 60 days after receiving a request from the owner or operator for a release of funds, the Agency must instruct the trustee to release to the owner or operator such funds as the Agency specifies in writing to be in excess of

the current cost estimate.

- h) Reimbursement for closure, post-closure care, and corrective action expenses.
 - 1) After initiating corrective action, closure, or post-closure care an owner or operator, or any other person authorized to perform corrective action, closure, or post-closure care, may request reimbursement for closure, post-closure care, or corrective action expenditures, by submitting itemized bills to the Agency.
 - 2) Within 60 days after receiving the itemized bills for closure, post-closure care, or correction action activities, the Agency must determine whether the expenditures are in accordance with the closure, post-closure care, or corrective action plan. The Agency must instruct the trustee to make reimbursement in such amounts as the Agency specifies in writing as expenditures in accordance with the closure, post-closure care, or corrective action plan.
 - 3) If the Agency determines, based on such information as is available to it, that the cost of closure and post-closure care or corrective action will be greater than the value of the trust fund, it must withhold reimbursement of such amounts as it determines are necessary to preserve the fund in order to accomplish closure and post-closure care or corrective action until it determines that the owner or operator is no longer required to maintain financial assurance for closure and post-closure care or corrective action. In the event the fund is inadequate to pay all claims, the Agency must pay claims according to the following priorities:
 - A) Persons with whom the Agency has contracted to perform closure, post-closure care, or corrective action activities (first priority);
 - B) Persons who have completed closure, post-closure care, or corrective action authorized by the Agency (second priority);
 - C) Persons who have completed work that furthered the closure, postclosure care, or corrective action (third priority);
 - D) The owner or operator and related business entities (last priority).

Section 845.970 Surety Bond Guaranteeing Payment

- a) An owner or operator may satisfy the requirements of this Subpart by obtaining a surety bond which conforms to the requirements of this Section and submitting the bond to the Agency.
- b) The surety company issuing the bond must, at a minimum, be among those listed

as acceptable sureties on federal bonds in Circular 570 of the U.S. Department of the Treasury. Circular 570 is available on the Internet from the following website: https://fiscal.treasury.gov/surety-bonds/circular-570.html

- c) The surety bond must be on forms prescribed by the Agency.
- d) Any payments drawn from or made under the bond will be placed in the Coal Combustion Residual Surface Impoundment Financial Assurance Fund within the State Treasury.
- e) Conditions:
 - 1) The bond must guarantee that the owner or operator will:
 - A) Provide closure and post-closure care in accordance with the approved closure and post-closure care plans and, if the bond is a corrective action bond, provide corrective action in accordance with this Part; and
 - B) Provide alternative financial assurance, as specified in this Subpart, and obtain the Agency's written approval of the assurance provided within 90 days after receipt by both the owner or operator and the Agency of a notice from the surety that the bond will not be renewed for another term.
 - 2) The surety will become liable on the bond obligation when, during the term of the bond, the owner or operator fails to perform as guaranteed by the bond. The owner or operator fails to perform when the owner or operator:
 - A) Abandons the CCR surface impoundment;
 - B) Is adjudicated bankrupt;
 - C) Fails to initiate closure of the CCR surface impoundment or postclosure care or corrective action when ordered to do so by the Board pursuant to Title VIII of the Act, or when ordered to do so by a court of competent jurisdiction;
 - D) Notifies the Agency that it has initiated closure or corrective action, or initiates closure or corrective action, but fails to close the CCR surface impoundment or provide post-closure care or corrective action in accordance with the Agency-approved closure and postclosure care or corrective action plans;
 - E) For a corrective action bond, fails to implement or complete corrective action at a CCR surface impoundment in accordance with

Section 845.670; or

- F) Fails to provide alternative financial assurance, as specified in this Subpart, and obtain the Agency's written approval of the assurance provided within 90 days after receipt by both the owner or operator and the Agency of a notice from the surety that the bond will not be renewed for another term.
- 3) If the owner or operator does not establish alternative financial assurance, as specified in this Subpart, and obtain written approval of such alternative assurance from the Agency within 90 days after receipt by both the owner or operator and the Agency of a notice of nonrenewal from the surety (see subsection (g)(2)), the Agency must draw on the bond. During the last 30 days of any such notice of nonrenewal the Agency must draw on the bond if the owner or operator has failed to provide alternative financial assurance, as specified in this Section, and obtain written approval of such assurance from the Agency.
- f) Penal sum:
 - 1) The penal sum of the bond must be in an amount at least equal to the current cost estimate.
 - 2) Whenever the current cost estimate decreases, the penal sum may be reduced to the amount of the current cost estimate following written approval by the Agency.
 - 3) Whenever the current cost estimate increases to an amount greater than the penal sum, the owner or operator, within 90 days after the increase, must either cause the penal sum to be increased to an amount at least equal to the current cost estimate and submit evidence of that increase to the Agency or obtain other financial assurance, as specified in this Subpart, to cover the increase and submit evidence of the alternative financial assurance to the Agency.
- g) Term:
 - 1) The bond must be issued for a term of at least one year and must not be cancelable during that term.
 - 2) The surety bond must provide that, on the current expiration date and on each successive expiration date, the term of the surety bond will be automatically extended for a period of at least one year unless, at least 120 days before the current expiration date, the surety notifies both the owner or operator and the Agency by certified mail of a decision not to renew the bond. Under the terms of the surety bond, the 120 days will begin on the

date when both the owner or operator and the Agency have received the notice, as evidenced by the return receipts.

- 3) The Agency shall release the surety by providing written authorization for termination of the bond to the owner or operator and the surety when either of the following occurs:
 - A) An owner or operator substitutes alternative financial assurance, as specified in this Subpart; or
 - B) The Agency releases the owner or operator from the requirements of this Subpart in accordance with Section 845.920(b).
- h) Cure of default and refunds:
 - 1) The Agency shall release the surety if, after the surety becomes liable on the bond, the owner or operator or another person provides financial assurance for closure and post-closure care of the CCR surface impoundment or corrective action at a CCR surface impoundment; unless the Agency determines that the closure, post-closure care, or corrective action plan, or the amount of substituted financial assurance, is inadequate to provide closure and post-closure care or implement corrective action in compliance with this Part.
 - 2) After closure and post-closure care have been completed in accordance with the plans and requirements of this Part or after the completion of corrective action at a CCR surface impoundment in accordance with this Part, the Agency shall refund any unspent money which was paid into the Coal Combustion Residual Surface Impoundment Financial Assurance Fund by the surety, subject to appropriation of funds by the Illinois General Assembly.

Section 845.980 Surety Bond Guaranteeing Performance

- a) An owner or operator may satisfy the requirements of this Subpart by obtaining a surety bond which conforms to the requirements of this Section and submitting the bond to the Agency.
- b) The surety company issuing the bond must, at a minimum, be among those listed as acceptable sureties on federal bonds in Circular 570 of the U.S. Department of the Treasury. Circular 570 is available on the Internet from the following website: https://fiscal.treasury.gov/surety-bonds/circular-570.html
- c) The surety bond must be on forms prescribed by the Agency.

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 03/30/2020 **R2020-019**

- d) Any payments made under the bond will be placed in the Coal Combustion Residual Surface Impoundment Financial Assurance Fund within the State Treasury.
- e) Conditions:
 - 1) The bond must guarantee that the owner or operator will:
 - A) Provide closure and post-closure care in accordance with the approved closure and post-closure care plans and, if the bond is a corrective action bond, provide corrective action in accordance with this Part; and
 - B) Provide alternative financial assurance, as specified in this Subpart, and obtain the Agency's written approval of the assurance provided within 90 days after receipt by both the owner or operator and the Agency of a notice from the surety that the bond will not be renewed for another term.
 - 2) The surety will become liable on the bond obligation when, during the term of the bond, the owner or operator fails to perform as guaranteed by the bond. The owner or operator fails to perform when the owner or operator:
 - A) Abandons the CCR surface impoundment;
 - B) Is adjudicated bankrupt;
 - C) Fails to initiate closure of the CCR surface impoundment or postclosure care or corrective action when ordered to do so by the Board pursuant to Title VIII of the Act, or when ordered to do so by a court of competent jurisdiction;
 - D) Notifies the Agency that it has initiated closure or corrective action, or initiates closure or corrective action, but fails to close the CCR surface impoundment or provide post-closure care or corrective action in accordance with the Agency-approved closure and postclosure care or corrective action plans;
 - E) For a corrective action bond, fails to implement or complete corrective action at a CCR surface impoundment in accordance with Section 845.670; or
 - F) Fails to provide alternative financial assurance, as specified in this Subpart, and obtain the Agency's written approval of the assurance provided within 90 days after receipt by both the owner or operator

and the Agency of a notice from the surety that the bond will not be renewed for another term.

- 3) Upon failure of the owner or operator to perform as guaranteed by the bond, the surety shall have the option of:
 - A) providing closure and post-closure care in accordance with the approved closure and post-closure care plans; or
 - B) carrying out corrective action in accordance with the corrective action plan; or
 - C) paying the penal sum.
- f) Penal sum:
 - 1) The penal sum of the bond must be in an amount at least equal to the current cost estimate.
 - 2) Whenever the current cost estimate decreases, the penal sum may be reduced to the amount of the current cost estimate following written approval by the Agency.
 - 3) Whenever the current cost estimate increases to an amount greater than the penal sum, the owner or operator, within 90 days after the increase, must either cause the penal sum to be increased to an amount at least equal to the current cost estimate and submit evidence of that increase to the Agency or obtain other financial assurance, as specified in this Subpart, and submit evidence of the alternative financial assurance to the Agency.
- g) Term:
 - 1) The bond must be issued for a term of at least one year and must not be cancelable during that term.
 - 2) The surety bond must provide that, on the current expiration date and on each successive expiration date, the term of the surety bond will be automatically extended for a period of at least one year unless, at least 120 days before the current expiration date, the surety notifies both the owner or operator and the Agency by certified mail of a decision not to renew the bond. Under the terms of the surety bond, the 120 days will begin on the date when both the owner or operator and the Agency have received the notice, as evidenced by the return receipts.

- 3) The Agency shall release the surety by providing written authorization for termination of the bond to the owner or operator and the surety when either of the following occurs:
 - A) An owner or operator substitutes alternative financial assurance, as specified in this Subpart; or
 - B) The Agency releases the owner or operator from the requirements of this Subpart in accordance with Section 845.920(b).
- h) Cure of default and refunds:
 - 1) The Agency shall release the surety if, after the surety becomes liable on the bond, the owner or operator or another person provides financial assurance for closure and post-closure care of the CCR surface impoundment or corrective action at a CCR surface impoundment; unless the Agency determines that the closure, post-closure care, or corrective action plan, or the amount of substituted financial assurance, is inadequate to provide closure and post-closure care or implement corrective action in compliance with this Part.
 - 2) After closure and post-closure care have been completed in accordance with the plans and requirements of this Part or after the completion of corrective action at a CCR surface impoundment in accordance with this Part, the Agency shall refund any unspent money which was paid into the Coal Combustion Residual Surface Impoundment Financial Assurance Fund by the surety, subject to appropriation of funds by the Illinois General Assembly.
- i) The surety will not be liable for deficiencies in the performance of closure, postclosure care, or corrective action by the owner or operator after the Agency releases the owner or operator from the requirements of this Subpart.

Section 845.990 Letter of Credit

- a) An owner or operator may satisfy the requirements of this Subpart by obtaining an irrevocable standby letter of credit which conforms to the requirements of this Section and submitting the letter to the Agency.
- b) The issuing institution shall be an entity that has the authority to issue letters of credit and:
 - 1) Whose letter of credit operations are regulated by the Illinois Department of Financial and Professional Regulation pursuant to the Illinois Banking Act [205 ILCS 5]; or

- 2) Whose deposits are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.
- c) Forms:
 - 1) The letter of credit must be on forms prescribed by the Agency.
 - 2) The letter of credit must be accompanied by a letter from the owner or operator, referring to the letter of credit by number, the name and address of the issuing institution, and the effective date of the letter, and providing the following information: the name and address of the CCR surface impoundment, the identification number (see Section 845.130), and the amount of funds assured by the letter of credit for closure and post-closure care of the CCR surface impoundment, or for corrective action at the CCR surface impoundment.
- d) Any amounts drawn by the Agency pursuant to the letter of credit will be deposited in the Coal Combustion Residual Surface Impoundment Financial Assurance Fund within the State Treasury.
- e) Conditions on which the Agency shall draw on the letter of credit:
 - 1) The Agency shall draw on the letter of credit if the owner or operator fails to perform closure or post-closure care in accordance with the approved closure and post-closure care plans, or fails to perform corrective action at a CCR surface impoundment in accordance with this Part.
 - 2) The Agency shall draw on the letter of credit if the owner or operator:
 - A) Abandons the CCR surface impoundment;
 - B) Is adjudicated bankrupt;
 - C) Fails to initiate closure of the CCR surface impoundment or postclosure care or corrective action when ordered to do so by the Board pursuant to Title VIII of the Act, or when ordered to do so by a court of competent jurisdiction;
 - D) Notifies the Agency that it has initiated closure or corrective action, or initiates closure or corrective action, but fails to provide closure and post-closure care or corrective action in accordance with the Agency-approved closure and post-closure care or corrective action plans;
 - E) For a corrective action letter of credit, fails to implement or complete corrective action at a CCR surface impoundment in accordance with Section 845.670; or

- F) Fails to provide alternative financial assurance, as specified in this Subpart, and obtain the Agency's written approval of the assurance provided within 90 days after receipt by both the owner or operator and the Agency of a notice from the issuing institution that the letter of credit will not be extended for another term.
- 3) If the owner or operator does not establish alternative financial assurance, as specified in this Subpart, and obtain written approval of such alternative assurance from the Agency within 90 days after receipt by both the owner or operator and the Agency of a notice of expiration from the issuing institution (see subsection (g)(2)), the Agency must draw on the letter of credit. During the last 30 days of any such notice of expiration the Agency must draw on the letter of credit if the owner or operator has failed to provide alternative financial assurance, as specified in this Section, and obtain written approval of such assurance from the Agency.
- f) Amount:
 - 1) The letter of credit must be issued in an amount at least equal to the current cost estimate.
 - 2) Whenever the current cost estimate decreases, the amount of credit may be reduced to the amount of the current cost estimate following written approval by the Agency.
 - 3) Whenever the current cost estimate increases to an amount greater than the amount of the credit, the owner or operator, within 90 days after the increase, must either cause the amount of the credit to be increased to an amount at least equal to the current cost estimate and submit evidence of that increase to the Agency or obtain other financial assurance, as specified in this Subpart, to cover the increase and submit evidence of the alternative financial assurance to the Agency.
- g) Term:
 - 1) The letter of credit must be issued for a term of at least one year and must be irrevocable during that term.
 - 2) The letter of credit must provide that, on the current expiration date and on each successive expiration date, the letter of credit will be automatically extended for a period of at least one year unless, at least 120 days before the current expiration date, the issuing institution notifies both the owner or operator and the Agency by certified mail of a decision not to extend the letter of credit for another term. Under the terms of the letter of credit, the 120 days will begin on the date when both the owner or operator and the

Agency have received the notice, as evidenced by the return receipts.

- 3) The Agency must return the letter of credit to the issuing institution for termination when either of the following occurs:
 - A) An owner or operator substitutes alternative financial assurance, as specified in this Subpart; or
 - B) The Agency releases the owner or operator from the requirements of this Subpart in accordance with Section 845.920(b).
- h) Cure of default and refunds:
 - 1) The Agency shall release the financial institution if, after the Agency is allowed to draw on the letter of credit, the owner or operator or another person provides financial assurance for closure and post-closure care of the CCR surface impoundment or corrective action at a CCR surface impoundment; unless the Agency determines that the closure, post-closure care, or corrective action plan, or the amount of substituted financial assurance, is inadequate to provide closure and post-closure care or implement corrective action in compliance with this Part.
 - 2) After closure and post-closure care have been completed in accordance with the plans and requirements of this Part or after the completion of corrective action at a CCR surface impoundment in accordance with this Part, the Agency shall refund any unspent money which was drawn and paid into the Coal Combustion Residual Surface Impoundment Financial Assurance Fund by the financial institution, subject to appropriation of funds by the Illinois General Assembly.

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 03/30/2020 **R2020-019**

BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

IN THE MATTER OF:)	
)	R 2020-0
STANDARDS FOR THE DISPOSAL)	
OF COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUALS)	(Rulemal
IN SURFACE IMPOUNDMENTS:)	
PROPOSED NEW 35 ILL. ADM.)	
CODE 845)	

)19

king - Water)

MOTION FOR ACCEPTANCE

NOW COMES the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency ("Illinois EPA"), by and through its attorneys, and pursuant to 35 Ill. Adm. Code 102.106, 102.200, and 102.202, moves that the Illinois Pollution Control Board accept for hearing the Illinois EPA's proposal for the adoption of a new 35 Ill. Adm. Code Part 845. This regulatory proposal includes (1) Notice of Filing; (2) Appearance; (3) Statement of Reasons; (4) Attachments to the Statement of Reasons: (5) Proposed New 35 Ill. Adm. Code Part 845; (6) Certificate of Service; and (7) a computer disc containing the Proposed Regulations and attachments.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: March 30, 2020

Rex L. Gradeless, #6303411 Division of Legal Counsel Illinois Environmental Protection Agency 1021 North Grand Avenue East P.O. Box 19276 Springfield, IL 62794-9276 (217) 782-5544 Rex.Gradeless@Illinois.gov

ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY,

Petitioner,

BY: <u>/s/ Rex L. Gradeless</u> Rex L. Gradeless

THIS FILING IS SUBMITTED ELECTRONICALLY

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, on affirmation state the following:

That I have served the attached **NOTICE OF FILING**; **APPEARANCE**; **STATEMENT OF REASONS**; and **ATTACHMENTS**: **PROPOSED NEW 35 ILL. ADM. CODE PART 845**; and **MOTION FOR ACCEPTANCE** by e-mail upon Don Brown at the e-mail address of <u>don.brown@illinois.gov</u>.

That I have served the attached **NOTICE OF FILING**; **APPEARANCE**; **STATEMENT OF REASONS**; and **ATTACHMENTS**: **PROPOSED NEW 35 ILL. ADM. CODE PART 845**; and **MOTION FOR ACCEPTANCE** upon any other persons, if any, listed on the Service List, by placing a true copy in an envelope duly address bearing proper first class postage in the United States mail at Springfield, Illinois on March 30, 2020.

That my e-mail address is <u>Rex.Gradeless@Illinois.gov</u>.

That the number of pages in the e-mail transmission is one hundred eighty-three (183).

That the e-mail transmission took place before 4:30 p.m. on the date of March 30, 2020.

/s/ Rex L. Gradeless March 30, 2020

Attachment A1

Copyright Waiver from AACE International (not filed on COOL)

Attachment A2

"Cost Estimate Classification System—As Applied in Engineering, Procurement, and Construction for the Process Industries" TCM Framework: 7.3 – Cost Estimating and Budgeting. March 6, 2009, AACE International Recommended Practice No. 18R-97. (not filed on COOL)

Attachment B

March 9, 2020, USEPA, Region 5 Letter

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 03/30/2020 **R2020-019**



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

REGION 5 77 WEST JACKSON BOULEVARD CHICAGO, IL 60604-3590

MAR 0 9 2020

REPLY TO THE ATTENTION OF $LM\mbox{-}17J$

Mr. Rex L. Gradeless Assistant Counsel Illinois Environmental Protection Agency 1021 North Grand Avenue East Springfield, Illinois 62794-9276

Dear Mr. Gradeless:

This letter concludes the series of conference calls that U.S. EPA and Illinois EPA had from July 2019 to March 2020 to discuss IEPA's questions about the federal Coal Combustion Residual program. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the draft rule during your rulemaking process. We understand that the draft rule is expected to be filed with the Illinois Pollution Control Board by the end of March 2020. Please let the Board know that we are available if questions arise regarding the federal Coal Combustion Residual program. After the Board issues a ruling, IEPA can formally submit to U.S. EPA an application for approval of its Coal Combustion Residuals permitting program.

Sincerely,

107

Susan Mooney Chief, RCRA C&D Section Land, Chemicals, and Redevelopment Division

cc: Ian Cecala, EPA ORC, via email

Attachment C

Util. Solid Waste Activities Group v. Envtl. Prot. Agency, 901 F.3d 414 (D.C. Cir. 2018)

United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

Argued November 20, 2017

Decided August 21, 2018

No. 15-1219

UTILITY SOLID WASTE ACTIVITIES GROUP, ET AL., PETITIONERS

v.

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY, RESPONDENT

WATERKEEPER ALLIANCE, ET AL., INTERVENORS

Consolidated with 15-1221, 15-1222, 15-1223, 15-1227, 15-1228, 15-1229

On Petitions for Review of Administrative Action of the United States Environmental Protection Agency

Douglas H. Green and Paul J. Zidlicky argued the causes for Industry petitioners. With them on the joint briefs were John F. Cooney, Margaret K. Kuhn, Samuel B. Boxerman, Eric Murdock, Makram B. Jaber, Joshua R. More, Raghav Murali, Richard G. Stoll, Lori A. Rubin, and Thomas J. Grever. Stephen J. Bonebrake, Brian H. Potts, and Aaron J. Wallisch entered appearances.

USCElectronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 03/30/2020,**R2020-019**

2

Thomas Cmar argued the cause for Environmental petitioners. With him on the briefs were *Matthew E. Gerhart*, *Mary M. Whittle*, and *Lisa Evans*.

Perry M. Rosen, Attorney, U.S. Department of Justice, argued the cause for respondents. With him on the briefs were *Jeffrey H. Wood*, Acting Assistant Attorney General, *Jonathan Skinner-Thompson*, Attorney, and *Laurel Celeste*, Attorney, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

Douglas H. Green, John F. Cooney, and Margaret K. Kuhn were on the brief for Industry intervenor-respondents.

Matthew E. Gerhart, Mary M. Whittle, and Lisa Evans were on the brief for Environmental intervenor-respondents.

Before: HENDERSON, MILLETT and PILLARD, Circuit Judges.

Opinion filed PER CURIAM.

Opinion concurring in part and concurring in the judgment in part filed by *Circuit Judge* HENDERSON.

PER CURIAM: These consolidated petitions challenge the Environmental Protection Agency's 2015 Final Rule governing the disposal of coal combustion residuals ("Coal Residuals") produced by electric utilities and independent power plants. *See* Hazardous and Solid Waste Management System; Disposal of Coal Combustion Residuals from Electric Utilities ("Final Rule"), 80 Fed. Reg. 21,302 (April 17, 2015). Coal Residuals make up "one of the largest industrial waste streams generated in the U.S." *Id.* at 21,303. Coal-fired power plants in the United States burned upwards of 800 million tons of coal in 2012 alone and produced approximately 3

110 million tons of solid waste as Coal Residuals. *Id.* That waste contains myriad carcinogens and neurotoxins. See and Solid Waste Management Hazardous System: Identification and Listing of Special Wastes; Disposal of Coal Combustion Residuals from Electric Utilities ("Proposed Rule"), 75 Fed. Reg. 35,128, 35,153, 35,168 (June 21, 2010). Power plants generally store it on site in aging piles or pools that are at varying degrees of risk of protracted leakage and catastrophic structural failure. See 80 Fed. Reg. 21,327-21,328. The Final Rule sets criteria designed to ensure that human health and the environment face "no reasonable probability" of harm from Coal Residuals spilling, leaking, or seeping from their storage units and harming humans and the environment. Id. at 21,338–21,339; 42 U.S.C. § 6944(a).

The statutory framework calling for regulation of solid waste generation, storage, and disposal has been in place since 1976, when Congress enacted the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act ("RCRA"), 42 U.S.C. § 6901 et seq., but regulations implementing RCRA have been long in the The EPA has long studied the Coal Residuals making. disposal problem and struggled over how to address its scale, complexity, and gravity. The agency has been goaded by public outrage over catastrophic failures at sites storing toxic Coal Residuals, see 75 Fed. Reg. at 35,132, 35,137, and was directed by a federal court to devise a schedule to comply with its obligation to regulate under RCRA, see Appalachian Voices v. McCarthy, 989 F. Supp. 2d 30, 56 (D.D.C. 2013). Nearly four decades after Congress enacted RCRA, the EPA finally promulgated its first Final Rule regulating Coal Residuals in 2015.

These consolidated petitions—one on behalf of environmental organizations ("Environmental Petitioners") and several others (collectively, "Industry Petition") for a consortium of power companies and their trade associations ("Industry Petitioners")-challenge various provisions of that Final Rule under the Administrative Procedure Act and RCRA. RCRA Subtitle D calls on the EPA to promulgate criteria distinguishing "sanitary landfills," which are permissible under the statute, from "open dumps," which are prohibited. 42 U.S.C. § 6944(a); see id. § 6903(14), (28). The statutory baseline for the EPA's criteria for sanitary landfills is that, at a minimum, they "shall provide that a facility may be classified as a sanitary landfill and not an open dump only if there is no reasonable probability of adverse effects on health or the environment from disposal of solid waste at such facility." Id. \S 6944(a). Each claim here relates to what a utility operating one or more Coal Residuals disposal site(s) must do to qualify such site as a sanitary landfill that may lawfully operate under RCRA.

Shortly before oral argument, the EPA announced its intent to reconsider the Final Rule, and moved to hold all proceedings in abeyance. We asked for clarification on the exact provisions of the Rule that would be subject to reconsideration. The EPA then filed a separate motion to remand six specific provisions.

For the reasons that follow, we deny the EPA's abeyance motion, and partially grant its remand motion. We also grant in part the Environmental Petition and deny the Industry Petition.

I. Background

A.

"Coal Residuals" is a catch-all term for the byproducts of coal combustion that occurs at power plants. It includes "fly

⁴

5

ash," "bottom ash," "boiler slag," and "flue gas desulfurization materials." See 75 Fed. Reg. at 35,137. These residuals vary in their size and texture, but all contain "contaminants of * * * environmental concern." Id. at 35,138. According to the EPA, Coal Residuals contain carcinogens and neurotoxins, including arsenic, boron, cadmium, hexavalent chromium, lead, lithium, mercury, molybdenum, selenium, and thallium. 80 Fed. Reg. at 21,449. The risks to humans associated with exposure to the identified contaminants include elevated probabilities of "cancer in the skin, liver, bladder, and lungs," as well as non-cancer risks such as "neurological and psychiatric effects," "cardiovascular effects," "damage to blood vessels," and "anemia." Id. at 21,451. Both cancer and non-cancer risks to infants "tend[] to be higher than other childhood cohorts, and also higher than risks to adults." Id. at 21.466. The risks to plant and animal wildlife include "elevated selenium levels in migratory birds, wetland vegetative damage, fish kills, amphibian deformities, * * * [and] plant toxicity." 75 Fed. Reg. at 35,172.

In developing the Final Rule, the EPA collected data on coal-fired units and their environs, identified hazards for evaluation, and specified benchmarks of toxicity that it determined "generally will be considered to pose a substantial present or potential hazard to human health and the environment and generally will be regulated." Final Rule, 80 Fed. Reg. at 21,449, 21,451. The EPA analyzed potential pathways of contamination to determine those most likely to pose a reasonable probability of adverse effects on humans or the environment. Id. at 21,450–21,451. The EPA concluded that current management practices for Coal Residuals posed risks to human health and the environment at levels justifying *Id.* at 21,303. uniform national guidelines. The main exposure pathways the EPA found were through waste that escapes landfills and surface impoundments and then

6

contaminates groundwater tapped as drinking water, and contaminates surface water that comes in direct contact with fish and other ecological receptors. *Id*.

Under most circumstances, the operators of coal-fired power plants dispose of the waste either by dumping it in dry landfills or by mixing it with water to channel it to wet surface impoundments. 80 Fed. Reg. at 21,303. These disposal sites are massive. On average, landfills span more than 120 acres and are more than 40 feet deep. Id. Surface impoundments average more than 50 acres in size with an average depth of 20 feet. Id. As of 2012, there were at least 310 landfills and 735 surface impoundments in the United States currently receiving coal ash. Id. The EPA identified at least 111 surface impoundments that are no longer receiving coal ash, but are not fully closed. See EPA, Regulatory Impact Analysis: EPA's 2015 RCRA Final Rule Regulating Coal Combustion Residual (CCR) Landfills and Surface Impoundments at Coal-Fired Utility Power Plants, 2-3 (2014), Joint App'x (J.A.) 1096. The record does not specify the number of inactive landfills. See id. The Rule also addresses circumstances under which Coal Residuals safely may be "beneficially used"-e.g., to make cement-thereby reducing the total volume that must be managed as waste. See 75 Fed. Reg. at 35,212.

Landfills and surface impoundments both pose threats to human health and the environment. 80 Fed. Reg. at 21,327– 21,328. The risks generally stem from the fact that "thousands, if not millions, of tons [of coal ash are] placed in a single concentrated location." *Id.* These disposal sites are at risk of structural failure, particularly where they are located in unstable areas such as wetlands or seismic impact zones. *Id.* at 21,304. The sheer volume of Coal Residuals at these sites, moreover, can force contaminants into the underlying soil and groundwater, threatening sources of drinking water. *Id.* at 21,304–21,305. Surface water bodies—*i.e.*, rivers, lakes, and streams, *see* 75 Fed. Reg. at 35,131—are also at risk of contamination through harmful constituents that migrate through groundwater, or flow into surface waters as run-off or wastewater discharge, any of which can lead to environmental harms such as "wetland vegetative damage, fish kills, amphibian deformities, * * * [and] plant toxicity." *See id.* at 35,172.

Groundwater contamination is more likely to occur at sites that are unlined or lack adequate lining between the coal ash and the soil beneath it. See id.; see also Regulatory Impact Analysis, 5-22. However, most existing coal ash disposal sites—70% of landfills and 65% of surface impoundments have no liner at all. See Regulatory Impact Analysis, 3-4 nn.104-105, J.A. 1108. And while most new landfills and surface impoundments are constructed with liners, see 80 Fed. Reg. at 21,324, not all liners are alike. Composite lining, which includes a plastic geomembrane and several feet of compacted soil to act as a buffer, effectively eliminates the risk of groundwater contamination. See EPA, Human & Ecological Risk Assessment of Coal Combustion Residuals (Risk Assessment), 4-8 to 4-9, J.A. 1110–1111. But many impoundments are lined only with compacted soil and are therefore far less protective. See Regulatory Impact Analysis, 5-22, J.A. 1112. The EPA has acknowledged that it "will not always be possible" to restore groundwater or surface water to background conditions after a contamination event. See Response to Comments 50, J.A. 1301.

Structural failures of surface impoundments pose additional risks that are more episodic but potentially more catastrophic than harm from liner leakage. Impoundment dam ruptures can result in "significant coal slurry releases, causing fish kills and other ecologic damage, and in some instances

⁷

USCElectronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 03/30/2020,**R2020-0198* of 72

damage to infrastructure." 80 Fed. Reg. at 21,457 (footnote The EPA is aware of at least 50 surface omitted). impoundments that are a "high" hazard, see EPA, Coal Combustion Residuals Impoundment Assessment Reports, J.A. 446-469, which the Rule defines to mean that "failure or mis-operation will probably cause loss of human life" in addition to other harms, 40 C.F.R. § 257.53. The EPA has tagged another 250 impoundments as posing a "significant" hazard, see Impoundment Assessment Reports, J.A. 446-469, where failure or mis-operation is unlikely to kill people, but would "probably cause economic loss, environmental damage, or disruption of lifeline facilities, or impact other concerns." 40 C.F.R. § 257.53. Structural risk is exacerbated at sites located in geologically unstable areas, such as those with poor foundation conditions, areas susceptible to earthquakes or other mass movements, or those with karst terrains. See id.; 80 Fed. Reg. at 21,365–21,367.

Risks from inactive surface impoundments at inactive power plants, which the parties refer to as "legacy ponds," are also apparent in the record. As with surface impoundments at active plants, groundwater contamination or catastrophic structural failure of a legacy pond threatens human health and the environment. But legacy ponds, which by their nature are older than most surface impoundments, are "generally unlined" and unmonitored, and so are shown to be more likely to leak than units at utilities still in operation. 80 Fed. Reg. at 21,343– 21,344. Without an on-site operator to monitor and maintain such a unit, consequences of leakage or structural failure may be amplified. Cf. id. at 21,394 (requiring qualified personnel conduct weekly inspections active to at surface impoundments).

The EPA record reports on the many cases in which damage has already occurred. "EPA has confirmed a total of

⁸

9

157 cases * * * in which [Coal Residual] mismanagement has caused damage to human health and the environment." 80 Fed. Reg. at 21,325. The EPA recounts that public pressure to regulate Coal Residuals escalated after an unlined surface impoundment in Kingston, Tennessee suffered а "catastrophic" structural failure on December 22, 2008. See 75 Fed. Reg. at 35,132. The impoundment released approximately 5.4 million cubic yards of Coal Residual sludge across 300 acres of land and into the nearby Emory River. See EPA, Damage Case Compendium: Technical Support Document, Volume I: Proven Damage Cases, 143 (2014), J.A. 1192. According to the EPA, the spill was one of the "largest volume industrial spill[s] in U.S. history." Id. at 143 n.612, J.A. 1192. The Coal Residual sludge ruptured a natural gas line, disrupted power in the area, damaged or destroyed dozens of homes, and resulted in elevated levels of arsenic and lead in the Emory River. Id. The resulting river contamination "completely destroyed" more than 80 acres of aquatic ecosystems. Id. at 144, J.A. 1193. More than a year after the spill, the majority of fish collected from the river contained toxins that rendered them unsafe for human consumption. Id. The disaster forced the closure of the Emory River for almost two years. The Tennessee Valley Authority took four years and spent more than \$1.2 billion to remove Coal Residuals and contaminated sediment from the river and adjoining areas, to monitor and repair associated damage, and to construct a new disposal unit. Id. at 148, J.A. 1197.

USCElectronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 03/30/2020 ** R2020 age 10 of 72

10

В.

Two years after the Kingston disaster, the EPA promulgated the Proposed Rule announcing its intent to regulate Coal Residuals under RCRA. *See* 75 Fed. Reg. at 35,128.¹

A key question for the EPA had long been whether to regulate Coal Residuals as hazardous waste under the cradleto-grave federal hazardous waste management authority conferred by RCRA Subtitle C, 42 U.S.C. §§ 6921-6939g, or to treat it as nonhazardous solid waste subject to national guidelines under Subtitle D, id. §§ 6941-6949a. A waste is "hazardous" and subject to regulation under Subtitle C only if it exhibits one of four hazard characteristics: ignitability, corrosivity, reactivity, or toxicity. See id. § 6921; 40 C.F.R. §§ 261.11, 261.20–261.24. Under Subtitle C, the EPA directly regulates all stages of production and disposition of hazardous wastes, and has administrative enforcement power as well as authority to initiate or recommend civil and criminal actions in court. See 42 U.S.C. §§ 6922-6928. Subtitle D, in contrast, envisions that states are primarily responsible for regulating disposal of nonhazardous wastes in landfills and The EPA's principal role under Subtitle D is to dumps. announce federal guidelines for state management of nonhazardous wastes; Subtitle D leaves it up to the states to "use federal financial and technical assistance to develop solid waste management plans in accordance with [the] federal guidelines." Environmental Def. Fund v. EPA, 852 F.2d 1309, 1310 (D.C. Cir. 1988).

¹ On several previous occasions, the EPA considered, but decided against, regulating Coal Residuals under RCRA Subtitle C. For background on the EPA's previous determinations on Coal Residuals, see 75 Fed. Reg. at 35,136–35,137.

11

Substantively, Subtitle D prohibits the disposal of solid waste in "open dumps," 42 U.S.C. § 6945(a), and calls on the EPA to promulgate criteria for determining whether a waste facility constitutes an open dump—criteria that, if followed, will ensure "no reasonable probability of adverse effects on health or the environment from disposal of solid waste at such facility," *id.* § 6944(a). Subtitle D neither grants the EPA direct enforcement authority nor requires states to adopt or implement its requirements. *See id.* § 6941. Enforcement is left to states' own policy decisions and to the initiative of people bringing citizen suits to enforce the federal standards. *See id.* §§ 6946–6947, 6972. *But see infra* Part II.A. (discussing recent amendments to RCRA).

The EPA initially published two alternative proposed rules to govern Coal Residuals, one under each Subtitle, basing the Subtitle C proposal on the toxicity of Coal Residuals. See 75 Fed. Reg. at 35,146. The proposals drew 450,000 public comments, the vast bulk of which spoke to the threshold question of which RCRA Subtitle to use, and the majority of which supported regulation under Subtitle C. 80 Fed. Reg. at 21,319. Most of the commenters were individuals and environmental groups pressing for stronger regulation "because state programs have failed to adequately regulate the disposal of [Coal Residuals] and because the risks associated with the management of these wastes are significant." Id. Only a handful of states, for example, required any groundwater monitoring around units holding Coal Residuals, id. at 21,323–21,324, including only one of the eight states with the biggest volumes of Coal Residuals, Regulatory Impact Analysis, G-6, J.A. 1121. On the other hand, the enormous volume of waste permeated with relatively low concentrations of toxins posed practical difficulties for any Subtitle C regulation. See 80 Fed. Reg. at 21,321.

12

Based on many years of analysis, the EPA found "a compelling need for a uniform system of requirements to address the[] risks [from Coal Residuals]," and decided to move forward with a Final Rule. 80 Fed. Reg. at 21,327. The EPA opted to proceed under the less muscular Subtitle D even as it continued to study factors potentially supporting regulating Coal Residuals as hazardous waste under RCRA Subtitle C. *See id.* at 21,319–21,327. The EPA thus formally deferred deciding whether Subtitle C regulation is warranted, and used its Subtitle D authority to set forth guidelines on where and how disposal sites for Coal Residuals are to be built, maintained, and monitored. *See* 80 Fed. Reg. at 21,302.

The Final Rule sets minimum criteria for the disposal of Coal Residuals in landfills and surface impoundments. Among the provisions of the Final Rule at issue here are location restrictions on landfills and surface impoundments, requirements pertaining to lining, structural integrity, and groundwater monitoring, and criteria for recycling Coal Residuals for beneficial uses, such as substituting for cement in road construction, in lieu of keeping it in disposal units. See 40 C.F.R. §§ 257.60–257.74. The Final Rule also sets compliance deadlines, procedures for closing non-complying landfills and surface impoundments, and requirements that operators of these disposal sites make records of their compliance with the Final Rule publicly available. See id. §§ 257.100–257.07. We discuss the relevant criteria in more detail in addressing the merits of the consolidated petitions.

USCE lectronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 03/30/2020 ** R2020 age 13 of 72

13

C.

Two groups of petitioners sought review of the Final Rule. Environmental Petitioners are an assortment of environmental groups that includes the Environmental Integrity Project, Sierra Club, and Hoosier Environmental Council. They generally claim that EPA did not go far enough to protect the public and the environment from the harms of Coal Residual disposal. Specifically, they claim that the Final Rule unlawfully countenances significant risks of harmful leakage by allowing unlined impoundments as well as impoundments lined only with a layer of compacted soil to continue receiving Coal Residuals. Environmental Petitioners also contend that the EPA acted arbitrarily and capriciously by exempting from regulation "legacy ponds"—inactive surface so-called impoundments at shuttered power plants-given evidence that legacy ponds are at risk of unmonitored leaks and catastrophic structural failures. They also make a claim, not raised during rulemaking, that the EPA violated RCRA's citizen-suit provision by failing to require the operators of Coal Residual disposal sites to timely and publicly disclose records reflecting their compliance with the Final Rule.

Industry Petitioners are a collection of industry trade associations and utilities including the Utility Solid Waste Activities Group, AES Puerto Rico, LP, the Edison Electric Institute, the National Rural Electric Cooperative Association, and the American Public Power Association. They first assert that the EPA exceeded its statutory authority under RCRA to set guidelines for facilities where waste "is disposed of," 42 U.S.C. § 6903(14), by regulating surface impoundments that no longer actively receive Coal Residuals. They further claim that the Rule's restriction on placement of new units and expansions of existing units near aquifers, 40 C.F.R. § 257.60 (aquifer location restriction), was inadequately noticed, and
that the Rule's provision for nonconforming units to continue in operation if no alternative disposal capacity is available, *id.* § 257.103 (alternative closure provision), arbitrarily and capriciously excludes cost considerations from its definition of "available." Industry Petitioners also challenge the Rule's location restrictions and structural integrity criteria governing units in seismic impact zones. *See id.* §§ 257.63, 257.73– 257.74. They contend that the deadline for existing impoundments' compliance with those provisions was arbitrarily shortened from the timeframe in the Proposed Rule, that the Rule arbitrarily applied the location restrictions to new but not existing landfills, and that EPA failed to explain the strict design criteria it adopted for new landfills and impoundments.

Environmental Petitioners intervened in Industry's petition for review, and vice versa. We consolidated the petitions. The case has been pending in this court since 2015, but several procedural matters delayed resolution until now. In June 2016, we granted the EPA's unopposed motion to remand to itself several provisions of the Final Rule not at issue here that the EPA had decided to vacate. *See* Per Curiam Order, *Utility Solid Waste Activities Grp. v. EPA*, No. 15-1219 (D.C. Cir. June 14, 2016). In doing so, we held all proceedings in abeyance while the EPA revised portions of the Rule affected by the vacatur. *See id.* We then set oral argument for October 17, 2017.

Less than a month before oral argument, the EPA announced that it had granted the petition of several industry groups to reconsider the Final Rule, and moved us to hold all proceedings in abeyance. The EPA pointed to Congress's recent enactment of the Water Infrastructure Improvements for the Nation Act ("WIIN Act"), Pub. L. No. 114-322, 130 Stat. 1628 (2016) (codified at 42 U.S.C. § 6945(d)), in December

2016 that, among other things, amended RCRA Subtitle D to allow the EPA to approve State permitting programs "to operate in lieu of [EPA] regulation of coal combustion residuals units in the State," provided those programs are at least as environmentally protective as the existing (or successor) EPA regulations. 42 U.S.C. § 6945(d)(1)(A). When we asked EPA to specify which provisions it planned to reconsider, the EPA filed another motion. That motion sought to remand provisions of the Rule relating to the beneficial use of Coal Residuals, alternative compliance provisions, legacy ponds, and the EPA's statutory authority to regulate inactive surface impoundments. We deferred a ruling on both motions until now.

On July 30, 2018, the EPA promulgated an amendment to the Final Rule (i) allowing a state or the EPA, when acting as a permitting authority, to use alternate groundwater performance standards, (ii) revising the groundwater performance standards for certain constituents, and (iii) extending the timeframe for facilities to cease receiving Coal Residuals once they are required to close. *See* Hazardous and Solid Waste Management System: Disposal of Coal Combustion Residuals from Electric Utilities; Amendments to the National Minimum Criteria, 83 Fed. Reg. 36,435, 36,436 (July 30, 2018).

II. Request for Abeyance

A. WIIN Act

At the outset, the EPA requests that this case be held in abeyance while it considers potential regulatory changes in response to Congress's enactment of the WIIN Act, 42 U.S.C. § 6945(d). The WIIN Act amended RCRA's Subtitle D State permitting scheme. As relevant here, Section 6945(d)

provides that the Administrator may approve qualified State "permit program[s] or other system[s] of prior approval and conditions under State law for regulation by the State of coal combustion residuals units" to "operate in lieu of [EPA] regulation of coal combustion residuals units in the State * * *." 42 U.S.C. § 6945(d)(1)(A).

But the Administrator may only approve a state plan if its standards "are at least as protective as the criteria" set by the EPA in its corresponding RCRA regulations, specifically including Coal Residuals regulation, 40 C.F.R. pt. 257. 42 U.S.C. § 6945(d)(1)(C); *see id.* § 6945(d)(1)(B)(i). The WIIN Act also provides that a Coal Residuals disposal site can only qualify as a "sanitary landfill" if it is in full compliance with, among other things, the EPA's extant (or successor) regulations governing Coal Residuals waste sites. 42 U.S.C. § 6945(d)(6).

The EPA argues that the WIIN Act has afforded it new regulatory options and makes "fundamental changes to RCRA Subtitle D as applied specifically to [Coal Residuals]." EPA WIIN Br. 4, 6, 8. On that basis, the EPA asks us to hold the case in abeyance while it decides whether or not "to alter some of its regulatory choices[.]" EPA WIIN Br. at 2, 6.

We decline to exercise our discretion to hold the case in abeyance. We leave it open for the EPA to address on remand the relevance of the WIIN Act, the Act's express incorporation of the EPA regulations published at 40 C.F.R. Part 257, and its definition of "sanitary landfill."

III. Environmental Petitioners' Challenges

A. Unlined Surface Impoundments

Environmental Petitioners challenge the Final Rule's provision that existing, unlined surface impoundments may continue to operate until they cause groundwater contamination. 40 C.F.R. § 257.101(a)(1). They contend that the EPA failed to show how continued operation of unlined impoundments meets RCRA's baseline requirement that any solid waste disposal site pose "no reasonable probability of adverse effects on health or the environment." 42 U.S.C. § 6944(a).

The EPA found that unlined impoundments are dangerous: It concluded that, among the studied disposal methods, putting Coal Residuals "in unlined surface impoundments and landfills presents the greatest risks to human health and the environment." 80 Fed. Reg. at 21,451. The Rule accordingly requires that all new surface impoundments be constructed with composite lining that effectively secures against leakage. See 40 C.F.R. § 257.72(a). But it allows existing unlined impoundments to continue to receive Coal Residuals indefinitely, until their operators detect that they are leaking. *Id.* § 257.101(a). Only once a leak is found must the operator of an unlined impoundment begin either retrofitting the unit with a composite liner, or closing it down—a process that the Rule contemplates may take upwards of fifteen years. Id. § 257.102(f). In view of the record evidence that led the EPA to conclude that composite liners are needed to ensure that new impoundments meet RCRA Subtitle D's "no reasonable probability" standard, Environmental Petitioners claim that the Rule's allowance for continued operation of existing, unlined

surface impoundments is arbitrary and capricious and contrary to RCRA.

The EPA and Industry Intervenors assert that the composite lining required for new units is not needed for existing units because most unlined impoundments do not leak, and an unlined impoundment that is not leaking is not dangerous. Industry Intervenors emphasize that the record suggests that "almost two-thirds of unlined impoundments do not leak," and they assert that "appropriate controls on impoundments that do leak" suffice to meet RCRA's "no reasonable probability" standard. Industry Intervenor Br. 6-7. The EPA underscores that it made no finding of any "reasonable probability that each and every unlined impoundment will, in fact, result in adverse effects on health and the environment." Resp't Br. 82. It insists that RCRA's "no reasonable probability" standard is met by the Rule's provisions for "extensive monitoring of groundwater to detect constituent leaking," id. at 83, and "immediate action to stop that leak," "redress that leak," and to close the site as soon as a harmful leak is detected. Oral Arg. Tr. 100:20-100:25.

The record shows, however, that the vast majority of existing impoundments are unlined, *see* Regulatory Impact Analysis 3-4, J.A. 1108, that unlined impoundments have a 36.2 to 57 per cent chance of leakage at a harmfully contaminating level during their foreseeable use, *see id.* at 4-9, 5-22, J.A. 1111–1112, and that the threat of contamination from unlined units exceeds the EPA's cancer risk criteria and thus "generally will be considered to pose a substantial present or potential hazard to human health and the environment," 80 Fed. Reg. at 21,449–21,450; *see* Risk Assessment 5-5, J.A. 1041. It is inadequate under RCRA for the EPA to conclude that a major category of impoundments that the agency's own data show are prone to leak pose "no reasonable probability of

USCE lectronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 03/30/2020 ** R2020 age 19 of 72

19

adverse effects on health or the environment," 42 U.S.C. § 6944(a), simply because they do not already leak.

The number of unlined impoundments is large. The EPA identified 735 existing active surface impoundments throughout the country. Of the 504 sites for which the EPA was able to collect liner data, approximately 65 per cent were completely unlined, with most of the rest lined only with compacted soil or other partial or high-permeability liners. *See* Regulatory Impact Analysis 3-4 n.105, J.A. 1108. Only 17 per cent of surface impoundments for which the EPA has liner data had composite liners—the sole liner type that the EPA found to be effective in reducing the risk of toxic chemical leakage to the level that the Agency found acceptable.

Those hundreds of unlined impoundments are at significant risk of harmful leakage. Of 157 sites where the EPA confirmed that Coal Residuals have already caused damage to human health and the environment, the damage cases "were primarily associated with unlined units." 80 Fed. The record evidence shows that an Reg. at 21,452. impoundment with composite lining, which the Rule requires of all new impoundments, has a 0.1 per cent chance of contaminating groundwater at drinking-water wells a mile distant from the impoundment perimeter over the course of a 100-year period. Regulatory Impact Analysis 5-22, J.A. 1112. An unlined impoundment, in contrast, has a 36.2 per cent chance of contaminating groundwater at such a distance. See *id.* And the probability of contamination is higher at distances closer to the impoundment site, id., J.A. 1112; measured one meter from the impoundment's perimeter, the contamination risk jumps to 57 per cent, id., J.A. 1111. See Risk Assessment ES-4, J.A. 1083–1084 ("In many of the potential damages cases, groundwater exceedances were discovered near the boundary" of the impoundment). According to the

administrative record, then, a significant portion of the 575 identified unlined surface impoundments are likely to contaminate groundwater.

Impoundment leakages pose substantial risks to humans and the environment. The EPA studied a wide range of toxins present in Coal Residuals, see Risk Assessment ES-4, J.A. 1010, and considered various forms of potential human and environmental exposures. The EPA uses risk benchmarks in assessing the propriety of regulatory action. For example, it treats a cancer risk in excess of 1×10^4 , or 1 in 10,000, as one that "generally will be considered to pose a substantial present or potential hazard to human health and the environment[.]" 80 Fed. Reg. at 21,449. For non-cancer risks, the EPA determined that a Hazard Quotient-defined as the "ratio of the estimated exposure to the exposure at which it is likely that there would be no adverse health effects," 75 Fed. Reg. at 35,168—gives rise to such a threat when it is greater than or equal to 1. See 80 Fed. Reg. at 21,449. Using those benchmarks and the data it collected from the Risk Assessment, the EPA found that material human exposures derive from ingestion of contaminated groundwater or the consumption of contaminated fish. Id. at 21,450–21,451.² The plant and animal exposures the EPA identified as material derive from contact with contaminated surface water. See id.; Risk Assessment 5-8, J.A. 1044. The EPA also expressed concern about the contamination of groundwater that is not currently used as a source of drinking water because "[s]ources of drinking water are finite, and future users' interests must

² The EPA's Risk Assessment found that unlined impoundments created an unacceptable human cancer risk as a result of exposure to two different arsenics, and an unacceptable non-cancer risk as a result of exposure to one type of arsenic, as well as lithium, molybdenum, and thallium. *See* Risk Assessment 5-5, J.A. 1041.

also be protected." 80 Fed. Reg. at 21,452. In view of the record's limitation of the risk calculus associated with leakage to the subset of toxins and exposures that the EPA deemed to present a substantial risk to human health or the environment, the EPA's assertion in its brief that, even where it occurs, leakage "will not necessarily result in contamination of groundwater, either above allowable regulatory thresholds, or at all," is at best a red herring. Resp't Br. at 85. Every leakage the EPA record treated as material exceeded regulatory thresholds. In defending the Rule here, the EPA looks at too narrow a subset of risk information and applies the wrong legal test.

The Final Rule's approach of relying on leak detection followed by closure is arbitrary and contrary to RCRA. This approach does not address the identified health and environmental harms documented in the record, as RCRA requires. Moreover, the EPA has not shown that harmful leaks will be promptly detected; that, once detected, they will be promptly stopped; or that contamination, once it occurs, can be remedied.

On its own terms, the Rule does not contemplate that contamination will be detected as soon as it appears in The EPA and Industry defend the rule as groundwater. RCRA-compliant principally because, they say, it provides for retrofit with a composite liner or closure of an unlined impoundment "[o]n the *first* indication that an unlined unit is leaking[.]" Industry Intervenor Br. 6. But the required groundwater sampling need only occur "at least semiannual[ly]," or perhaps less frequently under certain geological conditions. 40 C.F.R. § 257.94(b), (d); *id*. \S 257.95(c). The Rule thus contemplates that leaks will often go undetected for many months.

USCE lectronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 03/30/2020 ** R2020 ag 22 of 72

22

By the time groundwater contamination from an unlined impoundment has been detected, more damage will have been done than had the impoundment been lined: Leakage from unlined impoundments is typically quicker, more pervasive, and at larger volumes than from lined impoundments. *See* 80 Fed. Reg. at 21,406. Unlike lined impoundments, in which leaks are "usually caused by some localized or specific defect in the liner system that can more readily be identified and corrected," leakage from unlined impoundments is more pervasive and less amenable to any quick, localized fix. *Id.* at 21,371. When an unlined impoundment begins to leak, Coal Residual sludge "will flow through the unit and into the environment unrestrained," such that retrofit or closure of the unit are typically "the only corrective action strateg[ies] that [the] EPA can determine will be effective[.]" *See id.*

Neither retrofitting nor closure occurs immediately under the Rule; the timeline contemplates a process that takes from five to fifteen years. See 40 C.F.R. § 257.102. The EPA understates the harm its own record evidences by emphasizing that "leaking unlined impoundments must cease receiving [Coal Residuals] and initiate closure or retrofit activities within six months." Resp't Br. at 81; see 40 C.F.R. § 257.101(a)(1). What it neglects to account for is that the Rule gives the operator a further five years to complete retrofitting or closure activities. Id. §§ 257.102(f)(1)(ii), 257.102(k)(3). The Rule also allows the operators of surface impoundments to extend that window, by up to two years for smaller units and, for units larger than 40 acres—which most are, see 80 Fed. Reg. at 21,303—for up to ten years, see 40 C.F.R. § 257.102(f)(2)(ii).

The Rule addresses neither the risks to public health and to the environment before leakage is detected, nor the harms from continued leakage during the years before leakage is ultimately halted by retrofit or closure. *See generally* 40

USCE lectronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 03/30/2020 ** R2020 age 23 of 72

C.F.R. §§ 257.90–257.104. In defending the Rule as compliant with RCRA, the EPA did not even consider harms during the retrofit or closure process. *See* Resp't Br. 80–86; 80 Fed. Reg. at 21,403–21,406; *cf*. Oral Arg. Tr. 102–105 (EPA counsel unable to identify record evidence regarding how quickly leaks can be detected or how quickly and thoroughly responsive action can occur, but referring generally to a practice of immediate "pump and treat," which the Rule does not appear to require). An agency's failure to consider an important aspect of the problem is one of the hallmarks of arbitrary and capricious reasoning. *See United States Sugar Corp. v. EPA*, 830 F.3d 579, 606 (D.C. Cir. 2016) (per curiam) (citing *Motor Vehicle Mfrs. Ass'n v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co.*, 463 U.S. 29, 43 (1983)).

The EPA's position suffers additional flaws. The EPA determined that contaminated surface waters, such as rivers, streams, and lakes, are the principal pathway of harm to environmental receptors, but the Final Rule requires only monitoring of groundwater, and only for levels of contamination that would harm human health. See 40 C.F.R. §§ 257.90–257.95 (calling for groundwater monitoring systems); 75 Fed. Reg. at 35,130 (defining maximum contaminant level in terms of drinking water safety). Surface water contamination poses environmental risks from "[e]levated selenium levels in migratory birds, wetland vegetative damage, fish kills, amphibian deformities, * * * [and] plant toxicity," 75 Fed. Reg. at 35,172, and to humans through the possible consumption of contaminated fish, 80 Fed. Reg. at 21,444. These risks exceed the EPA's risk criteria for ecological receptors. See Risk Assessment 5-8, J.A. 1044. And some contamination levels that do not meet the risk threshold for humans may exceed thresholds for ecological receptors. See, e.g., id. (noting a risk exceedance unique to ecological receptors from cadmium). Yet the record

does not explain how the Rule's provisions for groundwater monitoring, followed by corrective action only when human exposure benchmarks are exceeded, will mitigate these risks. RCRA requires the EPA to set minimum criteria for sanitary landfills that prevent harm to either "health *or* the environment." 42 U.S.C. § 6944(a) (emphasis added). The EPA's criteria for unlined surface impoundments, limited as they are to groundwater monitoring for contaminant levels keyed to human health, only partially address the first half of the statutory requirement.

For these reasons, we vacate 40 C.F.R. § 257.101, which allows for the continued operation of unlined impoundments, and remand for additional consideration consistent with this opinion.

B. Liner Type Criteria

Environmental Petitioners next challenge the Final Rule's regulation of so-called "clay-lined" surface impoundments. A clay liner consists of at least two feet of compacted soil to act as a buffer between the Coal Residual sludge and the local soil. See Risk Assessment 4-8; J.A. 1024. Even as the Rule requires all newly constructed surface impoundments to be built with composite lining, disapproving any new impoundments lined only with compacted soil, it treats existing impoundments constructed with the same compacted soil and no geomembrane as if they were "lined." See 40 C.F.R. §§ 257.71(a)(1)(i), 257.96–257.98. The upshot is that such clay-lined impoundments may stay open and keep accepting Coal Residuals, subject to groundwater monitoring for leakage, see 40 C.F.R. § 257.101, but, unlike existing unlined impoundments-which must begin closure when they leak, id. § 257.71(a)(1)—clay-lined impoundments need not begin closure when they are discovered to be leaking. Rather, their

USCE lectronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 03/30/2020 ** R2020 ag 25 of 72

25

operators may attempt to repair them first. *Id.* §§ 257.96–257.98. Only if repair is unsuccessful must they then begin the protracted process to either retrofit with a composite liner or close.

The EPA contends that, by requiring the operators of claylined impoundments to fix leaks when they occur, the Rule comports with RCRA's mandate to ensure "no reasonable probability of adverse effects on health or the environment." 42 U.S.C. § 6944(a); *see* Resp't Br. 88–89. For their part, Environmental Petitioners point to record evidence that claylined units are likely to leak, and contend that the EPA's approach "authorizes an endless cycle of spills and clean-ups" in violation of RCRA. *See* Envtl. Pet'r Br. 30.

Clay-lined units are dangerous: "clay-lined units tended to have lower risks than unlined units" but, the record evidence showed, they were "still above the criteria" that the EPA set as the threshold level requiring regulation. 75 Fed. Reg. at 35,144. Clay-lined surface impoundments have a 9.1 per cent chance of causing groundwater contamination at drinking water wells at a one-mile distance from the impoundment perimeter. See Regulatory Impact Analysis 5-22, J.A. 1112. And, as with unlined impoundments, the EPA acknowledges that the risk of contamination from leaking clay-lined impoundments is much higher closer to the impoundment perimeter. See Risk Assessment 5-39 to 5-40, J.A. 1075-1076 ("[A]rsenic concentrations fall dramatically as the distance from the [waste management units] increases."); id. at 5-47 to 5-48, J.A. 1083–1084 ("In many of the potential damage cases, ground water exceedances were discovered near the boundary

USCE lectronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 03/30/2020 ** R2020 ag 26 of 72

26

of the W[aste] M[anagement] U[nit].").³ Leaks from claylined units, the EPA found, present cancer and non-cancer risks that exceed the EPA's risk criteria. *See* Risk Assessment 5-5, 5-30, J.A 1041, 1066.

The EPA's regulation of clay-lined impoundments suffers from the same lack of support as its regulation of unlined impoundments. *See supra* part III.A. Just as the EPA did not explain how the Rule's contemplated detection and response could assure "no reasonable probability of adverse effects to health or the environment" at unlined impoundments, it likewise failed regarding existing impoundments lined with nothing more than compacted soil. The EPA insists that the Rule's criteria ensure that leaks from these clay-lined units will be "promptly" addressed, thereby satisfying RCRA. Resp't Br. 91.

But here, too, the EPA has failed to show how unstaunched leakage while a response is pending comports with the "no reasonable probability" standard. The problem is compounded by the Rule's unsupported supposition that leaking clay liners, unlike leaking unlined impoundments, can be repaired. The Rule thus allows an operator of a leaking clay-lined impoundment time to explore repair even before the five-to-fifteen year retrofit-or-close clock starts to run. For starters, the Rule allows operators of lined impoundments up to five months to complete an assessment of possible corrective measures, 40 C.F.R. § 257.96(a), and—given the numerous, complicated steps involved in doing so-allows an additional, indefinite amount of time to actually select a remedy. See id. § 257.97; 80 Fed. Reg. at 21,407–21,408. Once an operator

³ The administrative record does not show the exact probability of contamination from clay-lined units at a one-meter distance. *See* Regulatory Impact Analysis, 4-9 to 5-22, J.A. 1111–1112.

settles on a remedy, it has another three months to initiate remedial activities. *Id.* at § 257.98(a). If it turns out that no effective repair is feasible, or if an attempted repair proves unsuccessful, only then does the Rule contemplate the impoundment's operator will begin the protracted process discussed above in connection with the closure of existing, unlined impoundments—of retrofitting the site with a composite liner or closing it. There is no evidence in the record supporting the EPA's assumption that clay liners are reasonably susceptible of repair, nor any explanation or account of how the risks of harm during the lengthy response periods the Rule allows comport with the "no reasonable probability" standard.

Just as with the EPA's regulation of unlined impoundments, the Rule's treatment of clay-lined impoundments does not capture the full range of health and environmental harms they pose, as RCRA requires. By responding only to risks from leakage contaminating groundwater a mile from the perimeter of the studied impoundments, and accordingly setting minimum criteria that focus solely on harms to humans through drinking water contamination, the EPA has failed to ensure "no reasonable probability" of adverse effects to the environment, as RCRA requires. 42 U.S.C. § 6944(a).

For these reasons, we vacate the Rule insofar as it treats "clay-lined" units as if they were lined. See 40 C.F.R. $\S 257.71(a)(1)(i)$.

C. Legacy Ponds

The EPA exempted inactive impoundments at *inactive* facilities, which are commonly referred to as "legacy ponds," from the same preventative regulation applied to all other

USCE lectronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 03/30/2020 ** R2020 age 28 of 72

inactive impoundments under the Rule. 40 C.F.R. § 257.50(e). The EPA considered it sufficient instead just (i) to wait to intervene until a substantial environmental or human harm is "imminent," 42 U.S.C. § 6973, or (ii) to attempt to remediate the damage after contamination has occurred. 80 Fed. Reg. at 21,311 n.1; *id.* at 21,312 n.2. Environmental Petitioners argue that, because legacy ponds pose at least the same risks of adverse effects as all other inactive impoundments, the EPA failed to articulate a rational explanation for their dissimilar treatment.

The EPA does not dispute the dangers posed by the unregulated legacy ponds, but asserts that the difficulties in identifying the party responsible for legacy ponds justify its reactive approach. Because the EPA's own record plainly contravenes that rationale, and the Rule pays scant attention to the substantial risk of harm to human health and the environment posed by legacy ponds, we reject the legacy pond exemption as arbitrary and capricious.

1.

Legacy ponds are a particular subset of inactive impoundments. Like all inactive impoundments, they contain a toxic "slurry" of Coal Residuals mixed with water, but legacy ponds are not receiving new deposits. 80 Fed. Reg. at 21,457 n.219. What distinguishes legacy ponds from other inactive impoundments, then, is their location. Legacy ponds are found at power plants that are no longer engaged in energy production. In other words, legacy ponds are inactive impoundments at inactive facilities.

As a result, legacy ponds present a unique confluence of risks: They pose the same substantial threats to human health and the environment as the riskiest Coal Residuals disposal

methods, compounded by diminished preventative and remediation oversight due to the absence of an onsite owner and daily monitoring. *See* 80 Fed. Reg. at 21,343–21,344 (finding that the greatest disposal risks are "primarily driven by the older existing units, which are generally unlined"). Notably, this very Rule was prompted by a catastrophic legacy pond failure that resulted in a "massive" spill of 39,000 tons of coal ash and 27 million gallons of wastewater into North Carolina's Dan River. *Id.* at 21,394; *id.* at 21,393.

Nevertheless, the EPA chose to leave legacy ponds on the regulatory sidelines. 40 C.F.R. § 257.50(e). Unlike all the other inactive impoundments, EPA adopted a largely hands-off approach, choosing (i) to respond only after "imminent" leakage is detected and reported, 42 U.S.C. § 6973(a) (RCRA's "imminent and substantial endangerment" provision), or to (ii) attempt an after-the-spill clean up under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (commonly known as the "Superfund" statute), 42 U.S.C. § 9601 *et seq. See* 80 Fed. Reg. at 21,312 n.2 (citing 42 U.S.C. § 9608(b)).

The EPA's rationale for allowing legacy ponds, in effect, one free leak was its supposed inability to identify the owners of legacy ponds. In the absence of an identified owner or other responsible party, the EPA reasoned, enforcing the Coal Residuals regime would be difficult with no operator onsite to generate compliance certifications, conduct inspections, or otherwise implement the Rule's substantive requirements. *See* 80 Fed. Reg. at 21,344.

The EPA's decision was arbitrary and capricious. To begin with, there is no gainsaying the dangers that unregulated legacy ponds present. The EPA itself acknowledges the vital importance of regulating inactive impoundments at active

facilities. That is because, if not properly closed, those impoundments will "significant[ly]" threaten "human health and the environment through catastrophic failure" for many years to come. 75 Fed. Reg. at 35,177; *see also* 80 Fed. Reg. at 21,344 n.40.

The risks posed by legacy ponds are at least as substantial as inactive impoundments at active facilities. See 80 Fed. Reg. at 21,342–21,344 (finding "no[] measurabl[e] differen[ce]" in risk of catastrophic events between active and inactive impoundments). And the threat is very real. Legacy ponds caused multiple human-health and environmental disasters in the years leading up to the Rule's promulgation. See 75 Fed. Reg. at 35,147 (proposed rule discusses multiple serious incidents). For example, a pipe break at a legacy pond at the Widows Creek plant in Alabama caused 6.1 million gallons of toxic slurry to deluge local waterways. Another legacy pond in Gambrills, Maryland caused the heavy metal contamination of local drinking water. Id. And the preamble to the Rule itself specifically points to the catastrophic spill at the Dan River legacy pond in North Carolina. 80 Fed. Reg. at 21,393–21,394. Simply hoping that somehow there will be last-minute warnings about imminent dangers at sites that are not monitored, or relying on cleaning up the spills after great damage is done and the harm inflicted does not sensibly address those dangers. Certainly it does not fulfill the EPA's statutory duty to ensure "no reasonable probability of adverse effects" to environmental and human well-being. 42 U.S.C. § 6944(a).

Confronted by those considerable dangers, the EPA's decision to shrug off preventative regulation makes no sense. The asserted difficulty in locating the owners or operators responsible for legacy ponds does not hold water. The record shows that the EPA knows where existing legacy ponds are

USCE lectronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 03/30/2020 ** R2020 age 31 of 72

31

and, with that and other information, the EPA already is aware of or can feasibly identify the responsible parties. After all, the owners and operators of the Dan River, Widows Creek, and Gambrills, Maryland disasters were all known. *See* 80 Fed Reg. at 21,393–21,394; 75 Fed. Reg. at 35,147.

Also, the EPA has been compiling and maintaining a database for nearly a decade that identifies legacy ponds and their owners with specificity. See Regulatory Impact Analysis for EPA's Proposed RCRA Regulation of Coal Combustion Residues, Information Request Responses from Electric Utilities (April 30, 2010), available at https://archive.epa.gov/epawaste/nonhaz/industrial/special/fos sil/web/xlsx/survey database 041212.xlsx. The Final Rule's Regulatory Impact Analysis named more than thirty other owners and operators of recently, or soon-to-be, retired power plants where more than 100 legacy ponds are located. This included a State-by-State list detailing the number of alreadyinactive impoundments, and the utility responsible for each one. See id.; see also J.A. 1104, 1119. The database further identified 83 power plants that were scheduled to "fully close all coal-fired" facilities by the time the Rule went into effect, over 75% of which would house a legacy pond upon closure. J.A. 1116.

In sum, the EPA acknowledges that (i) it has the authority to regulate inactive units, (ii) it is regulating inactive units at active facilities, (iii) the risks posed by legacy ponds are at least as severe as the other inactive-impoundment dangers that the "[R]ule specifically seeks to address, and [(iv)] there is no logical basis for distinguishing between units that present the same risks." 80 Fed. Reg. at 21,343. The EPA also considers it "quite clear" that older, unlined impoundments, Oral Arg. Tr. at 94:22—which are primarily legacy ponds—pose "the greatest risks to human health and the environment," 80 Fed.

Reg. at 21,451. Because the administrative record belies the EPA's stated reason for its reactive, rather than preventative, approach—the inability to identify the responsible parties—the Rule's legacy ponds exemption is unreasoned, arbitrary, and capricious.

D. Inadequate Notice by Owners and Operators

Because of RCRA's reliance on citizen enforcement, the statute requires the EPA to "develop and publish minimum guidelines for public participation" in the "development, revision, implementation, and enforcement" of any RCRA regulation. 42 U.S.C. § 6974(b)(1). The EPA implements that statutory requirement, as relevant here, by requiring the owners of Coal Residuals units to "maintain a publicly accessible Internet site" on which they timely disclose specified information about their compliance with RCRA regulations. 40 C.F.R. § 257.107(a).

The Environmental Petitioners wage several assaults on the Rule's Internet notice requirements, arguing that they do not provide adequate or timely notice to permit the public to participate in monitoring compliance with the Rule. For example, the Environmental Petitioners object that the Rule does not require owners or operators of new Coal Residual impoundments to post a design certification—an engineer's certification that the impoundment's liner meets the EPA's criteria—until sixty days after construction begins. 40 C.F.R. § 257.107(f)(1); *see* 40 C.F.R. § 257.70. That is too late, the Environmental Petitioners argue, to put the public on effective notice of any potential design problems. They also object that the Rule does not require timely public notification about the design or liner compliance of impoundment expansions, the structural integrity of facilities, protections against airborne

coal dust, run-off control, hydraulic capacity requirements, or the nature of groundwater monitoring efforts.

The problem for Environmental Petitioners is that, although they participated in the notice-and-comment rulemaking process, they never voiced objections to the Rule's notice provisions that they now challenge. Having stood silent during the rulemaking, the Environmental Petitioners may not now raise their complaints for the first time in their petition for judicial review. See Military Toxics Project v. EPA, 146 F.3d 948, 956 (D.C. Cir. 1998); see also City of Portland v. EPA, 507 F.3d 706, 710 (D.C. Cir. 2007) ("Because [no] party raised this argument before the [EPA] during the rulemaking process, however, it is waived, and we will not consider it."). The sanction does not exist as a procedural trap; the notice-and-comment process is in place so that the agency can consider and-if necessary-revise its proposed rule in light of public comments. United States v. L.A. Tucker Truck Lines, Inc., 344 U.S. 33, 37 (1952) ("[O]rderly procedure and good administration require that objections to the proceedings of an administrative agency be made while it has opportunity for correction in order to raise issues reviewable by the courts."). The EPA reasonably focuses its resources on consideration and/or modification of challenged portions of a proposed rule rather than unchallenged and apparently uncontroversial portions thereof. See Interstate Nat. Gas Ass'n of Am. v. FERC, 494 F.3d 1092, 1096 (D.C. Cir. 2007) (agency must respond to material comments only). Accordingly, we will not address this claim.

IV. Industry Petitioners' Challenges

Industry Petitioners bring a host of their own challenges to the Rule. As noted, these claims have dwindled over the course of this litigation. At the start, Industry Petitioners

USCElectronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 03/30/2020 ** R2020 ag 34 of 72

34

challenged eighteen provisions of the Final Rule and questioned the EPA's statutory authority to regulate inactive surface impoundments.⁴ In response, the EPA filed an unopposed motion to sever and remand two aspects of the Final Rule (regarding five regulatory provisions). On June 14, 2016, we granted the motion. Industry Petitioners continued to challenge the thirteen remaining substantive provisions as well as to attack the EPA's statutory authority. In the parties' proposed oral argument structure, however, Industry Petitioners moved to dismiss two additional challenges (regarding three regulatory provisions). We granted that motion as well.

Accounting for these interim trims, Industry Petitioners now assert that the EPA (i) lacks authority to regulate inactive impoundments; (ii) failed to provide sufficient notice of its intention to apply the aquifer location criteria to existing impoundments, to regulate Coal Residual piles of 12,400 or more tons, and to regulate the temporary storage of Coal

⁴ Industry Petitioners' initial brief challenged portions of the following regulations: 40 C.F.R. §§ 257.50(c), 257.100 (inactive impoundments); 40 C.F.R. § 257.53 (definition of "beneficial use" and regulation of CCR "pile"); 40 C.F.R. § 257.60 (aquifer location restrictions); 40 C.F.R. §§ 257.73(e), (f)(1), 257.74(e) (minimum safety factors); 40 C.F.R. §§ 257.90(d), 257.96(a) ("release" response); 40 C.F.R. §§ 257.73(a)(4), 257.74(a)(4) (dike requirements); 40 C.F.R. § 257.103(a)(1)(i), (b)(1)(i) (prohibition on considering cost and inconvenience); 40 C.F.R. § 257.63(a) (seismic impact zone landfill requirements); 40 C.F.R. § 257.63(c)(1) (seismic impact zone deadline); 40 C.F.R. § 257.103 (inclusion of non-Coal Residuals waste streams in alternative closure provision); 40 C.F.R. §§ 257.95(h)(2), 257.97 (exclusion of risk-based compliance alternatives).

Residuals destined for beneficial use; and (iii) acted arbitrarily in regulating residual piles of 12,400 or more tons, in regulating on-site Coal Residuals destined for beneficial use, in eliminating the risk-based compliance alternatives, in issuing location requirements based on seismic impact zones, and in imposing temporary closure procedures.⁵

The EPA, now supported in part by Industry Petitioners, requests a remand of several of those issues, namely whether (i) the EPA has statutory authority to regulate inactive impoundments, (ii) the EPA arbitrarily regulated Coal Residuals piles of 12,400 or more tons, (iii) the EPA arbitrarily regulated on-site Coal Residuals destined for beneficial use, and (iv) the EPA arbitrarily eliminated risk-based compliance alternatives.

We grant the request for voluntary remand of the Coal Residuals pile-size and beneficial-use issues, and we dismiss as moot both the claim regarding risk-based compliance alternatives and the accompanying notice challenges. As to all remaining issues, we deny remand, and we deny the Industry Petitioners' petition for review.

A. EPA's Motion for Voluntary Remand

We have broad discretion to grant or deny an agency's motion to remand. *See Limnia, Inc. v. Department of Energy*, 857 F.3d 379, 381, 386 (D.C. Cir. 2017). We generally grant an agency's motion to remand so long as "the agency intends

⁵ These challenges encompass the following regulations (or portions thereof): 40 C.F.R. §§ 257.50(c), 257.100 (inactive impoundments); 40 C.F.R. § 257.103(a)(1)(i), (b)(1)(i) (alternative closure requirements); 40 C.F.R. § 257.63(a), (c)(1) (seismic impact zone requirements).

to take further action with respect to the original agency decision on review." Id. (emphasis omitted). Remand has the benefit of allowing "agencies to cure their own mistakes rather than wasting the courts' and the parties' resources reviewing a record that both sides acknowledge to be incorrect or incomplete." Ethyl Corp. v. Browner, 989 F.2d 522, 524 (D.C. Cir. 1993). Remand may also be appropriate if the agency's motion is made in response to "intervening events outside of the agency's control, for example, a new legal decision or the passage of new legislation." SKF USA Inc. v. United States, 254 F.3d 1022, 1028 (Fed. Cir. 2001) (discussing National Fuel Gas Supply Corp. v. FERC, 899 F.2d 1244, 1249 (D.C. Cir. 1990) (per curiam)). Alternatively, "even if there are no intervening events, the agency may request a remand (without confessing error) in order to reconsider its previous position." Id. at 1029.

In deciding a motion to remand, we consider whether remand would unduly prejudice the non-moving party. *See FBME Bank Ltd. v. Lew*, 142 F. Supp. 3d 70, 73 (D.D.C. 2015). Additionally, if the agency's request appears to be frivolous or made in bad faith, it is appropriate to deny remand. *See SKF USA*, 254 F.3d at 1029; *see also Lutheran Church-Missouri Synod v. FCC*, 141 F.3d 344, 349 (D.C. Cir. 1998) (denying FCC's "novel, last second motion to remand" because it was based on agency's non-binding prospective policy statement).

To start, we decline the EPA's request to remand the challenge to the agency's authority to regulate inactive impoundments so that it can reconsider its interpretation of the statute, for two reasons. First, the EPA's statutory authority over inactive sites necessarily implicates the Environmental Petitioners' claim regarding legacy ponds. So, even if Industry Petitioners are willing to go along with a remand, Environmental Petitioners are not and remand would prejudice

USCElectronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 03/30/2020 ** R2020 age 37 of 72

37

the vindication of their own claim. Second, this claim involves a question—the scope of the EPA's statutory authority—that is intertwined with any exercise of agency discretion going forward. Given that, the EPA has not met its burden of justifying its last-minute request for a remand in this case, and we proceed to the merits on this issue.

The EPA also initially requested a remand of its decision to exclude certain risk-based compliance measures. On July 30, 2018, however, the EPA promulgated amendments to the Final Rule. See Hazardous and Solid Waste Management Disposal of Coal Combustion Residuals From System: Electric Utilities; Amendments to the National Minimum Criteria (Phase One, Part One) ("Final Rule Amendments"), 83 Fed. Reg. 36,435 (July 30, 2018). The Final Rule Amendments provide certain risk-based compliance measures and site-specific engineering certifications. Accordingly, we dismiss as moot Industry Petitioners' challenge to 40 C.F.R. §§ 257.95(h) and 257.97. See National Min. Ass'n v. Department of Interior, 251 F.3d 1007, 1011 (D.C. Cir. 2001) (dismissing challenges as moot due to "substantial changes" in regulations and declaring "[a]ny opinion regarding the former rules would be merely advisory").

For the remaining requests—(i) the regulation of Coal Residuals piles; (ii) the Proposed Rule's notice of the Coal Residuals pile regulation; and (iii) the 12,400-ton threshold for beneficial use (and notice thereof)—we grant the EPA's motion to remand.⁶ First and foremost, the EPA has explained that it plans to reconsider these provisions and has submitted a

⁶ Specifically, we remand without vacating 40 C.F.R § 257.53 (definition of "beneficial use" and regulation of Coal Residuals "pile").

proposed timeline to the court, thereby satisfying the requirement for remand that it "take further action with respect to the original agency decision on review." *Limnia*, 857 F.3d at 386 (emphasis omitted). Second, although the WIIN Act does not affect the validity of the Rule itself, it does provide the EPA with new tools to pursue its regulatory goals. *See* 42 U.S.C. § 6945(d)(4) (incorporating enforcement provisions of Sections 6927 and 6928).⁷

The EPA has explained that the Final Rule was promulgated with the understanding that there would be no regulatory "overseer," and therefore the Final Rule itself should "account for and be protective of all sites, including those that are highly vulnerable." 80 Fed. Reg. at 21,311; id. (explaining how "the requirement to establish national criteria and the absence of any requirement for direct regulatory oversight" influenced the Final Rule). Although a one-sizefits-all national standard might have been necessary for the self-implementing Final Rule, more precise risk-based standards are both feasible and enforceable under the individualized permitting programs and direct monitoring provisions authorized by the WIIN Act. See Oral Arg. Tr. 37:12-37:14 (counsel for EPA explaining that certain provisions of the Final Rule "cry out for site specific enforcement"). Thus, the regulatory tools authorized by the WIIN Act support the EPA's request to reconsider certain provisions of the Rule. See SKF USA, 254 F.3d at 1028.

⁷ On March 23, 2018, the Consolidated Appropriations Act of 2018 was signed into law. Pub. L. No. 115-141, 132 Stat. 348. It allocates funds to the EPA to "implement[] a coal combustion residual permit program under" the WIIN Act. *Id.* at Division G, Title II. Accordingly, with its recently acquired funding, the EPA is to "implement a permit program" in non-participating states. 42 U.S.C. § 6945(d)(2)(B).

USCE lectronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 03/30/2020 ** R2020 age 39 of 72

39

Moreover, the provisions we now remand stand unchallenged on their merits; accordingly, no party will suffer prejudice from remand without vacatur. See FBME Bank, 142 F. Supp. 3d at 73. Indeed, at this stage in the litigation, all parties agree that the "beneficial use" and "Coal Residuals pile" provisions should stay in effect—at least until a new rule is promulgated. See EPA Remand Mot. 2 ("EPA seeks remand of these provisions without vacatur, and thus they remain in place and fully applicable[.]"). Moreover, the only parties that object to remand—Environmental Petitioners—did not challenge any of the relevant provisions in their petition; rather they *defended* the provisions as Intervenors. See generally Envtl. Intervenor-Resp't Br. 14-22. Accordingly, any opinion we issue regarding these provisions would be wholly advisory; it would resolve no active case or controversy and would award no relief. See Chafin v. Chafin, 568 U.S. 165, 172 (2013) (case is non-justiciable if court is unable to grant concrete relief to any party).

We conclude that there is no reason to opine on the "beneficial use" and "Coal Residuals pile" provisions that the EPA wants remanded. At oral argument, the court pressed Industry counsel as to why Industry Petitioners did not simply dismiss their petition rather than acquiescing in the EPA's motion. Oral Arg. Tr. 49-52. Industry counsel did not provide a clear answer. But he did make two concessions: First, he declared that Industry does not oppose remand. Id. at 50:16–50:23. Second, he acknowledged, "on a remand * * * the petition * * * is dismissed as a practical matter." Id. at 51:6-51:10 (emphasis added). Counsel is correct in one When combined with the statutory provision respect. requiring any challenge to be brought within 90 days of the Rule's promulgation, the legal effect of remand without vacatur is simple: The Rule remains in force and Industry Petitioners cannot bring another challenge until and unless the

USCE lectronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 03/30/2020 ** R2020 ag 90 of 72

40

EPA takes additional regulatory action. 42 U.S.C. \S 6976(a)(1) (petition for review "shall be filed within ninety days from the date of * * promulgation"). In effect, Industry Petitioners have withdrawn their petition with respect to the provisions for which it does not oppose remand.

Accordingly, we deny the EPA's motion to remand to itself Industry Petitioners' challenge to the EPA's regulation of inactive impoundments and Environmental Petitioner's challenge to the non-regulation of legacy ponds. We otherwise grant the motion to remand without vacatur.

B. Substantive Challenges

After deciding the issue of remand, we are left with Industry Petitioners' statutory argument and its three APA challenges to the Final Rule.

1. Authority to Regulate Inactive Impoundments

Industry Petitioners first challenge the EPA's regulatory authority to set any standards at all for inactive impoundments. That claim is without merit. Because those inactive sites house waste in "open dumps," 42 U.S.C. § 6944, RCRA's plain text unambiguously confers regulatory authority on the EPA.

By its terms, RCRA empowers the EPA generally to define "which facilities shall be classified as sanitary landfills and which shall be classified as open dumps[.]" 42 U.S.C. § 6944. Section 6943 of RCRA, in turn, incorporates those classification standards into minimum criteria for State regulatory plans. *Id.* § 6943. Those statutory minimums both require States to "provide for the closing or upgrading of all existing open dumps" and prohibit "the establishment of

new open dumps[.]" *Id.* § 6943(a)(2), (3). The statute also provides that, "[a]t a minimum," the EPA must define sanitary landfills to include only facilities where "there is no reasonable probability of adverse effects on health or the environment from disposal of solid waste[.]" 42 U.S.C. § 6944(a).⁸ In this way, the statute creates a binary world: A facility is a permissible sanitary landfill, or it is an impermissible open dump. The EPA regulates both.

While the statute allows the EPA to establish criteria for distinguishing between "open dumps" and "sanitary landfills," it also offers some definitions of its own. RCRA defines "open dump" as "any facility or site where solid waste is disposed of which is not a sanitary landfill" or a site regulated under RCRA Subtitle C's more rigorous hazardous waste provisions. 42 U.S.C. § 6903(14). The statute likewise defines "sanitary landfill" as "a facility for the disposal of solid waste [that] meets the criteria published under section 6944," *id.* § 6903(26), and that operates in accordance with the "applicable criteria for coal combustion residuals units under" 40 C.F.R. Part 257 or its successor regulations, *id.* § 6945(d)(6).

Finally, RCRA defines "disposal" as "the discharge, deposit, injection, dumping, spilling, leaking, or placing of any solid waste or hazardous waste into or on any land or water" in a manner by which "such solid waste or hazardous waste or any constituent thereof may enter the environment[.]" 42 U.S.C. § 6903(3).

⁸ As noted earlier, *supra*, Part II, we leave open on remand the definitional and substantive impact on the EPA's discretion of the WIIN Act's express incorporation of the extant or successor EPA regulations under 40 C.F.R. Part 257 into the statutory definition of "sanitary landfill."

Notwithstanding that broad assignment of regulatory authority, see 42 U.S.C. § 6912, Industry Petitioners argue that "inactive" impoundments—sites that contain, but no longer receive new, solid waste—cannot be "open dumps" within the EPA's regulatory ambit. Seizing on the phrase "is disposed of" in the definition of an "open dump," *id.* § 6903(14), they contend that the site must actively receive new waste to come within the statutory definition of a regulable waste disposal dump. Industry Petitioners also argue that the words used to define "disposal"—"discharge, deposit, injection, dumping, spilling, leaking, or placing," *id.* § 6903(3)—all require present and ongoing activity.

RCRA's reach, however, is not so narrow as Industry Petitioners suppose. Rather, a straightforward reading of the statute's language allows for the regulation of inactive sites.

We start by recognizing that, in RCRA, Congress delegated to the EPA "very broad" regulatory authority over waste disposal. *In re Consolidated Land Disposal Regulation Litig.*, 938 F.2d 1386, 1388 (D.C. Cir. 1991). We therefore review the Industry Petitioners' challenge under the two-step *Chevron* framework. Under this rubric, if RCRA is unambiguous, its text controls. *See Chevron, U.S.A., Inc. v. Natural Res. Def. Council, Inc.*, 467 U.S. 837, 842–843 (1984); *see also City of Arlington v. FCC*, 569 U.S. 290, 297 (2013) (holding that an agency's interpretation of the "jurisdictional" reach of its governing statute merits *Chevron* deference). If, on the other hand, the statute is silent or equivocal, we ask only whether the agency has offered a reasonable interpretation of the statute. *Chevron*, 467 U.S. at 843.

Resolution of this issue begins and ends with RCRA's plain text. The definition of "open dump," which is the key

term at issue, does not use the word "disposal." It uses the phrase "is disposed of": An "open dump" is "any facility or site where solid waste is disposed of[.]" 42 U.S.C. § 6903(14) (emphasis added). To divine its proper meaning, we must interpret the operative phrase "is disposed of" as a whole. Importantly, while the "is" retains its active present tense, the "disposal" takes the form of a past participle ("disposed"). In this way, the disposal itself can exist (it "is"), even if the act of disposal took place at some prior time. See Florida Dep't of Revenue v. Piccadilly Cafeterias, Inc., 554 U.S. 33, 39 (2008) (describing a past participle as a "verb form" that reaches "past or completed action") (quoting AMERICAN HERITAGE DICTIONARY 1287 (4th ed. 2000)); Sherley v. Sebelius, 644 F.3d 388, 403 n.4 (D.C. Cir. 2011) (Henderson, J., dissenting) (noting that the statute at issue "combine[d] the present tense 'are' with the past participle 'destroyed'" to "signify conduct that ha[d] already occurred") (citations omitted).⁹

Properly translated then, an open dump includes any facility (other than a sanitary landfill or hazardous waste disposal facility), where solid waste still "is deposited," "is

⁹ The concurring opinion notes that *Piccadilly Cafeterias* was ultimately resolved as a *Chevron* step two case. Concur Op. 4 n.1. True enough. But before the Court got to the *Chevron* step two stage of its analysis, it first endorsed, as the "more natural reading" of the relevant text, Florida's construction of the past participle as "unambiguously limit[ing]" certain tax exemptions in bankruptcy proceedings. 554 U.S. at 39, 41. Only then did the Court, for argument's sake, "assum[e]" that the relevant text were "ambiguous," and hold that any ambiguity would fall in Florida's favor. *Id.* at 41. The Court, in short, never found any ambiguity in the past participle's coverage of "past or completed action[s]," and in fact embraced that more natural meaning. *Id.* at 39. We too give Congress's adjectival past participle "is disposed of" its natural meaning.

dumped," "is spilled," "is leaked," or "is placed," regardless of when it might have originally been dropped off. *See* 42 U.S.C. § 6903(3), (14). In other words, the waste in inactive impoundments "is disposed of" at a site no longer receiving new waste in just the same way that it "is disposed of" in at a site that is still operating.

Tellingly, not even Industry Petitioners embrace the full import of their interpretation. They agree that previously deposited waste "is disposed of" at an impoundment site, so long as the site is actively accepting new waste. But if EPA's authority reaches only active disposal, it stands to reason that its authority over the site extends only to that newly deposited (or actively leaking) waste. But Industry Petitioners do not push this point—probably because, as a practical reality, waste is no less "disposed of" at a site the day after operations cease than it was the day before. That is, the waste previously dumped is still currently "placed" or "deposited" there. 42 U.S.C. § 6903(3), (14). In other words, the pile of Coal Residuals retains its regulated status whether or not anyone adds to the pile.

Think of it this way: If a kindergarten teacher tells her students that they must clean up any drink that "is spilled" in the room, that would most logically be understood to mean that a student must clean up her spilled drink even if the spill is already completed and nothing more is leaking out of the carton. A student who refused to clean up that completed spill (as Industry Petitioners would have it) might well find himself on time out.

What's more, the Industry Petitioners' reading butts up against the binary world created by the statute. RCRA creates two categories for Subtitle D waste: open dumps and sanitary landfills. Industry Petitioners offer no explanation of where

"inactive" sites fit into their understanding of that landscape. Nor do they explain why, once the last person turns off the lights, Congress's concern for the substantial health and environmental dangers posed by that pile of toxic waste would completely evaporate. As our concurring colleague aptly notes, "the disposal of [Coal Residuals] in an impoundment is not a discrete act. If it were, the EPA would regulate only the transfer of [Coal Residuals] from a power facility into an impoundment, at which point the 'disposal' would end." Concur Op. at 8.

The concurring opinion spies ambiguity only by splitting the operative verb "is disposed" into two distinctly analyzed parts: "is" and "disposed." Concur Op. 2–4. But just as courts must not "construe statutory phrases in isolation," we surely must read a single verb "as a whole" and not in pieces. *United States v. Morton*, 467 U.S. 822, 828 (1984). Even more so, we must give effect to the whole adjectival phrase "is disposed of." A site where garbage "is disposed of" is the place where garbage is dumped and left. The status of that site does not depend on whether or not more garbage is later piled on top. A garbage dump is a garbage dump until the deposited garbage is gone.

In short, as facilities "where solid waste is disposed of," 42 U.S.C. § 6903(14), inactive impoundments *are* "open dumps," unless they fall into one of two statutory exceptions—neither of which the Industry Petitioners claim applies to their inactive impoundments.¹⁰ And no one denies that the EPA has authority to regulate (and to prohibit) "open dumps."

¹⁰ The two exceptions, which Industry Petitioners do not contend apply here, are for "sanitary landfills," as defined by the

Instead, the Industry Petitioners point to cases interpreting the term "disposal" in the Superfund statute, 42 U.S.C. § 9601 et seq., to apply only to ongoing disposals. True enough. But those cases turned on the Superfund statute's different language, which is "at the time of disposal," not the RCRA phrase "is disposed of." See id. § 9607(a) (responsible persons subject to recovery costs under the Superfund statute include "any person who at the time of disposal of any hazardous substance owned or operated any facility at which such hazardous substances were disposed of"). The specific signification of that language lies at the heart of those court rulings. See Carson Harbor Vill., Ltd. v. Unocal Corp., 270 F.3d 863, 871 (9th Cir. 2001) ("We must decide in this case whether the Partnership Defendants *** owned the contaminated property 'at the time of disposal of any hazardous substance."") (citing 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a)(2)).¹¹

The Superfund statute also contains an "innocent landowner" defense by which a person can avoid liability if "the disposal or placement of the hazardous substance" occurred prior to that party's acquisition of the property. 42 U.S.C. § 9601(35)(A). That strengthens the notion that "at the time of disposal," as used in the Superfund statute, is time-

EPA, 42 U.S.C. § 6944, and sites housing "hazardous" waste regulated separately under RCRA Subtitle C, *id.* § 6921 *et seq.*

¹¹ See also ABB Indus. Sys., Inc. v. Prime Tech., Inc., 120 F.3d 351, 356 (2d Cir. 1997) ("Under [the Superfund statute], a prior owner or operator is a responsible party if it controlled the site 'at the time of disposal' of a hazardous substance."); United States v. CDMG Realty Co., 96 F.3d 706, 712–713 (3d Cir. 1996) ("HMAT contends that Dowel is liable as a person who owned or operated the facility 'at the time of disposal' of a hazardous substance."); Joslyn Mfg. Co. v. Koppers Co., 40 F.3d 750, 760 (5th Cir. 1994) (similar).

USCE lectronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 03/30/2020 ** R2020 age 27 of 72

47

dependent and refers to the act of placing the waste in the holding site. *See Carson Harbor Vill.*, 270 F.3d at 882. RCRA's distinct language comes with no such limiting textual indicia.

In short, the fundamental flaw in the Industry Petitioners' effort to limit EPA regulation to active impoundments is that they focus on the wrong text. For all their efforts to explain the meaning of the single word "disposal," they fail to grapple with the full phrase "is disposed of." RCRA is explicit that inactive sites may qualify as open dumps if they are facilities where waste "is disposed of," regardless of whether they are also facilities where more "disposal" continues to occur. As is often true in statutory interpretation, the words make all the difference.

Even if the text were ambiguous, the EPA's interpretation is eminently reasonable under *Chevron* step two. First, the same reasons supporting our interpretation of the plain statutory text demonstrate with even greater force the reasonableness of the EPA's interpretation.

Second, the EPA's interpretation directly advances RCRA's stated regulatory purpose. RCRA directs the EPA to develop standards that limit permissible waste sites "[a]t a minimum" to those with "no reasonable probability of adverse effects on health or the environment from disposal of solid waste[.]" 42 U.S.C. § 6944(a). No one denies that inactive impoundments can have significant adverse environmental and health effects. In fact, the EPA persuasively explains that inactive sites often pose even greater health risks given their age and accompanying deterioration. 80 Fed. Reg. at 21,343 (indicating that "the risks are primarily driven by the older existing units"); *see also id.* (noting that leaks into the Dan

River from an inactive impoundment occasioned publication of this very Rule).

The EPA's construction of the text is thus consistent with a straightforward reading of statutory text and RCRA's central purpose. See In re Consolidated Consol. Land Disposal Regulation Litig., 938 F.2d at 1389 (EPA's reading of the term "disposal" in RCRA's Subtitle C, 42 U.S.C. § 6924, to include "the continuing presence of waste" was reasonable under Chevron step two).

For all of those reasons, the Industry Petitioners' attempt to confine the EPA's authority to only active impoundments fails.

2. Notice Challenge to Aquifer Requirements

Under 5 U.S.C. § 553, an agency is required to give notice of a proposed rule and allow interested parties to comment on the rule before it is promulgated. Although the final rule need not be identical to the proposed rule, it must be the "logical outgrowth" thereof. *Shell Oil Co. v. EPA*, 950 F.2d 741, 747 (D.C. Cir. 1991) (per curiam). "A rule is deemed a logical outgrowth if interested parties 'should have anticipated' that the change was possible, and thus reasonably should have filed their comments on the subject during the notice-and-comment period." *Northeast Md. Waste Disposal Auth. v. EPA*, 358 F.3d 936, 952 (D.C. Cir. 2004) (per curiam) (citing *City of Waukesha v. EPA*, 320 F.3d 228, 245 (D.C. Cir. 2003)).

The Final Rule requires that all surface impoundments be located no fewer than five feet above the uppermost aquifer or, alternatively, that the owner or operator of the impoundment demonstrate that the impoundment will not be subject to a hydraulic connection with the groundwater supply as

groundwater levels fluctuate over the course of the year.¹² 40 C.F.R. § 257.60(a); *see* 80 Fed. Reg. at 21,361. Industry Petitioners argue that the EPA did not give adequate notice that this provision would apply to *existing* surface impoundments because the proposed regulation applied only to "[n]ew [Coal Residuals] landfills and new [Coal Residuals] surface impoundments[.]" 75 Fed. Reg. at 35,241.¹³

The Industry Petitioners' argument ignores the plain language of the preamble to the Proposed Rule, which declares: "[b]y contrast [to landfills] * * * the proposed regulations would apply all of the location restrictions to *existing* surface impoundments." 75 Fed. Reg. at 35,198 (emphasis added). This is exactly what the Final Rule prescribes. *See* 40 C.F.R. § 257.60. Indeed, the Rule is not only the "logical outgrowth" of the Proposed Rule; it faithfully tracks the goals set forth in the preamble. *See Shell Oil Co.*, 950 F.2d at 747. The preamble—and the Proposed Rule as a whole—advised the

¹² A "hydraulic connection" means a connection between the [Coal Residuals] unit and the underground water table. 80 Fed. Reg. at 21,362. The EPA received comments explaining that "fluctuations in groundwater levels in many geological settings can exceed ten feet over the course of the year." *Id.* at 21,361. To account for this change in aquifer levels, the EPA revised its definition of "uppermost aquifer" to "specify that the measurement of the upper limit of the aquifer must be made at a point nearest to the natural ground surface to which the aquifer rises during the wet season." *Id.* at 21,362.

¹³ In the preamble to the Final Rule, the EPA acknowledged that, "[i]n the proposed rule, the regulatory language should have included 'all surface impoundments' as opposed to only 'new surface impoundments." 80 Fed. Reg. at 21,360.
public that the EPA was at least *considering* applying the aquifer restrictions to existing impoundments, thereby inviting Industry's comments on the topic. *Id.*¹⁴

3. Seismic Impact Zone Criteria

The Final Rule contains two seismic impact requirements. First, the Rule imposes safety assessment criteria on surface impoundments over a specific size. 40 C.F.R. § 257.73(e). These criteria had an implementation deadline of October 17, 2016. *Id.* § 257.73(f). Because the compliance deadline lapsed before oral argument, Industry Petitioners voluntarily dismissed this challenge. *See* Sept. 27, 2017 Per Curiam Order Granting Motion to Dismiss.

Second, every new Coal Residual landfill and landfill expansion, as well as any new and existing surface

¹⁴ Although the EPA may not "bootstrap notice from a comment," the sheer volume of Industry Petitioners' comments on this very provision confirms that notice was adequate. Fertilizer Inst. v. EPA, 935 F.2d 1303, 1312 (D.C. Cir. 1991) (internal quotation marks omitted). The EPA explains: "Overwhelmingly, the issue receiving the most comment was EPA's intention to subject existing [Coal Residuals] surface impoundments to all of the new location criteria." 80 Fed. Reg. at 21,360. Industry Petitioners' comments confronted the aquifer location restrictions, including their applicability to existing surface impoundments, head-on. See, e.g., Comments of the Utility Solid Waste Activities Group on Proposal, Nov. 19, 2010, J.A. 775 ("EPA states in the preamble to the proposal that it intends to subject existing surface impoundments to all of these new location restrictions * * * .") (emphasis omitted). When combined with the clarity of the preamble, Industry Petitioners' comments illustrate that it was both aware of, and troubled by, the aquifer restrictions.

impoundment, is subject to location restrictions that prohibit operation in a "seismic impact zone"¹⁵ unless the facility demonstrates that it has the appropriate structural components, including liners, leachate collection and removal systems and surface water control systems. 40 C.F.R. § 257.63(a). For existing surface impoundments, the deadline for demonstrating compliance with the Rule is October 17, 2018—four and one-half years after the Rule was promulgated. *Id.* § 257.63(c)(1).

Industry Petitioners attack the seismic impact zone requirements on three fronts; they argue that the EPA was arbitrary and capricious in: (i) shortening the operating life for existing impoundments from five years to four years; (ii) applying the seismic impact zone location restriction to new Coal Residual landfills and landfill expansions; and (iii) regulating the structure of Coal Residual landfills based on a 2,500-year seismic event. The parties brief these three issues separately, and we likewise address—but reject—each of Industry Petitioners' challenges in turn.

a. Operating Expiration

Industry Petitioners argue that, although the Proposed Rule had a five-year operating expiration for impoundments, the Final Rule arbitrarily reduced that window to four years. Industry Pet'rs' Br. 45. As a corollary, Industry Petitioners argue that four years is not enough time for impoundment owners and operators to switch from wet to dry Coal Residuals disposal. Industry Pet'rs' Reply Br. 21–22.

¹⁵ "Seismic impact zone means an area having a 2% or greater probability that the maximum expected horizontal acceleration, expressed as a percentage of the earth's gravitational pull (g), will exceed 0.10 g in 50 years." 40 C.F.R. § 257.53.

Industry Petitioners' arguments misconstrue both the Proposed Rule and the Final Rule. The section of the Proposed Rule that Industry Petitioners cite for the five-year deadline (proposed 40 C.F.R. § 257.65(a)) *does not apply* to the seismic impact zones; instead, it applies to "unstable areas." *See* 75 Fed. Reg. at 35,242–35,243. Indeed, the Proposed Rule does not prescribe an explicit operating deadline for seismic impact zones at all.

Moreover, even assuming the proposed five-year deadline for "unstable areas" applies to seismic impact zones, the Proposed Rule reads: "Existing [Coal Residuals] landfills and surface impoundments that cannot make the demonstration * * * must close by [date five years after the effective date of the final rule]." 75 Fed. Reg. at 35,242 (brackets in original). The "must close by" language in the Proposed Rule is different from the language of the Final Rule, which demands only that the regulated facility "complete the demonstration [that the site has met the relevant structural requirements] no later than October 17, 2018." 40 C.F.R. Industry § 257.63(c)(1). Contrary Petitioners' to representation, then, the Final Rule gives the disposal sites four years before they must demonstrate compliance. See id. Only if they *fail* in that demonstration must they begin the closure process. Id. And once the closure process begins, they have at least five years to complete it. See id. § 257.102(f)(1)(ii).¹⁶

¹⁶ Manifesting additional flexibility, the Final Rule's closure timeframe may be extended up to ten years (in consecutive two-year periods) "if the owner or operator can demonstrate that it was not feasible to complete closure of the [Coal Residuals] unit within the required timeframes due to factors beyond the facility's control." *Id.* § 257.102(f)(2)(i)–(2)(ii)(B). Accordingly, in some circumstances the impoundment need not complete the closure process until *19 years* after the Rule's enactment date.

Once the Rule's timeline is correctly understood, there is nothing in the record to suggest the Rule's operating deadline is arbitrary and capricious. Indeed, Industry's comments confirm that the Rule's timeline will provide a sufficient period for a non-compliant facility to close (within nine years, and more if it meets the extension requirements). See, e.g., Comments of American Elec. Power Co. on Proposal at 5, J.A. 581 ("[A]t some locations, it will take at least four years from the time the new [Coal Residuals] rule becomes effective to accomplish the wet-to-dry conversion and to accomplish the switch to dry."); Comments of SCANA Corp. on Proposal at 7, J.A. 579 ("The time frame required to site, design, permit, and construct a landfill in today's regulatory environment is at least 5 to 10 years."). The EPA's conclusions are consistent with Industry Petitioners' comments. See 75 Fed. Reg. at 35,202 ("[Under Subtitle C,] EPA believes that five years will, in most cases, be adequate time to complete proper and effective facility closure and to arrange for alternative waste management * * * . EPA is aware of no reason that the time frames would need to differ under subtitle D * * * ."). In sum, we conclude that the EPA's operating timeline is not arbitrary and capricious.

b. Seismic Restrictions for New Landfills

The seismic location restrictions apply to impoundments as well as new landfills and landfill expansions, but they do not apply to existing landfills. 40 C.F.R. § 257.63(a). This distinction reflects, *inter alia*, the EPA's determination that "the risks associated with [Coal Residuals] surface impoundments are substantially higher than the risks associated with [Coal Residuals] landfills, by approximately an order of magnitude." 80 Fed. Reg. at 21,360. Industry Petitioners argue that, if landfills are universally less dangerous than surface impoundments, they should not be subject to the same seismic standard as surface impoundments. In other words, the argument goes, if it is acceptable to exempt existing landfills from the seismic location restrictions, it is acceptable to exempt new landfills as well. Because Industry Petitioners failed to make this argument before the EPA, however, we reject it.¹⁷

"Under ordinary principles of administrative law a reviewing court will not consider arguments that a party failed to raise in timely fashion before an administrative agency." *Sims v. Apfel*, 530 U.S. 103, 114 (2000) (Breyer, J., dissenting); *accord Natural Resource Def. Council, Inc. v. EPA*, 25 F.3d 1063, 1073 (D.C. Cir. 1994) ("We do not reach the merits of this challenge because petitioners failed to raise this question of statutory and regulatory construction before the agency during the notice and comment period. They have therefore waived their opportunity to press this argument in court."); *see* discussion, *supra*, at 33.

This fundamental principle of administrative law applies squarely to Industry Petitioners' challenge. *Natural Resource Def. Council*, 25 F.3d at 1073. In the Proposed Rule, the EPA explained that, because many Coal Residuals disposal sites are within seismic impact zones, it was "concerned that such facilities would be unable to meet the requirements, because retrofitting would be prohibitively expensive and technically very difficult in most cases, and [they] would therefore be forced to close." 75 Fed. Reg. at 35,198. Accordingly, the EPA sought comments on "the number of existing [Coal Residuals] landfills located in these sensitive areas" and the

¹⁷ The EPA makes it failure-to-exhaust argument in its opening brief. Rep't Br. 71–72. Industry's reply brief offers no rebuttal. *See generally* Industry Pet'r's Reply Br.

corresponding effect their closure would have on the national disposal capacity. 80 Fed. Reg. at 21,360. In spite of the invitation to comment, Industry Petitioners cannot point to any record evidence that they questioned the application of the Rule to new Coal Residuals landfills.¹⁸

Put differently, the EPA did not address the argument that new Coal Residuals landfills or landfill expansions should be exempted because the public comments gave no reason to question the position it announced in the Proposed Rule. "Indeed, the notion that a yet-to-be built landfill need *not* comply with basic seismic location restrictions that are designed to avoid the potentially catastrophic events identified in the record, borders on irrational." Resp't Br. 73. In light of Industry Petitioners' failure to alert the EPA to the issue while the latter was promulgating the Final Rule, we decline reach it.

c. The 2,500-Year Standard

Both the seismic location restrictions and the seismic safety assessment criteria incorporate a 2,500-year standard. 80 Fed. Reg. at 21,384. This means a disposal site in a seismic impact area must be designed to withstand the maximum expected impact of a 2,500-year earthquake. *Id.* In establishing the 2,500-year standard, the EPA considered multiple engineering sources, including (i) *Federal Guidelines for Dam Safety: Earthquake Analyses and Design of Dams*,

¹⁸ Instead, comments focused on the non-regulation of *existing* landfills, responding to the Proposed Rule's conclusion that applying the seismic location restrictions to existing Coal Residuals landfills could cause "disposal capacity shortfalls * * * [that] raise greater environmental and public health concerns than the potential failure of the [Coal Residuals] landfills in these locales." 80 Fed. Reg. at 21,360.

USCE lectronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 03/30/2020 ** R2020 age 36 of 72

56

issued by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), and (ii) *Minimum Design Loads for Buildings and Other Structures*, International Building Code, a publication of the American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE). 80 Fed. Reg. at 21,384; *id.* at 21,384–21,385 nn.98–99. The EPA also consulted geological sources, including the criteria of the National Earthquake Hazards Reduction Program (NEHRP) of the U.S. Geological Survey. 75 Fed. Reg. at 35,201. Further, the Final Rule's 2,500-year standard precisely mirrors the EPA's regulations governing municipal solid waste management. 75 Fed. Reg. at 35,193 (referencing 40 C.F.R. § 258.18).

In light of the engineering, geological and regulatory sources informing and supporting the 2,500-year standard, Industry Petitioners face an uphill battle. They nonetheless challenge the application of the seismic location restrictions to landfills—as opposed to impoundments—because landfills pose comparatively fewer risks than impoundments. Thus, although FEMA's dam safety guidelines are applicable to damlike impoundments structures, ASCE's International Building Code is applicable to buildings, and EPA's municipal landfill regulations are applicable to urban landfills, Industry Petitioners argue that Coal Residuals landfills are different and should be subject to a less demanding standard. In short, it asserts that the rule is overprotective and therefore arbitrary and capricious. We disagree.

Industry Petitioners' argument rests on the assumption that the EPA adopted the 2,500-year standard "without explanation." Industry Pet'rs' Br. 48. To the contrary, the EPA first examined the structures of municipal landfills and concluded that they were "very similar to those found at [Coal Residuals] disposal facilities, and the regulations applicable to such units would be expected to address the risks presented by

the constituents in [Coal Residuals] wastes." 75 Fed. Reg. at 35,193 (referencing 40 C.F.R. § 258.18). It then cross-referenced the 2,500-year standard with the criteria adopted by the U.S. Geological Survey and other engineering experts before adopting the Final Rule. *Id.* at 35,201. Indeed, some Industry members conceded that "the NEHRP/USGS 2%PE/50y [2,500-year] standard provides a sufficient margin of safety." Comments of the Southern Company at 34, J.A. 481. Industry Petitioners may disagree, but the EPA's reasoning was fully explained and is supported by the record.

Conversely, Industry Petitioners have not cited any record evidence that either challenges or provides an alternative to the 2,500-year standard. The best they can do is highlight comments stating generally that the rule is "overly protective."¹⁹ Industry Pet'rs' Br. 47–48. This broad stroke

 ¹⁹ Industry Petitioners claim that one commenter suggested a
 250-year standard. *See* Comments of FirstEnergy Corp. at 11, J.A.
 598. Again, Industry Petitioners misread the record.
 FirstEnergy's comment declares:

EPA intends to incorporate seismic performance in section 257.63 of the proposed rule. One alternative suggested by EPA is the use of seismic impact zones. A second alternative suggests adopting criteria of the National Earthquake Hazards Reduction Program (NEHRP) of the U.S. Geological Survey, which was used to develop national seismic hazard maps. It appears the horizontal acceleration expressed as 0.01g in 250 years in the agency's first approach closely matches the 2% ground motion probability in 50 years that the seismic maps are based upon.

does not carry their argument very far. Once the EPA selected the Subtitle D rather than the Subtitle C regulatory path, it was charged with developing uniform national standards rather than implementing a site-specific permit program. See generally 42 U.S.C. § 6944(a) (requiring EPA to develop minimum criteria for all disposal sites). Consistent with that mandate, the EPA developed criteria for all climates and conditions within seismic impact zones. Accordingly, it is of no moment that the criteria might be "overprotective" for a western landfill located miles from any water source. See Comments of Electric Power Research Institute on Proposal at 89, J.A. 596 (explaining that "cap and liners" may not be necessary in "western areas where * * * the total rainfall is less than 10 inches per year"). Congress demanded national minimum standards that ensure "no reasonable probability of adverse effects on health or the environment." 42 U.S.C. § 6944(a). The 2,500-year standard does just that.

4. The Alternative Closure Option

RCRA states in plain terms that the "open dumping of solid waste * * * is prohibited." 42 U.S.C. § 6945(a). Thus, if a disposal site is classified as an open dump, it must either retrofit or close. *See id.* The Final Rule stays true to the statutory mandate. Under the Final Rule, certain events— such as groundwater sampling that reveals an excess of Coal Residuals constituents in the water table—establish the disposal site as an "open dump," which triggers the Rule's closure requirements. 40 C.F.R. § 257.101. If the closure

Id. Thus, the "250 years" corresponds to the horizontal acceleration rate rather than a "ground motion probability" calculation such as the one upon which the 2,500-year model is based (2% in 50 years = 100% in 2,500 years). It is not a free-standing 250-year standard. That is, FirstEnergy does not appear to offer an alternative standard.

requirements are triggered, the surface impoundment or landfill ordinarily has six months to either retrofit its facility or to stop receiving Coal Residuals and to begin the closure process. *Id.* § 257.101(a)(2), (4). In other words, the statutory (and regulatory) presumption is that a non-compliant disposal site—one that is polluting the groundwater—will close. *Id.*

Notwithstanding this presumption, the Rule includes an "alternative closure" exemption that allows a non-compliant Coal Residuals disposal site (an "open dump") to receive Coal Residuals for an additional five years before it ceases operations. 40 C.F.R. § 257.103. In order to qualify for the alternative closure exception, the owner or operator must certify that, *inter alia*: "No alternative disposal capacity is available on-site or off-site." *Id.* § 257.103(a)(1)(i). In making the certification, "[a]n increase in costs or the inconvenience of existing capacity is not sufficient to support qualification under this section." *Id.*

Describing the rationale for its alternative closure exemption, the EPA explained that it did not want to force facilities to close and create power shortages "because there is no place in which to dispose of the resulting waste." 80 Fed. Reg. at 21,423. The preamble includes an example: "[W]hile it is possible to transport dry ash off-site to [an] alternate disposal facility[,] that simply is not feasible for wetgenerated [Coal Residuals]. Nor can facilities immediately convert to dry handling systems. As noted previously, the law cannot compel actions that are physically impossible." *Id.*

Industry Petitioners argue that ignoring costs and inconvenience in the alternative disposal criteria is arbitrary and capricious because it effectively renders the exemption a nullity: "If costs or inconvenience cannot be evaluated, off-

site disposal capacity—no matter where it is located or how much it will cost to send [Coal Residuals] there—will always be 'available' somewhere." Industry Pet'rs' Br. 38–39. At oral argument, Industry Petitioners lamented that they might be required to hire a fleet of 1,000 vacuum trucks in order to transfer wet Coal Residuals to an off-site disposal facility. Oral Arg. Tr. 23:22–23:23. This result, it argues, would make nonsense of the alternative closure requirements.

Industry Petitioners' hyperbole faces a roadblock. As the United States Supreme Court has explained, if the Congress directs the EPA to "regulate on the basis of a factor that on its face does not include cost, the Act normally should not be read as implicitly allowing the agency to consider cost anyway." Michigan v. EPA, 135 S. Ct. 2699, 2709 (2015) (citing Whitman v. American Trucking Ass'ns, 531 U.S. 457, 469-472 (2001)). Applying this rule, the Court held that the EPA is prohibited from considering costs when developing its primary ambient air quality standards under the Clean Air Act because the statute does not mention costs but instead demands standards "requisite * * * to protect the public health with an adequate margin of safety." American Trucking, 531 U.S. at 475-476 (quoting 42 U.S.C. § 7409(b)(1)). Thus, "public health" provided the statutory measuring stick in that instance, notwithstanding flexible words such as "requisite" and "adequate" that the trucking industry suggested might allow the agency to consider costs. Id. at 468.

Simply put, "to prevail in their present challenge, [Industry] must show a textual commitment of authority to the EPA to consider costs." *American Trucking*, 531 U.S. at 468. Under any reasonable reading of RCRA, there is no textual commitment of authority to the EPA to consider costs in the open-dump standards.²⁰ RCRA's statutory language instructs the EPA to classify a disposal site as a sanitary landfill and not an open dump only "if there is no reasonable probability of *adverse effects on health or the environment* from disposal of solid waste at such facility." 42 U.S.C. § 6944(a) (emphasis added). There is no explicit mention of costs in section 6944; nor is there any flexible language such as "appropriate and necessary" that might allow the EPA to consider costs in its rulemaking. *See Michigan v. EPA*, 135 S. Ct. at 2709. This stands in stark contrast with other sections of Title 42—such as the Bevill Amendment—where the Congress expressly required the EPA to consider, *inter alia*, "the costs of *** alternatives" in determining whether Coal Residuals should be classified as hazardous waste. *See* 42 U.S.C. § 6982(n)(6).

With *Michigan v. EPA* and *American Trucking*, then, it is far from clear that the EPA could consider costs even if it wanted to. *See Michigan v. EPA*, 135 S. Ct. at 2707 (explaining that "appropriate and necessary" language could require consideration of costs in some contexts but not others). In any case, there is no statutory support for the assertion that EPA was *required* to consider costs in developing its alternative closure plan. Excluding consideration of costs and convenience may narrow the alternative closure exemption but *including* cost and convenience would appear to violate RCRA's statutory mandate and run afoul of Supreme Court precedent. The EPA was neither arbitrary nor capricious in its decision to avoid testing that legal limit.

⁶¹

²⁰ At oral argument, neither Industry Petitioners nor the EPA could identify a statutory provision that allows the EPA to consider costs. Oral Arg. Tr. 83:15–83:23; 116:02–116:10.

V. Conclusion

In sum, we deny the EPA's motion for us to hold these petitions in abeyance. We grant in part the EPA's motion for a voluntary remand, remanding to the EPA the provisions in the Final Rule pertaining to (i) the definition of "Coal Residuals Piles," *see* 40 C.F.R. § 257.53; (ii) the 12,400-ton beneficial use threshold, *see id.*; and (iii) the alternative groundwater protection standards, *see id.* § 257.95(h)(2). We deny the EPA's motion to remand the provisions in the Final Rule pertaining to inactive surface impoundments and landfills at active power plants, *see id.* §§ 257.50(c), 257.100, and inactive surface impoundments at inactive power plants, *see id.* § 257.50(e).

On the claims raised by Environmental Petitioners, we hold that the EPA acted arbitrarily and capriciously and contrary to RCRA in failing to require the closure of unlined surface impoundments, in classifying so-called "clay-lined" impoundments as lined, and in exempting inactive surface impoundments at inactive power plants from regulation. We therefore vacate and remand the provisions of the Final Rule that permit unlined impoundments to continue receiving coal ash unless they leak, *see id.* § 257.101(a), classify "clay-lined" impoundments as lined, *see* 40 C.F.R. § 257.71(a)(1)(i), and exempt from regulation inactive impoundments at inactive facilities, *see* 40 C.F.R. § 257.50(e). We reject as forfeited Environmental Petitioners' challenges to the Final Rule's public notice provisions.

Regarding the Industry Petitioners' claims, we hold that (i) the EPA has statutory authority to regulate inactive impoundments; (ii) the EPA provided sufficient notice of its intention to apply the aquifer location criteria to existing impoundments; (iii) the EPA did not arbitrarily issue location

USCE lectronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 03/30/2020 ** R2020 age 3 of 72

63

requirements based on seismic impact zones; and finally (iv) the EPA did not arbitrarily impose temporary closure procedures. As to the regulation of Coal Residuals piles of 12,400 tons or more and the regulation of Coal Residuals destined for beneficial use, we remand to the agency as requested. We dismiss as moot the two accompanying notice challenges and the issue of risk-based compliance alternatives. *So ordered.*

KAREN LECRAFT HENDERSON, *Circuit Judge*, concurring in part and concurring in the judgment in part: A central question before us is whether the EPA exceeded its statutory authority under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), 42 U.S.C. §§ 6901 *et seq.*, by applying its Final Rule, 80 Fed. Reg. 21,302 (Apr. 17, 2015), to an impoundment that no longer receives coal combustion residuals (CCR) after the effective date of the Rule and thus becomes "inactive." The answer to this question turns on our interpretation of the statutory phrase "is disposed of." My colleagues conclude that the verb "to be," when conjugated in the present tense ("is"), *unambiguously* applies to disposal that occurred entirely in the past. I disagree and accordingly concur in the judgment with respect to Section IV.B.1 of the opinion. I join all other sections of the *per curiam* opinion in full.

I.

I believe there are three tiers to the statutory question. First, RCRA directs the EPA to promulgate regulations that draw a dividing line between "sanitary landfills" and "open dumps." 42 U.S.C. §§ 6944-45. Generally speaking, a sanitary landfill is a disposal site that complies with the EPA's regulations and presents "no reasonable probability of adverse effects on health or the environment." Id. § 6944(a). By contrast, "any solid waste management practice or disposal of solid waste . . . which constitutes the open dumping of solid waste or hazardous waste is prohibited." *Id.* § 6945(a). Second, RCRA defines an "open dump" as "any facility or site where solid waste is disposed of which is not a sanitary landfill which meets the criteria promulgated under [§ 6944]." Id. \S 6903(14) (emphasis added). Third, RCRA defines "disposal" as

the discharge, deposit, injection, dumping, spilling, leaking, or placing of any solid waste

¹

or hazardous waste into or on any land or water so that such solid waste or hazardous waste or any constituent thereof may enter the environment or be emitted into the air or discharged into any waters, including ground waters.

Id. § 6903(3).

To interpret RCRA's text, we turn to the familiar two-step framework of *Chevron, U.S.A., Inc. v. Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc.*, 467 U.S. 837 (1984). Thus, we begin with the statutory language and ask whether the Congress "has directly spoken to the precise question at issue." *Id.* at 842. If the language is plain, our inquiry ends, as we must "give effect to the unambiguously expressed intent of Congress." *Id.* at 843. If "the statute is silent or ambiguous with respect to the specific issue," however, we defer to the EPA's interpretation so long as it is "based on a permissible construction of the statute." *Id.*

We do not alter our analytical framework when the case presents a question of an agency's "jurisdiction" or core statutory authority. *City of Arlington v. FCC*, 569 U.S. 290, 297 (2013) ("[T]he distinction between 'jurisdictional' and 'nonjurisdictional' interpretations is a mirage."). If "the reality is that [the statute] is ambiguous," it is our duty to declare it so and proceed to the second step of the *Chevron* analysis. *AT&T Corp. v. Iowa Utils. Bd.*, 525 U.S. 366, 395 (1999).

II.

I believe the text—and more precisely, the grammatical structure—of RCRA's definition of "open dump" is temporally ambiguous. *See United States v. Wilson*, 503 U.S. 329, 333

²

(1992) ("Congress' use of a verb tense is significant in construing statutes."). Under RCRA, an "open dump" is a site where solid waste "is disposed of." 42 U.S.C. § 6903(14). The operative verb is the present tense of the infinitive "to be" ("is"). The Dictionary Act tells us that "unless the context indicates otherwise . . . words used in the present tense include the future as well as the present." 1 U.S.C. § 1. By implication, therefore, the Dictionary Act "instructs that the present tense generally does not include the past." *Carr v. United States*, 560 U.S. 438, 448 (2010). It is plain, therefore, that "is" does not mean "was."

The verb's present tense formation takes on additional meaning because the "Congress could have phrased its requirement in language that looked to the past . . . but it did not choose this readily available option." Gwaltney of Smithfield, Ltd. v. Chesapeake Bay Found., Inc., 484 U.S. 49, 57 (1987). It could have conjugated the infinitive "to be" in any number of ways to unambiguously include past disposal: "is or was disposed of"; "had been disposed of"; or "has been disposed of." See CHICAGO MANUAL OF STYLE ONLINE §§ 5.118-35 (17th ed. 2017), available at www.chicagomanualofstyle.org//home.html (explaining tenses generally). The Congress could also have included unambiguous temporal phrases such as: "ever"; "at any time"; "past or present"; or "beginning on a date certain." It did not The present tense of section 6903(14) therefore do so. suggests that an "open dump" does not include any impoundment where solid waste "was disposed of."

Significantly, the Congress used temporally unambiguous language in other RCRA provisions. For example, RCRA's "substantial endangerment" provision plainly applies to past actions; it allows a state or individual to bring suit against "any person . . . *who has contributed or who is contributing* to the

³

past or present . . . disposal of any solid or hazardous waste which may present an imminent and substantial endangerment to health or the environment." 42 U.S.C. § 6972(a)(1)(B) (emphases added). RCRA Subtitle C provides that the EPA must conduct "corrective action for all releases of hazardous waste or constituents from any solid waste management unit . . . *regardless of the time at which waste was placed in such unit.*" *Id.* § 6924(u) (emphasis added). I believe there can be no reasonable dispute that these provisions apply to past as well as present and future actions. By itself, therefore, "is" at least suggests that the EPA is *precluded* from including past acts of disposal in the definition of an "open dump."

The ambiguity comes from the second part of the phrase: "disposed of." A past participle like "disposed" is not singular in its purpose; it is defined as "[a] verb form indicating past or completed action or time that is used as a verbal adjective in phrases such as baked beans and finished work." Fla. Dep't of Revenue v. Piccadilly Cafeterias, Inc., 554 U.S. 33, 39 (2008) (quoting AMERICAN HERITAGE DICTIONARY 1287 (4th ed. 2000) (emphasis removed)). In other words, a past participle can serve either as a verb (*i.e.*, the pecans were covered in chocolate) or as an adjective (i.e., the chocolatecovered pecans). Moreover, in verb form, a past participle can indicate past (i.e., the pecans were covered in chocolate), present (i.e., the pecans are covered in chocolate) or future action (*i.e.*, the pecans will be covered in chocolate). In short, there is nothing unambiguous about a past participle, at least when construed without context.²¹

⁴

²¹ My colleagues cite two authorities for their conclusion that a statutory past participle *unambiguously* signifies retroactive effect. Neither authority decides the issue. First, in *Florida Department of Revenue v. Piccadilly Cafeterias, Inc.*, 554 U.S. 33, 41 (2008), the

I believe "disposed of" must be read in conjunction with RCRA's definition of "disposal," which includes the "discharge, deposit, injection, dumping, spilling, leaking, or placing" of solid waste into certain areas. 42 U.S.C. § 6903(3). Circuit courts disagree about whether "disposal" includes the "passive migration" of contaminants, such as a slow leak from an inactive CCR impoundment. *Compare Carson Harbor Vill., Ltd. v. Unocal Corp.*, 270 F.3d 863, 867 (9th Cir. 2001) (en banc) (concluding that "the migration of contaminants on the property does not fall within the statutory definition of 'disposal'"), *with Nurad, Inc. v. William E. Hooper & Sons Co.*, 966 F.2d 837, 846 (4th Cir. 1992) (holding past owners liable for "disposal" of hazardous wastes that

⁵

Supreme Court assumed the statute at issue was temporally ambiguous and resolved the interpretive question at Chevron's second step. Moreover, in Sherley v. Sebelius, the majority found ambiguity in a statute that prohibited funding for "research in which a human embryo or embryos are destroyed." 644 F.3d 388, 390 (D.C. Cir. 2011) (emphasis added) (internal quotation marks omitted). It did so in spite of applicable regulations defining research as "a systematic investigation, including research development, testing and evaluation, designed to develop or contribute to generalizable knowledge." Id. at 394 n.* (quoting 45 C.F.R. § 46.102(d)). Notwithstanding this temporally broad definition, the majority declared that the "definition of research is flexible enough to describe either a discrete project or an extended process." Id. at 394. I dissented, challenging the majority's interpretive fallacy that "research" can be dissected into "freestanding pieces" rather than read as a "systematic [and ongoing] investigation." Id. at 402-04 (Henderson, J., dissenting). Thus, I did not find the phrase "are destroyed" unambiguous standing alone; in my view, the explicit connection to research funding-and the correct definition of "research"-clarified the temporal scope of the statute to include past conduct. Id.

leaked from underground storage tank notwithstanding they were not owners "at the time of disposal"). Because these cases arise in a different statutory context, ²² they are not precisely on point regarding the question of the EPA's authority to regulate inactive impoundments. Nonetheless, they illustrate the ambiguity in the statutory definition of the word "disposal"; if courts disagree about the meaning of "disposal," that disagreement strongly suggests there is ambiguity in the words "disposed of." *See* Final Rule, 80 Fed. Reg. at 21,346 (surveying caselaw interpreting "disposal").

Although there is some temporal tension between the present tense "is" and the past participle "disposed," it can be explained by statutory context. See Brown v. Gardner, 513 U.S. 115, 118 (1994) ("Ambiguity is a creature not of definitional possibilities but of statutory context."). Industry's entire argument hinges on three words-"is disposed of"—in the definition of "open dump." 42 U.S.C. § 6903(14). But "open dump" is also defined by what it is not: a "sanitary landfill." Id. The statutory categorization is binary: a disposal site is either a sanitary landfill or an open dump and the EPA is directed to promulgate regulations that distinguish between the two. Id. § 6944. Thus, as the EPA promulgates new regulations that may shift the contours of what constitutes a "sanitary landfill," see 42 U.S.C. § 6912(b) (RCRA regulations "shall be reviewed and, where necessary, revised not less frequently than every three years"), the definition of "open dump" will morph as well, see Appalachian Voices v. McCarthy, 989 F. Supp. 2d 30, 56 (D.D.C. 2013) ("requir[ing] the EPA to submit a proposed scheduling order setting forth a proposed deadline by which it will comply with

⁶

²² The cited cases interpret the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA), which incorporates RCRA's definition of "disposal." 42 U.S.C. § 9601(29) (incorporating 42 U.S.C. § 6903(14)).

its statutory obligations" under RCRA). Although not every interpretation of "open dump" may be reasonable, see Michigan v. EPA, 135 S. Ct. 2699, 2708 (2015) ("Chevron allows agencies to choose among competing reasonable interpretations of a statute; it does not license interpretive gerrymanders[.]"), RCRA's mandated flexibility contemplates that the regulatory meaning of "open dump" can change over time and thus fits the definition of "ambiguity." See Ambiguity. WEBSTER'S Third NEW INTERNATIONAL DICTIONARY 66 (3d ed. 1993) ("admitting of two or more meanings").

III.

Although I believe the statute is temporally ambiguous, I nonetheless agree that the EPA reasonably concluded that it has the authority to regulate inactive impoundments. *See Chevron*, 467 U.S. at 843 (deference to agency's interpretation required so long as it is "based on a permissible construction of the statute"). In reviewing the reasonableness of an agency's interpretation, we look to the statute's structure and purpose as well as to precedent, *Nat'l Ass'n of Home Builders v. Defs. of Wildlife*, 551 U.S. 644, 666 (2007), keeping in mind that *Chevron* "does not require the best interpretation [of the statute], only a reasonable one," *Van Hollen, Jr. v. FEC*, 811 F.3d 486, 492 (D.C. Cir. 2016) (internal quotation marks omitted).

First, regarding the definition of "disposal," we have *rejected* a similar "linguistic point that '[d]isposal ... is not a continuing activity but occurs anew each time waste is placed into or on land." *In re Consol. Land Disposal Regulation Litig.*, 938 F.2d 1386, 1389 (D.C. Cir. 1991). In doing so, we noted that RCRA's "equation of 'disposal' with 'leaking,' which is a continuous phenomenon rather than a discrete event,

⁷

USCE lectronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 03/30/2020 ** R2020 age 91 of 72

8

is enough to blunt the sting of the petitioners' point." Id. In that case, we concluded that the petitioners' suggested interpretation was, "at most an alternative reading of the statute, not an argument as to why the EPA's reading of the statute is unreasonable." Id. Thus, we upheld as reasonable the EPA's interpretation of "disposal" to include "continuous" leaking; we can apply a similar reading today. Indeed, the record "demonstrates that unlined surface impoundments typically operate for 20 years before they begin to leak." See 80 Fed. Reg. at 21326-27; see also 40 C.F.R. §§ 257.70-72 (imposing liner requirements to prevent leaking). As discussed in Section IV.B.1 of the per curiam opinion, the risk of leaking does not decrease in an inactive impoundmentindeed, it can increase. Because "disposal" includes "leaking"-and because "leaking" does not necessarily cease upon an impoundment's closure—the EPA reasonably concluded that CCR continues to be "disposed of" even after an impoundment stops receiving CCR. See 75 Fed. Reg. 35,128, 35,159 (June 21, 2010) ("historical or legacy sites" pose leaking risk).

Second, an impoundment where CCR "is disposed of" is different from an impoundment that is actively receiving 42 U.S.C. § 6903(14). As the EPA additional CCR. suggests, if an individual were to stand on an impoundment dam looking out over thousands of tons of wet CCR and ask "is this an impoundment where 'solid waste is disposed of," the answer would be "yes." EPA Br. 22. Put differently, the disposal of CCR in an impoundment is not a discrete act. If it were, the EPA would regulate only the transfer of CCR from a power facility into an impoundment, at which point the "disposal" would end. Of course, the reality is that CCR disposal and its resulting health hazards occur over long periods of time. See 80 Fed. Reg. at 21,309 ("estimated time to peak potential exposures of CCR through groundwater

USCE lectronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 03/30/2020 ** R2020 ag 92 of 72

9

migration to drinking water wells is 75 years" and estimated CCR unit lifespan is 40 to 80 years). CCR is not like a bag of trash that a homeowner places on the curb to be picked up. The homeowner releases control of the bag once he deposits it and the garbage truck makes its rounds. In contrast—and by definition-an impoundment owner or utility operator does not relinquish control of the CCR once it is impounded. See 40 C.F.R. § 257.53 (defining "owner" and "operator"); see also id. § 257.50(b) (Rule applies to "disposal units located off-site of the electric utility or independent power producer"). Moreover, the impoundment's purpose is to "dispose of" CCR and, accordingly, the disposal process continues so long as the CCR remains in the pond. Id. § 257.53 ("CCR impoundment" is a "natural topographic depression, man-made excavation, or diked area, which is *designed* to hold an accumulation of CCR and liquids, and the unit treats, stores, or disposes of CCR" (emphasis added)).²³

For the foregoing reasons, and regarding Section IV.B.1 only, I concur in the judgment. Otherwise, I fully concur in the *per curiam* opinion.

²³ The EPA's regulatory definition of "impoundment" is consistent with the dictionary definition of the verb "impound," which manifests continuing action. *See Impound*, WEBSTER'S THIRD NEW INTERNATIONAL DICTIONARY 1136 (3d ed. 1993) ("[T]o confine or store (water)[.]").

Attachment D

Public Act 101-171, eff. 7-30-19

SB0009 Enrolled

LRB101 06168 JWD 51190 b

1 AN ACT concerning coal ash.

2 Be it enacted by the People of the State of Illinois, 3 represented in the General Assembly:

Section 5. The Environmental Protection Act is amended by
changing Sections 3.140, 21, 39, and 40 and by adding Sections
3.142, 3.143, and 22.59 as follows:

7 (415 ILCS 5/3.140) (was 415 ILCS 5/3.76)

8 Sec. 3.140. Coal combustion waste. "Coal combustion waste" 9 means any <u>CCR or any</u> fly ash, bottom ash, slag, or flue gas or 10 fluid bed boiler desulfurization by-products generated as a 11 result of the combustion of:

12 (1) coal, or

13 (2) coal in combination with: (i) fuel grade petroleum 14 coke, (ii) other fossil fuel, or (iii) both fuel grade 15 petroleum coke and other fossil fuel, or

16 (3) coal (with or without: (i) fuel grade petroleum coke, (ii) other fossil fuel, or (iii) both fuel grade petroleum coke 17 and other fossil fuel) in combination with no more than 20% of 18 19 tire derived fuel or wood or other materials by weight of the materials combusted; provided that the coal is burned with 20 21 other materials, the Agency has made a written determination 22 that the storage or disposal of the resultant wastes in accordance with the provisions of item (r) of Section 21 would 23

	SB0009 Enrolled - 2 - LRB101 06168 JWD 51190 b
1	result in no environmental impact greater than that of wastes
2	generated as a result of the combustion of coal alone, and the
3	storage disposal of the resultant wastes would not violate
4	applicable federal law.
5	(Source: P.A. 92-574, eff. 6-26-02.)
6	(415 ILCS 5/3.142 new)
7	Sec. 3.142. Coal combustion residual; CCR. "Coal
8	combustion residual" or "CCR" means fly ash, bottom ash, boiler
9	slag, and flue gas desulfurization materials generated from
10	burning coal for the purpose of generating electricity by
11	electric utilities and independent power producers.
12	(415 ILCS 5/3.143 new)
13	Sec. 3.143. CCR surface impoundment. "CCR surface
14	impoundment" means a natural topographic depression, man-made
15	excavation, or diked area, which is designed to hold an
16	accumulation of CCR and liquids, and the unit treats, stores,
17	or disposes of CCR.
18	(415 ILCS 5/21) (from Ch. 111 1/2, par. 1021)
19	Sec. 21. Prohibited acts. No person shall:
20	(a) Cause or allow the open dumping of any waste.
21	(b) Abandon, dump, or deposit any waste upon the public
22	highways or other public property, except in a sanitary

23 landfill approved by the Agency pursuant to regulations adopted

SB0009 Enrolled - 3 - LRB101 06168 JWD 51190 b

1 by the Board.

2 (c) Abandon any vehicle in violation of the "Abandoned
3 Vehicles Amendment to the Illinois Vehicle Code", as enacted by
4 the 76th General Assembly.

5 (d) Conduct any waste-storage, waste-treatment, or
6 waste-disposal operation:

7 (1) without a permit granted by the Agency or in violation of any conditions imposed by such permit, 8 9 including periodic reports and full access to adequate 10 records and the inspection of facilities, as may be 11 necessary to assure compliance with this Act and with 12 regulations and standards adopted thereunder; provided, however, that, except for municipal solid waste landfill 13 14 units that receive waste on or after October 9, 1993, and 15 CCR surface impoundments, no permit shall be required for 16 (i) person conducting а waste-storage, any 17 waste-treatment, or waste-disposal operation for wastes generated by such person's own activities which are stored, 18 19 treated, or disposed within the site where such wastes are 20 generated, or (ii) a facility located in a county with a population over 700,000 as of January 1, 2000, operated and 21 22 located in accordance with Section 22.38 of this Act, and 23 used exclusively for the transfer, storage, or treatment of 24 general construction or demolition debris, provided that 25 the facility was receiving construction or demolition 26 debris on the effective date of this amendatory Act of the

SB0009 Enrolled - 4 - LRB101 06168 JWD 51190 b

1 96th General Assembly;

2 (2) in violation of any regulations or standards
3 adopted by the Board under this Act; or

(3) which receives waste after August 31, 1988, does 4 5 not have a permit issued by the Agency, and is (i) a landfill used exclusively for the disposal of waste 6 7 generated at the site, (ii) a surface impoundment receiving special waste not listed in an NPDES permit, (iii) a waste 8 9 pile in which the total volume of waste is greater than 100 10 cubic yards or the waste is stored for over one year, or 11 (iv) a land treatment facility receiving special waste 12 generated at the site; without giving notice of the 13 operation to the Agency by January 1, 1989, or 30 days 14 after the date on which the operation commences, whichever 15 is later, and every 3 years thereafter. The form for such 16 notification shall be specified by the Agency, and shall be 17 limited to information regarding: the name and address of the location of the operation; the type of operation; the 18 19 types and amounts of waste stored, treated or disposed of 20 on an annual basis; the remaining capacity of the 21 operation; and the remaining expected life of the 22 operation.

Item (3) of this subsection (d) shall not apply to any person engaged in agricultural activity who is disposing of a substance that constitutes solid waste, if the substance was acquired for use by that person on his own property, and the

SB0009 Enrolled - 5 - LRB101 06168 JWD 51190 b

- substance is disposed of on his own property in accordance with
 regulations or standards adopted by the Board.
- 3

This subsection (d) shall not apply to hazardous waste.

4 (e) Dispose, treat, store or abandon any waste, or 5 transport any waste into this State for disposal, treatment, 6 storage or abandonment, except at a site or facility which 7 meets the requirements of this Act and of regulations and 8 standards thereunder.

9 (f) Conduct any hazardous waste-storage, hazardous
10 waste-treatment or hazardous waste-disposal operation:

(1) without a RCRA permit for the site issued by the Agency under subsection (d) of Section 39 of this Act, or in violation of any condition imposed by such permit, including periodic reports and full access to adequate records and the inspection of facilities, as may be necessary to assure compliance with this Act and with regulations and standards adopted thereunder; or

18 (2) in violation of any regulations or standards
19 adopted by the Board under this Act; or

(3) in violation of any RCRA permit filing requirement
established under standards adopted by the Board under this
Act; or

(4) in violation of any order adopted by the Boardunder this Act.

Notwithstanding the above, no RCRA permit shall be required
 under this subsection or subsection (d) of Section 39 of this

SB0009 Enrolled - 6 - LRB101 06168 JWD 51190 b

Act for any person engaged in agricultural activity who is 1 2 disposing of a substance which has been identified as a 3 hazardous waste, and which has been designated by Board regulations as being subject to this exception, if 4 the 5 substance was acquired for use by that person on his own property and the substance is disposed of on his own property 6 7 in accordance with regulations or standards adopted by the 8 Board.

9

(g) Conduct any hazardous waste-transportation operation:

(1) without registering with and obtaining a special
waste hauling permit from the Agency in accordance with the
regulations adopted by the Board under this Act; or

13 (2) in violation of any regulations or standards14 adopted by the Board under this Act.

(h) Conduct any hazardous waste-recycling or hazardous waste-reclamation or hazardous waste-reuse operation in violation of any regulations, standards or permit requirements adopted by the Board under this Act.

(i) Conduct any process or engage in any act which produces hazardous waste in violation of any regulations or standards adopted by the Board under subsections (a) and (c) of Section 22 22.4 of this Act.

(j) Conduct any special waste transportation operation in violation of any regulations, standards or permit requirements adopted by the Board under this Act. However, sludge from a water or sewage treatment plant owned and operated by a unit of

SB0009 Enrolled - 7 - LRB101 06168 JWD 51190 b

local government which (1) is subject to a sludge management 1 plan approved by the Agency or a permit granted by the Agency, 2 and (2) has been tested and determined not to be a hazardous 3 waste as required by applicable State and federal laws and 4 5 regulations, may be transported in this State without a special 6 waste hauling permit, and the preparation and carrying of a 7 manifest shall not be required for such sludge under the rules of the Pollution Control Board. The unit of local government 8 9 which operates the treatment plant producing such sludge shall 10 file an annual report with the Agency identifying the volume of 11 such sludge transported during the reporting period, the hauler 12 of the sludge, and the disposal sites to which it was transported. This subsection (j) shall not apply to hazardous 13 14 waste.

15

(k) Fail or refuse to pay any fee imposed under this Act.

16 (1) Locate a hazardous waste disposal site above an active 17 or inactive shaft or tunneled mine or within 2 miles of an active fault in the earth's crust. In counties of population 18 less than 225,000 no hazardous waste disposal site shall be 19 located (1) within 1 1/2 miles of the corporate limits as 20 defined on June 30, 1978, of any municipality without the 21 22 approval of the governing body of the municipality in an 23 official action; or (2) within 1000 feet of an existing private 24 well or the existing source of a public water supply measured from the boundary of the actual active permitted site and 25 26 excluding existing private wells on the property of the permit

SB0009 Enrolled - 8 - LRB101 06168 JWD 51190 b

1 applicant. The provisions of this subsection do not apply to 2 publicly-owned sewage works or the disposal or utilization of 3 sludge from publicly-owned sewage works.

4 (m) Transfer interest in any land which has been used as a 5 hazardous waste disposal site without written notification to 6 the Agency of the transfer and to the transferee of the 7 conditions imposed by the Agency upon its use under subsection 8 (g) of Section 39.

9 (n) Use any land which has been used as a hazardous waste 10 disposal site except in compliance with conditions imposed by 11 the Agency under subsection (g) of Section 39.

12 (o) Conduct a sanitary landfill operation which is required 13 to have a permit under subsection (d) of this Section, in a 14 manner which results in any of the following conditions:

15

(1) refuse in standing or flowing waters;

16

(2) leachate flows entering waters of the State;

17 (3) leachate flows exiting the landfill confines (as
18 determined by the boundaries established for the landfill
19 by a permit issued by the Agency);

20 (4) open burning of refuse in violation of Section 9 of
21 this Act;

(5) uncovered refuse remaining from any previous
operating day or at the conclusion of any operating day,
unless authorized by permit;

(6) failure to provide final cover within time limits
established by Board regulations;

SB0009 Enrolled - 9 - LRB101 06168 JWD 51190 b

(7) acceptance of wastes without necessary permits;

1

2

(8) scavenging as defined by Board regulations;

3 (9) deposition of refuse in any unpermitted portion of4 the landfill;

5 (10) acceptance of a special waste without a required 6 manifest;

7 (11) failure to submit reports required by permits or
8 Board regulations;

9 (12) failure to collect and contain litter from the 10 site by the end of each operating day;

(13) failure to submit any cost estimate for the site or any performance bond or other security for the site as required by this Act or Board rules.

The prohibitions specified in this subsection (o) shall be enforceable by the Agency either by administrative citation under Section 31.1 of this Act or as otherwise provided by this Act. The specific prohibitions in this subsection do not limit the power of the Board to establish regulations or standards applicable to sanitary landfills.

(p) In violation of subdivision (a) of this Section, cause or allow the open dumping of any waste in a manner which results in any of the following occurrences at the dump site:

23 (1) litter;

24 (2) scavenging;

25 (3) open burning;

26 (4) deposition of waste in standing or flowing waters;

SB0009 Enrolled LRB101 06168 JWD 51190 b - 10 -(5) proliferation of disease vectors; 1 (6) standing or flowing liquid discharge from the dump 2 3 site; (7) deposition of: 4 5 (i) general construction or demolition debris as defined in Section 3.160(a) of this Act; or 6 7 (ii) clean construction or demolition debris as defined in Section 3.160(b) of this Act. 8 9 The prohibitions specified in this subsection (p) shall be 10 enforceable by the Agency either by administrative citation 11 under Section 31.1 of this Act or as otherwise provided by this 12 Act. The specific prohibitions in this subsection do not limit the power of the Board to establish regulations or standards 13

14 applicable to open dumping.

(q) Conduct a landscape waste composting operation without an Agency permit, provided, however, that no permit shall be required for any person:

(1) conducting a landscape waste composting operation
for landscape wastes generated by such person's own
activities which are stored, treated, or disposed of within
the site where such wastes are generated; or

(1.5) conducting a landscape waste composting operation that (i) has no more than 25 cubic yards of landscape waste, composting additives, composting material, or end-product compost on-site at any one time and (ii) is not engaging in commercial activity; or

SB0009 Enrolled - 11 - LRB101 06168 JWD 51190 b

1 2 (2) applying landscape waste or composted landscapewaste at agronomic rates; or

3 (2.5) operating a landscape waste composting facility 4 at a site having 10 or more occupied non-farm residences 5 within 1/2 mile of its boundaries, if the facility meets 6 all of the following criteria:

7 (A) the composting facility is operated by the
8 farmer on property on which the composting material is
9 utilized, and the composting facility constitutes no
10 more than 2% of the site's total acreage;

(A-5) any composting additives that the composting facility accepts and uses at the facility are necessary to provide proper conditions for composting and do not exceed 10% of the total composting material at the facility at any one time;

16 (B) the property on which the composting facility 17 is located, and any associated property on which the compost is used, is principally and diligently devoted 18 19 to the production of agricultural crops and is not 20 owned, leased, or otherwise controlled by any waste 21 hauler or generator of nonagricultural compost 22 materials, and the operator of the composting facility is not an employee, partner, shareholder, or in any way 23 24 connected with or controlled by any such waste hauler 25 or generator;

26

(C) all compost generated by the composting

SB0009 Enrolled - 12 - LRB101 06168 JWD 51190 b

1 facility is applied at agronomic rates and used as 2 mulch, fertilizer, or soil conditioner on land 3 actually farmed by the person operating the composting 4 facility, and the finished compost is not stored at the 5 composting site for a period longer than 18 months 6 prior to its application as mulch, fertilizer, or soil 7 conditioner;

(D) no fee is charged for the acceptance of materials to be composted at the facility; and

8

9

(E) the owner or operator, by January 1, 2014 (or 10 11 the January 1 following commencement of operation, 12 whichever is later) and January 1 of each year 13 thereafter, registers the site with the Agency, (ii) 14 reports to the Agency on the volume of composting 15 material received and used at the site; (iii) certifies 16 to the Agency that the site complies with the requirements set forth in subparagraphs (A), (A-5), 17 (B), (C), and (D) of this paragraph (2.5); and (iv)18 19 certifies to the Agency that all composting material 20 was placed more than 200 feet from the nearest potable 21 water supply well, was placed outside the boundary of 22 the 10-year floodplain or on a part of the site that is 23 floodproofed, was placed at least 1/4 mile from the 24 nearest residence (other than a residence located on 25 the same property as the facility) or a lesser distance 26 from the nearest residence (other than a residence
SB0009 Enrolled - 13 - LRB101 06168 JWD 51190 b

1 located on the same property as the facility) if the 2 municipality in which the facility is located has by 3 ordinance approved a lesser distance than 1/4 mile, and was placed more than 5 feet above the water table; any 4 5 ordinance approving a residential setback of less than 6 1/4 mile that is used to meet the requirements of this 7 subparagraph (E) of paragraph (2.5) of this subsection must specifically reference this paragraph; or 8

9 (3) operating a landscape waste composting facility on 10 a farm, if the facility meets all of the following 11 criteria:

(A) the composting facility is operated by the 12 farmer on property on which the composting material is 13 14 utilized, and the composting facility constitutes no 15 more than 2% of the property's total acreage, except 16 that the Board may allow a higher percentage for individual sites where the owner or operator has 17 demonstrated to the Board that the site's soil 18 19 characteristics or crop needs require a higher rate;

20 (A-1) the composting facility accepts from other 21 agricultural operations for composting with landscape 22 waste no materials other than uncontaminated and 23 source-separated (i) crop residue and other 24 agricultural plant residue generated from the 25 production and harvesting of crops and other customary 26 farm practices, including, but not limited to, stalks,

SB0009 Enrolled - 14 - LRB101 06168 JWD 51190 b

leaves, seed pods, husks, bagasse, and roots and (ii) plant-derived animal bedding, such as straw or sawdust, that is free of manure and was not made from painted or treated wood;

5 (A-2) any composting additives that the composting 6 facility accepts and uses at the facility are necessary 7 to provide proper conditions for composting and do not 8 exceed 10% of the total composting material at the 9 facility at any one time;

10 (B) the property on which the composting facility 11 is located, and any associated property on which the 12 compost is used, is principally and diligently devoted to the production of agricultural crops and is not 13 14 owned, leased or otherwise controlled by any waste 15 hauler or generator of nonagricultural compost 16 materials, and the operator of the composting facility is not an employee, partner, shareholder, or in any way 17 18 connected with or controlled by any such waste hauler 19 or generator;

20 (C) all compost generated by the composting 21 facility is applied at agronomic rates and used as 22 mulch, fertilizer or soil conditioner on land actually 23 farmed by the person operating the composting 24 facility, and the finished compost is not stored at the 25 composting site for a period longer than 18 months 26 prior to its application as mulch, fertilizer, or soil SB0009 Enrolled - 15 - LRB101 06168 JWD 51190 b

1 conditioner;

2 (D) the owner or operator, by January 1 of each 3 year, (i) registers the site with the Agency, (ii) reports to the Agency on the volume of composting 4 material received and used at the site, (iii) certifies 5 to the Agency that the site complies with the 6 7 requirements set forth in subparagraphs (A), (A-1), (A-2), (B), and (C) of this paragraph (q) (3), and (iv)8 9 certifies to the Agency that all composting material: 10 (I) was placed more than 200 feet from the 11 nearest potable water supply well; 12 (II) was placed outside the boundary of the 13 10-year floodplain or on a part of the site that is 14 floodproofed; 15 (III) was placed either (aa) at least 1/4 mile 16 from the nearest residence (other than a residence 17 located on the same property as the facility) and there are not more than 10 occupied non-farm 18 residences within 1/2 mile of the boundaries of the 19 20 site on the date of application or (bb) a lesser distance from the nearest residence (other than a 21 22 residence located on the same property as the 23 facility) provided that the municipality or county 24 in which the facility is located has by ordinance 25 approved a lesser distance than 1/4 mile and there 26 are not more than 10 occupied non-farm residences

SB0009 Enrolled - 16 - LRB101 06168 JWD 51190 b

within 1/2 mile of the boundaries of the site on
 the date of application; and

3 (IV) was placed more than 5 feet above the 4 water table.

5 Any ordinance approving a residential setback of 6 less than 1/4 mile that is used to meet the 7 requirements of this subparagraph (D) must 8 specifically reference this subparagraph.

9 For the purposes of this subsection (q), "agronomic rates" 10 means the application of not more than 20 tons per acre per 11 year, except that the Board may allow a higher rate for 12 individual sites where the owner or operator has demonstrated 13 to the Board that the site's soil characteristics or crop needs 14 require a higher rate.

15 (r) Cause or allow the storage or disposal of coal 16 combustion waste unless:

17 (1) such waste is stored or disposed of at a site or
18 facility for which a permit has been obtained or is not
19 otherwise required under subsection (d) of this Section; or

20 (2) such waste is stored or disposed of as a part of
21 the design and reclamation of a site or facility which is
22 an abandoned mine site in accordance with the Abandoned
23 Mined Lands and Water Reclamation Act; or

(3) such waste is stored or disposed of at a site or
 facility which is operating under NPDES and Subtitle D
 permits issued by the Agency pursuant to regulations

SB0009 Enrolled - 17 - LRB101 06168 JWD 51190 b

adopted by the Board for mine-related water pollution and permits issued pursuant to the Federal Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977 (P.L. 95-87) or the rules and regulations thereunder or any law or rule or regulation adopted by the State of Illinois pursuant thereto, and the owner or operator of the facility agrees to accept the waste; and either

8 (i) such waste is stored or disposed of in 9 accordance with requirements applicable to refuse 10 disposal under regulations adopted by the Board for 11 mine-related water pollution and pursuant to NPDES and 12 Subtitle D permits issued by the Agency under such 13 regulations; or

14 (ii) the owner or operator of the facility 15 demonstrates all of the following to the Agency, and 16 facility is operated in accordance with the the 17 demonstration as approved by the Agency: (1) the disposal area will be covered in a manner that will 18 19 support continuous vegetation, (2) the facility will 20 be adequately protected from wind and water erosion, 21 (3) the pH will be maintained so as to prevent 22 excessive leaching of metal ions, and (4) adequate 23 containment or other measures will be provided to 24 protect surface water and groundwater from 25 contamination at levels prohibited by this Act, the 26 Illinois Groundwater Protection Act, or regulations

SB0009 Enrolled - 18 - LRB101 06168 JWD 51190 b

1

adopted pursuant thereto.

2 Notwithstanding any other provision of this Title, the 3 disposal of coal combustion waste pursuant to item (2) or (3) of this subdivision (r) shall be exempt from the other 4 5 provisions of this Title V, and notwithstanding the provisions of Title X of this Act, the Agency is authorized to grant 6 7 experimental permits which include provision for the disposal of wastes from the combustion of coal and other materials 8 9 pursuant to items (2) and (3) of this subdivision (r).

10 (s) After April 1, 1989, offer for transportation, 11 transport, deliver, receive or accept special waste for which a 12 manifest is required, unless the manifest indicates that the 13 fee required under Section 22.8 of this Act has been paid.

(t) Cause or allow a lateral expansion of a municipal solid waste landfill unit on or after October 9, 1993, without a permit modification, granted by the Agency, that authorizes the lateral expansion.

(u) Conduct any vegetable by-product treatment, storage, 18 disposal or transportation operation in violation of any 19 20 regulation, standards or permit requirements adopted by the Board under this Act. However, no permit shall be required 21 22 under this Title V for the land application of vegetable 23 by-products conducted pursuant to Agency permit issued under Title III of this Act to the generator of the vegetable 24 by-products. In addition, vegetable by-products may be 25 26 transported in this State without a special waste hauling

SB0009 Enrolled - 19 - LRB101 06168 JWD 51190 b

1 2 permit, and without the preparation and carrying of a manifest.
 (v) (Blank).

3 (w) Conduct any generation, transportation, or recycling 4 of construction or demolition debris, clean or general, or 5 uncontaminated soil generated during construction, remodeling, repair, and demolition of utilities, structures, and roads that 6 7 is not commingled with any waste, without the maintenance of 8 documentation identifying the hauler, generator, place of 9 origin of the debris or soil, the weight or volume of the 10 debris or soil, and the location, owner, and operator of the 11 facility where the debris or soil was transferred, disposed, 12 recycled, or treated. This documentation must be maintained by 13 the generator, transporter, or recycler for 3 years. This 14 subsection (w) shall not apply to (1) a permitted pollution 15 control facility that transfers or accepts construction or 16 demolition debris, clean or general, or uncontaminated soil for 17 final disposal, recycling, or treatment, (2) a public utility (as that term is defined in the Public Utilities Act) or a 18 19 municipal utility, (3) the Illinois Department of 20 Transportation, or (4) a municipality or a county highway department, with the exception of any municipality or county 21 22 highway department located within a county having a population 23 of over 3,000,000 inhabitants or located in a county that is contiguous to a county having a population of over 3,000,000 24 inhabitants; but it shall apply to an entity that contracts 25 26 with a public utility, a municipal utility, the Illinois

SB0009 Enrolled - 20 - LRB101 06168 JWD 51190 b

Department of Transportation, or a municipality or a county 1 2 highway department. The terms "generation" and "recycling" as used in this subsection do not apply to clean construction or 3 4 demolition debris when (i) used as fill material below grade 5 outside of a setback zone if covered by sufficient 6 uncontaminated soil to support vegetation within 30 days of the 7 completion of filling or if covered by a road or structure, (ii) solely broken concrete without protruding metal bars is 8 9 used for erosion control, or (iii) milled asphalt or crushed 10 concrete is used as aggregate in construction of the shoulder 11 of a roadway. The terms "generation" and "recycling", as used 12 in this subsection, do not apply to uncontaminated soil that is not commingled with any waste when (i) used as fill material 13 14 below grade or contoured to grade, or (ii) used at the site of 15 generation.

16 (Source: P.A. 100-103, eff. 8-11-17.)

17 (415 ILCS 5/22.59 new)

18	<u>Sec. 22.59. CCR surface impoundments.</u>
19	(a) The General Assembly finds that:
20	(1) the State of Illinois has a long-standing policy to
21	restore, protect, and enhance the environment, including
22	the purity of the air, land, and waters, including
23	groundwaters, of this State;
24	(2) a clean environment is essential to the growth and
25	well-being of this State;

SB0009 Enrolled - 21 - LRB101 06168 JWD 51190 b 1 (3) CCR generated by the electric generating industry 2 has caused groundwater contamination and other forms of pollution at active and inactive plants throughout this 3 4 State; 5 (4) environmental laws should be supplemented to 6 ensure consistent, responsible regulation of all existing 7 CCR surface impoundments; and (5) meaningful participation of State residents, 8 especially vulnerable populations who may be affected by 9 10 regulatory actions, is critical to ensure that 11 environmental justice considerations are incorporated in 12 the development of, decision-making related to, and implementation of environmental laws and rulemaking that 13 14 protects and improves the well-being of communities in this State that bear disproportionate burdens imposed by 15 16 environmental pollution. Therefore, the purpose of this Section is to promote a 17 healthful environment, including clean water, air, and land, 18 meaningful public involvement, and the responsible disposal 19 and storage of coal combustion residuals, so as to protect 20 21 public health and to prevent pollution of the environment of 22 this State. The provisions of this Section shall be liberally construed 23 24 to carry out the purposes of this Section. 25 (b) No person shall: 26 (1) cause or allow the discharge of any contaminants

SB0009 Enrolled - 22 - LRB101 06168 JWD 51190 b

1 <u>from a CCR surface impoundment into the environment so as</u>
2 <u>to cause, directly or indirectly, a violation of this</u>
3 <u>Section or any regulations or standards adopted by the</u>
4 <u>Board under this Section, either alone or in combination</u>
5 <u>with contaminants from other sources;</u>

6 (2) construct, install, modify, operate, or close any 7 CCR surface impoundment without a permit granted by the 8 Agency, or so as to violate any conditions imposed by such 9 permit, any provision of this Section or any regulations or 10 standards adopted by the Board under this Section; or

11 <u>(3) cause or allow, directly or indirectly, the</u> 12 <u>discharge, deposit, injection, dumping, spilling, leaking,</u> 13 <u>or placing of any CCR upon the land in a place and manner</u> 14 <u>so as to cause or tend to cause a violation this Section or</u> 15 <u>any regulations or standards adopted by the Board under</u> 16 this Section.

17 (c) For purposes of this Section, a permit issued by the 18 Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection 19 Agency under Section 4005 of the federal Resource Conservation 20 and Recovery Act, shall be deemed to be a permit under this 21 Section and subsection (y) of Section 39.

(d) Before commencing closure of a CCR surface impoundment, in accordance with Board rules, the owner of a CCR surface impoundment must submit to the Agency for approval a closure alternatives analysis that analyzes all closure methods being considered and that otherwise satisfies all closure

SB0009 Enrolled - 23 - LRB101 06168 JWD 51190 b

requirements adopted by the Board under this Act. Complete
removal of CCR, as specified by the Board's rules, from the CCR
surface impoundment must be considered and analyzed. Section
3.405 does not apply to the Board's rules specifying complete
removal of CCR. The selected closure method must ensure
compliance with regulations adopted by the Board pursuant to
this Section.

8 <u>(e) Owners or operators of CCR surface impoundments who</u> 9 <u>have submitted a closure plan to the Agency before May 1, 2019,</u> 10 <u>and who have completed closure prior to 24 months after the</u> 11 <u>effective date of this amendatory Act of the 101st General</u> 12 <u>Assembly shall not be required to obtain a construction permit</u> 13 <u>for the surface impoundment closure under this Section.</u>

14 (f) Except for the State, its agencies and institutions, a unit of local government, or not-for-profit electric 15 16 cooperative as defined in Section 3.4 of the Electric Supplier Act, any person who owns or operates a CCR surface impoundment 17 18 in this State shall post with the Agency a performance bond or 19 other security for the purpose of: (i) ensuring closure of the CCR surface impoundment and post-closure care in accordance 20 21 with this Act and its rules; and (ii) insuring remediation of 22 releases from the CCR surface impoundment. The only acceptable 23 forms of financial assurance are: a trust fund, a surety bond 24 guaranteeing payment, a surety bond guaranteeing performance, 25 or an irrevocable letter of credit.

(1) The cost estimate for the post-closure care of a

26

SB0009 Enrolled - 24 - LRB101 06168 JWD 51190 b

<u>CCR surface impoundment shall be calculated using a 30-year</u>
 <u>post-closure care period or such longer period as may be</u>
 approved by the Agency under Board or federal rules.

4 (2) The Agency is authorized to enter into such 5 contracts and agreements as it may deem necessary to carry 6 out the purposes of this Section. Neither the State, nor 7 the Director, nor any State employee shall be liable for 8 any damages or injuries arising out of or resulting from 9 any action taken under this Section.

10 <u>(3) The Agency shall have the authority to approve or</u> 11 <u>disapprove any performance bond or other security posted</u> 12 <u>under this subsection. Any person whose performance bond or</u> 13 <u>other security is disapproved by the Agency may contest the</u> 14 <u>disapproval as a permit denial appeal pursuant to Section</u> 15 40.

16 (g) The Board shall adopt rules establishing construction permit requirements, operating permit requirements, design 17 standards, reporting, financial assurance, and closure and 18 19 post-closure care requirements for CCR surface impoundments. Not later than 8 months after the effective date of this 20 21 amendatory Act of the 101st General Assembly the Agency shall 22 propose, and not later than one year after receipt of the Agency's proposal the Board shall adopt, rules under this 23 24 Section. The rules must, at a minimum:

25 (1) be at least as protective and comprehensive as the
 26 <u>federal regulations or amendments thereto promulgated by</u>

	SB0009 Enrolled - 25 - LRB101 06168 JWD 51190 b
1	the Administrator of the United States Environmental
2	Protection Agency in Subpart D of 40 CFR 257 governing CCR
3	surface impoundments;
4	(2) specify the minimum contents of CCR surface
5	impoundment construction and operating permit
6	applications, including the closure alternatives analysis
7	required under subsection (d);
8	(3) specify which types of permits include
9	requirements for closure, post-closure, remediation and
10	all other requirements applicable to CCR surface
11	impoundments;
12	(4) specify when permit applications for existing CCR
13	surface impoundments must be submitted, taking into
14	consideration whether the CCR surface impoundment must
15	close under the RCRA;
16	(5) specify standards for review and approval by the
17	Agency of CCR surface impoundment permit applications;
18	(6) specify meaningful public participation procedures
19	for the issuance of CCR surface impoundment construction
20	and operating permits, including, but not limited to,
21	public notice of the submission of permit applications, an
22	opportunity for the submission of public comments, an
23	opportunity for a public hearing prior to permit issuance,
24	and a summary and response of the comments prepared by the
25	Agency;
26	(7) prescribe the type and amount of the performance

	SB0009 Enrolled - 26 - LRB101 06168 JWD 51190 b
1	bonds or other securities required under subsection (f),
2	and the conditions under which the State is entitled to
3	collect moneys from such performance bonds or other
4	securities;
5	(8) specify a procedure to identify areas of
6	environmental justice concern in relation to CCR surface
7	impoundments;
8	(9) specify a method to prioritize CCR surface
9	impoundments required to close under RCRA if not otherwise
10	specified by the United States Environmental Protection
11	Agency, so that the CCR surface impoundments with the
12	highest risk to public health and the environment, and
13	areas of environmental justice concern are given first
14	priority;
15	(10) define when complete removal of CCR is achieved
16	and specify the standards for responsible removal of CCR
17	from CCR surface impoundments, including, but not limited
18	to, dust controls and the protection of adjacent surface
19	water and groundwater; and
20	(11) describe the process and standards for
21	identifying a specific alternative source of groundwater
22	pollution when the owner or operator of the CCR surface
23	impoundment believes that groundwater contamination on the
24	site is not from the CCR surface impoundment.
25	(h) Any owner of a CCR surface impoundment that generates
26	CCR and sells or otherwise provides coal combustion byproducts

	SB0009 Enrolled - 27 - LRB101 06168 JWD 51190 b
1	pursuant to Section 3.135 shall, every 12 months, post on its
2	publicly available website a report specifying the volume or
3	weight of CCR, in cubic yards or tons, that it sold or provided
4	during the past 12 months.
5	(i) The owner of a CCR surface impoundment shall post all
6	closure plans, permit applications, and supporting
7	documentation, as well as any Agency approval of the plans or
8	applications on its publicly available website.
9	(j) The owner or operator of a CCR surface impoundment
10	shall pay the following fees:
11	(1) An initial fee to the Agency within 6 months after
12	the effective date of this amendatory Act of the 101st
13	General Assembly of:
14	\$50,000 for each closed CCR surface impoundment;
15	and
16	\$75,000 for each CCR surface impoundment that have
17	not completed closure.
18	(2) Annual fees to the Agency, beginning on July 1,
19	<u>2020, of:</u>
20	\$25,000 for each CCR surface impoundment that has
21	not completed closure; and
22	\$15,000 for each CCR surface impoundment that has
23	completed closure, but has not completed post-closure
24	care.
25	(k) All fees collected by the Agency under subsection (j)
26	shall be deposited into the Environmental Protection Permit and

SB0009 Enrolled - 28 - LRB101 06168 JWD 51190 b

1 Inspection Fund.

2 (1) The Coal Combustion Residual Surface Impoundment Financial Assurance Fund is created as a special fund in the 3 State treasury. Any moneys forfeited to the State of Illinois 4 5 from any performance bond or other security required under this Section shall be placed in the Coal Combustion Residual Surface 6 7 Impoundment Financial Assurance Fund and shall, upon approval by the Governor and the Director, be used by the Agency for the 8 9 purposes for which such performance bond or other security was issued. The Coal Combustion Residual Surface Impoundment 10 11 Financial Assurance Fund is not subject to the provisions of 12 subsection (c) of Section 5 of the State Finance Act.

(m) The provisions of this Section shall apply, without limitation, to all existing CCR surface impoundments and any CCR surface impoundments constructed after the effective date of this amendatory Act of the 101st General Assembly, except to the extent prohibited by the Illinois or United States Constitutions.

19 (415 ILCS 5/39) (from Ch. 111 1/2, par. 1039)

20 Sec. 39. Issuance of permits; procedures.

(a) When the Board has by regulation required a permit for the construction, installation, or operation of any type of facility, equipment, vehicle, vessel, or aircraft, the applicant shall apply to the Agency for such permit and it shall be the duty of the Agency to issue such a permit upon

SB0009 Enrolled - 29 - LRB101 06168 JWD 51190 b

proof by the applicant that the facility, equipment, vehicle, 1 2 vessel, or aircraft will not cause a violation of this Act or 3 of regulations hereunder. The Agency shall adopt such procedures as are necessary to carry out its duties under this 4 5 Section. In making its determinations on permit applications under this Section the Agency may consider prior adjudications 6 of noncompliance with this Act by the applicant that involved a 7 release of a contaminant into the environment. In granting 8 9 permits, the Agency may impose reasonable conditions 10 specifically related to the applicant's past compliance 11 history with this Act as necessary to correct, detect, or 12 prevent noncompliance. The Agency may impose such other 13 conditions as may be necessary to accomplish the purposes of 14 this Act, and as are not inconsistent with the regulations promulgated by the Board hereunder. Except as otherwise 15 16 provided in this Act, a bond or other security shall not be 17 required as a condition for the issuance of a permit. If the Agency denies any permit under this Section, the Agency shall 18 19 transmit to the applicant within the time limitations of this 20 Section specific, detailed statements as to the reasons the 21 permit application was denied. Such statements shall include, 22 but not be limited to the following:

23

24

(i) the Sections of this Act which may be violated if the permit were granted;

(ii) the provision of the regulations, promulgatedunder this Act, which may be violated if the permit were

SB0009 Enrolled - 30 - LRB101 06168 JWD 51190 b

1 granted;

2 (iii) the specific type of information, if any, which
3 the Agency deems the applicant did not provide the Agency;
4 and

5 (iv) a statement of specific reasons why the Act and 6 the regulations might not be met if the permit were 7 granted.

8 If there is no final action by the Agency within 90 days 9 after the filing of the application for permit, the applicant 10 may deem the permit issued; except that this time period shall 11 be extended to 180 days when (1) notice and opportunity for 12 public hearing are required by State or federal law or 13 regulation, (2) the application which was filed is for any permit to develop a landfill subject to issuance pursuant to 14 15 this subsection, or (3) the application that was filed is for a 16 MSWLF unit required to issue public notice under subsection (p) 17 of Section 39. The 90-day and 180-day time periods for the Agency to take final action do not apply to NPDES permit 18 applications under subsection (b) of this Section, to RCRA 19 20 permit applications under subsection (d) of this Section, or to 21 UIC permit applications under subsection (e) of this Section, 22 or to CCR surface impoundment applications under subsection (y) 23 of this Section.

The Agency shall publish notice of all final permit determinations for development permits for MSWLF units and for significant permit modifications for lateral expansions for

SB0009 Enrolled - 31 - LRB101 06168 JWD 51190 b

existing MSWLF units one time in a newspaper of general circulation in the county in which the unit is or is proposed to be located.

After January 1, 1994 and until July 1, 1998, operating 4 permits issued under this Section by the Agency for sources of 5 air pollution permitted to emit less than 25 tons per year of 6 7 any combination of regulated air pollutants, as defined in 8 Section 39.5 of this Act, shall be required to be renewed only 9 upon written request by the Agency consistent with applicable 10 provisions of this Act and regulations promulgated hereunder. 11 Such operating permits shall expire 180 days after the date of 12 such a request. The Board shall revise its regulations for the 13 existing State air pollution operating permit program 14 consistent with this provision by January 1, 1994.

15 After June 30, 1998, operating permits issued under this 16 Section by the Agency for sources of air pollution that are not 17 subject to Section 39.5 of this Act and are not required to have a federally enforceable State operating permit shall be 18 19 required to be renewed only upon written request by the Agency 20 consistent with applicable provisions of this Act and its rules. Such operating permits shall expire 180 days after the 21 22 date of such a request. Before July 1, 1998, the Board shall 23 revise its rules for the existing State air pollution operating permit program consistent with this paragraph and shall adopt 24 25 rules that require a source to demonstrate that it qualifies 26 for a permit under this paragraph.

SB0009 Enrolled - 32 - LRB101 06168 JWD 51190 b

1 (b) The Agency may issue NPDES permits exclusively under 2 this subsection for the discharge of contaminants from point 3 sources into navigable waters, all as defined in the Federal 4 Water Pollution Control Act, as now or hereafter amended, 5 within the jurisdiction of the State, or into any well.

All NPDES permits shall contain those terms and conditions, including but not limited to schedules of compliance, which may be required to accomplish the purposes and provisions of this Act.

10 The Agency may issue general NPDES permits for discharges 11 from categories of point sources which are subject to the same 12 permit limitations and conditions. Such general permits may be 13 issued without individual applications and shall conform to 14 regulations promulgated under Section 402 of the Federal Water 15 Pollution Control Act, as now or hereafter amended.

16 The Agency may include, among such conditions, effluent 17 limitations and other requirements established under this Act, 18 Board regulations, the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as 19 now or hereafter amended, and regulations pursuant thereto, and 20 schedules for achieving compliance therewith at the earliest 21 reasonable date.

The Agency shall adopt filing requirements and procedures which are necessary and appropriate for the issuance of NPDES permits, and which are consistent with the Act or regulations adopted by the Board, and with the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as now or hereafter amended, and regulations SB0009 Enrolled - 33 - LRB101 06168 JWD 51190 b

1 pursuant thereto.

The Agency, subject to any conditions which may be prescribed by Board regulations, may issue NPDES permits to allow discharges beyond deadlines established by this Act or by regulations of the Board without the requirement of a variance, subject to the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as now or hereafter amended, and regulations pursuant thereto.

8 (c) Except for those facilities owned or operated by 9 sanitary districts organized under the Metropolitan Water 10 Reclamation District Act, no permit for the development or 11 construction of a new pollution control facility may be granted 12 by the Agency unless the applicant submits proof to the Agency 13 that the location of the facility has been approved by the County Board of the county if in an unincorporated area, or the 14 15 governing body of the municipality when in an incorporated 16 area, in which the facility is to be located in accordance with 17 Section 39.2 of this Act. For purposes of this subsection (c), and for purposes of Section 39.2 of this Act, the appropriate 18 19 county board or governing body of the municipality shall be the 20 county board of the county or the governing body of the municipality in which the facility is to be located as of the 21 22 date when the application for siting approval is filed.

In the event that siting approval granted pursuant to Section 39.2 has been transferred to a subsequent owner or operator, that subsequent owner or operator may apply to the Agency for, and the Agency may grant, a development or

SB0009 Enrolled - 34 - LRB101 06168 JWD 51190 b

1 construction permit for the facility for which local siting 2 approval was granted. Upon application to the Agency for a development or construction permit by that subsequent owner or 3 4 operator, the permit applicant shall cause written notice of 5 the permit application to be served upon the appropriate county board or governing body of the municipality that granted siting 6 7 approval for that facility and upon any party to the siting proceeding pursuant to which siting approval was granted. In 8 9 that event, the Agency shall conduct an evaluation of the 10 subsequent owner or operator's prior experience in waste 11 management operations in the manner conducted under subsection 12 (i) of Section 39 of this Act.

13 Beginning August 20, 1993, if the pollution control facility consists of a hazardous or solid waste disposal 14 15 facility for which the proposed site is located in an 16 unincorporated area of a county with a population of less than 17 100,000 and includes all or a portion of a parcel of land that was, on April 1, 1993, adjacent to a municipality having a 18 population of less than 5,000, then the local siting review 19 20 required under this subsection (c) in conjunction with any permit applied for after that date shall be performed by the 21 22 governing body of that adjacent municipality rather than the 23 county board of the county in which the proposed site is located; and for the purposes of that local siting review, any 24 25 references in this Act to the county board shall be deemed to 26 mean the governing body of that adjacent municipality;

SB0009 Enrolled - 35 - LRB101 06168 JWD 51190 b

provided, however, that the provisions of this paragraph shall not apply to any proposed site which was, on April 1, 1993, owned in whole or in part by another municipality.

4 In the case of a pollution control facility for which a 5 development permit was issued before November 12, 1981, if an operating permit has not been issued by the Agency prior to 6 7 August 31, 1989 for any portion of the facility, then the 8 Agency may not issue or renew any development permit nor issue 9 an original operating permit for any portion of such facility 10 unless the applicant has submitted proof to the Agency that the 11 location of the facility has been approved by the appropriate 12 county board or municipal governing body pursuant to Section 13 39.2 of this Act.

After January 1, 1994, if a solid waste disposal facility, 14 15 any portion for which an operating permit has been issued by 16 the Agency, has not accepted waste disposal for 5 or more 17 consecutive calendars years, before that facility may accept any new or additional waste for disposal, the owner and 18 operator must obtain a new operating permit under this Act for 19 20 that facility unless the owner and operator have applied to the 21 Agency for a permit authorizing the temporary suspension of 22 waste acceptance. The Agency may not issue a new operation 23 permit under this Act for the facility unless the applicant has submitted proof to the Agency that the location of the facility 24 25 has been approved or re-approved by the appropriate county 26 board or municipal governing body under Section 39.2 of this

SB0009 Enrolled - 36 - LRB101 06168 JWD 51190 b

1 Act after the facility ceased accepting waste.

2 Except for those facilities owned or operated by sanitary 3 districts organized under the Metropolitan Water Reclamation District Act, and except for new pollution control facilities 4 5 governed by Section 39.2, and except for fossil fuel mining facilities, the granting of a permit under this Act shall not 6 7 relieve the applicant from meeting and securing all necessary 8 zoning approvals from the unit of government having zoning 9 jurisdiction over the proposed facility.

10 Before beginning construction on any new sewage treatment 11 plant or sludge drying site to be owned or operated by a 12 sanitary district organized under the Metropolitan Water Reclamation District Act for which a new permit (rather than 13 the renewal or amendment of an existing permit) is required, 14 15 such sanitary district shall hold a public hearing within the 16 municipality within which the proposed facility is to be 17 located, or within the nearest community if the proposed facility is to be located within an unincorporated area, at 18 19 which information concerning the proposed facility shall be made available to the public, and members of the public shall 20 21 be given the opportunity to express their views concerning the 22 proposed facility.

The Agency may issue a permit for a municipal waste transfer station without requiring approval pursuant to Section 39.2 provided that the following demonstration is made: (1) the municipal waste transfer station was in

SB0009 Enrolled - 37 - LRB101 06168 JWD 51190 b

1 existence on or before January 1, 1979 and was in 2 continuous operation from January 1, 1979 to January 1, 3 1993;

4 (2) the operator submitted a permit application to the
5 Agency to develop and operate the municipal waste transfer
6 station during April of 1994;

7 (3) the operator can demonstrate that the county board 8 of the county, if the municipal waste transfer station is 9 in an unincorporated area, or the governing body of the 10 municipality, if the station is in an incorporated area, 11 does not object to resumption of the operation of the 12 station; and

13

(4) the site has local zoning approval.

(d) The Agency may issue RCRA permits exclusively under this subsection to persons owning or operating a facility for the treatment, storage, or disposal of hazardous waste as defined under this Act. <u>Subsection (y) of this Section, rather</u> <u>than this subsection (d), shall apply to permits issued for CCR</u> surface impoundments.

All RCRA permits shall contain those terms and conditions, including but not limited to schedules of compliance, which may be required to accomplish the purposes and provisions of this Act. The Agency may include among such conditions standards and other requirements established under this Act, Board regulations, the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976 (P.L. 94-580), as amended, and regulations pursuant thereto,

SB0009 Enrolled - 38 - LRB101 06168 JWD 51190 b

and may include schedules for achieving compliance therewith as soon as possible. The Agency shall require that a performance bond or other security be provided as a condition for the issuance of a RCRA permit.

5 In the case of a permit to operate a hazardous waste or PCB 6 incinerator as defined in subsection (k) of Section 44, the 7 Agency shall require, as a condition of the permit, that the 8 operator of the facility perform such analyses of the waste to 9 be incinerated as may be necessary and appropriate to ensure 10 the safe operation of the incinerator.

11 The Agency shall adopt filing requirements and procedures 12 which are necessary and appropriate for the issuance of RCRA 13 permits, and which are consistent with the Act or regulations 14 adopted by the Board, and with the Resource Conservation and 15 Recovery Act of 1976 (P.L. 94-580), as amended, and regulations 16 pursuant thereto.

17 The applicant shall make available to the public for inspection all documents submitted by the applicant to the 18 Agency in furtherance of an application, with the exception of 19 20 trade secrets, at the office of the county board or governing body of the municipality. Such documents may be copied upon 21 22 payment of the actual cost of reproduction during regular 23 business hours of the local office. The Agency shall issue a written statement concurrent with its grant or denial of the 24 25 permit explaining the basis for its decision.

26 (e) The

(e) The Agency may issue UIC permits exclusively under this

SB0009 Enrolled - 39 - LRB101 06168 JWD 51190 b

1 subsection to persons owning or operating a facility for the 2 underground injection of contaminants as defined under this 3 Act.

All UIC permits shall contain those terms and conditions, 4 5 including but not limited to schedules of compliance, which may 6 be required to accomplish the purposes and provisions of this Act. The Agency may include among such conditions standards and 7 8 requirements established under this other Act, Board 9 regulations, the Safe Drinking Water Act (P.L. 93-523), as 10 amended, and regulations pursuant thereto, and may include 11 schedules for achieving compliance therewith. The Agency shall 12 require that a performance bond or other security be provided 13 as a condition for the issuance of a UIC permit.

The Agency shall adopt filing requirements and procedures which are necessary and appropriate for the issuance of UIC permits, and which are consistent with the Act or regulations adopted by the Board, and with the Safe Drinking Water Act (P.L. 93-523), as amended, and regulations pursuant thereto.

19 The applicant shall make available to the public for 20 inspection, all documents submitted by the applicant to the Agency in furtherance of an application, with the exception of 21 22 trade secrets, at the office of the county board or governing 23 body of the municipality. Such documents may be copied upon payment of the actual cost of reproduction during regular 24 25 business hours of the local office. The Agency shall issue a 26 written statement concurrent with its grant or denial of the

SB0009 Enrolled - 40 - LRB101 06168 JWD 51190 b

1 permit explaining the basis for its decision.

2 (f) In making any determination pursuant to Section 9.1 of 3 this Act:

(1) The Agency shall have authority to make the 4 determination of any question required to be determined by 5 the Clean Air Act, as now or hereafter amended, this Act, 6 7 regulations of the Board, including or the the 8 determination of the Lowest Achievable Emission Rate, 9 Maximum Achievable Control Technology, or Best Available 10 Control Technology, consistent with the Board's 11 regulations, if any.

12 (2) The Agency shall adopt requirements as necessary to 13 implement public participation procedures, including, but 14 not limited to, public notice, comment, and an opportunity 15 for hearing, which must accompany the processing of 16 applications for PSD permits. The Agency shall briefly 17 describe and respond to all significant comments on the draft permit raised during the public comment period or 18 19 during any hearing. The Agency may group related comments 20 together and provide one unified response for each issue 21 raised.

(3) Any complete permit application submitted to the
Agency under this subsection for a PSD permit shall be
granted or denied by the Agency not later than one year
after the filing of such completed application.

26

(4) The Agency shall, after conferring with the

SB0009 Enrolled - 41 - LRB101 06168 JWD 51190 b

applicant, give written notice to the applicant of its proposed decision on the application including the terms and conditions of the permit to be issued and the facts, conduct or other basis upon which the Agency will rely to support its proposed action.

(g) The Agency shall include as conditions upon all permits 6 7 issued for hazardous waste disposal sites such restrictions 8 upon the future use of such sites as are reasonably necessary 9 to protect public health and the environment, including 10 permanent prohibition of the use of such sites for purposes 11 which may create an unreasonable risk of injury to human health 12 or to the environment. After administrative and judicial challenges to such restrictions have been exhausted, the Agency 13 shall file such restrictions of record in the Office of the 14 15 Recorder of the county in which the hazardous waste disposal 16 site is located.

17 (h) A hazardous waste stream may not be deposited in a permitted hazardous waste site unless specific authorization 18 19 is obtained from the Agency by the generator and disposal site 20 owner and operator for the deposit of that specific hazardous waste stream. The Agency may grant specific authorization for 21 22 disposal of hazardous waste streams only after the generator 23 has reasonably demonstrated that, considering technological 24 feasibility and economic reasonableness, the hazardous waste 25 cannot be reasonably recycled for reuse, nor incinerated or 26 chemically, physically or biologically treated so as to

SB0009 Enrolled - 42 - LRB101 06168 JWD 51190 b

1 neutralize the hazardous waste and render it nonhazardous. In 2 granting authorization under this Section, the Agency may 3 impose such conditions as may be necessary to accomplish the purposes of the Act and are consistent with this Act and 4 5 regulations promulgated by the Board hereunder. If the Agency refuses to grant authorization under this 6 Section, the applicant may appeal as if the Agency refused to grant a 7 permit, pursuant to the provisions of subsection (a) of Section 8 9 40 of this Act. For purposes of this subsection (h), the term 10 "generator" has the meaning given in Section 3.205 of this Act, 11 unless: (1) the hazardous waste is treated, incinerated, or 12 partially recycled for reuse prior to disposal, in which case 13 the last person who treats, incinerates, or partially recycles 14 the hazardous waste prior to disposal is the generator; or (2) 15 the hazardous waste is from a response action, in which case 16 the person performing the response action is the generator. 17 This subsection (h) does not apply to any hazardous waste that is restricted from land disposal under 35 Ill. Adm. Code 728. 18

19 (i) Before issuing any RCRA permit, any permit for a waste 20 storage site, sanitary landfill, waste disposal site, waste 21 transfer station, waste treatment facility, waste incinerator, 22 or any waste-transportation operation, any permit or interim 23 authorization for a clean construction or demolition debris fill operation, or any permit required under subsection (d-5) 24 25 of Section 55, the Agency shall conduct an evaluation of the 26 prospective owner's or operator's prior experience in waste

SB0009 Enrolled - 43 - LRB101 06168 JWD 51190 b

1 management operations, clean construction or demolition debris 2 fill operations, and tire storage site management. The Agency 3 may deny such a permit, or deny or revoke interim 4 authorization, if the prospective owner or operator or any 5 employee or officer of the prospective owner or operator has a 6 history of:

7 (1) repeated violations of federal, State, or local
8 laws, regulations, standards, or ordinances in the
9 operation of waste management facilities or sites, clean
10 construction or demolition debris fill operation
11 facilities or sites, or tire storage sites; or

12 (2) conviction in this or another State of any crime which is a felony under the laws of this State, or 13 14 conviction of a felony in a federal court; or conviction in 15 this or another state or federal court of any of the 16 following crimes: forgery, official misconduct, bribery, 17 perjury, or knowingly submitting false information under any environmental law, regulation, or permit term or 18 19 condition; or

(3) proof of gross carelessness or incompetence in
handling, storing, processing, transporting or disposing
of waste, clean construction or demolition debris, or used
or waste tires, or proof of gross carelessness or
incompetence in using clean construction or demolition
debris as fill.

26 (i-5) Before issuing any permit or approving any interim

SB0009 Enrolled - 44 - LRB101 06168 JWD 51190 b

authorization for a clean construction or demolition debris 1 2 fill operation in which any ownership interest is transferred between January 1, 2005, and the effective date of the 3 prohibition set forth in Section 22.52 of this Act, the Agency 4 5 shall conduct an evaluation of the operation if any previous activities at the site or facility may have caused or allowed 6 7 contamination of the site. It shall be the responsibility of 8 owner or operator seeking the permit or the interim 9 authorization to provide to the Agency all of the information 10 necessary for the Agency to conduct its evaluation. The Agency 11 may deny a permit or interim authorization if previous 12 activities at the site may have caused or allowed contamination at the site, unless such contamination is authorized under any 13 14 permit issued by the Agency.

(j) The issuance under this Act of a permit to engage in the surface mining of any resources other than fossil fuels shall not relieve the permittee from its duty to comply with any applicable local law regulating the commencement, location or operation of surface mining facilities.

(k) A development permit issued under subsection (a) of Section 39 for any facility or site which is required to have a permit under subsection (d) of Section 21 shall expire at the end of 2 calendar years from the date upon which it was issued, unless within that period the applicant has taken action to develop the facility or the site. In the event that review of the conditions of the development permit is sought pursuant to

SB0009 Enrolled - 45 - LRB101 06168 JWD 51190 b

1 Section 40 or 41, or permittee is prevented from commencing 2 development of the facility or site by any other litigation 3 beyond the permittee's control, such two-year period shall be 4 deemed to begin on the date upon which such review process or 5 litigation is concluded.

6 (1) No permit shall be issued by the Agency under this Act 7 for construction or operation of any facility or site located 8 within the boundaries of any setback zone established pursuant 9 to this Act, where such construction or operation is 10 prohibited.

11 (m) The Agency may issue permits to persons owning or 12 operating a facility for composting landscape waste. In granting such permits, the Agency may impose such conditions as 13 14 may be necessary to accomplish the purposes of this Act, and as 15 are not inconsistent with applicable regulations promulgated 16 by the Board. Except as otherwise provided in this Act, a bond 17 or other security shall not be required as a condition for the issuance of a permit. If the Agency denies any permit pursuant 18 19 to this subsection, the Agency shall transmit to the applicant 20 within the time limitations of this subsection specific, 21 detailed statements as to the reasons the permit application 22 was denied. Such statements shall include but not be limited to 23 the following:

(1) the Sections of this Act that may be violated ifthe permit were granted;

26

(2) the specific regulations promulgated pursuant to

SB0009 Enrolled - 46 - LRB101 06168 JWD 51190 b

this Act that may be violated if the permit were granted;

2 (3) the specific information, if any, the Agency deems
3 the applicant did not provide in its application to the
4 Agency; and

5

6

1

(4) a statement of specific reasons why the Act and the regulations might be violated if the permit were granted.

If no final action is taken by the Agency within 90 days after the filing of the application for permit, the applicant may deem the permit issued. Any applicant for a permit may waive the 90-day limitation by filing a written statement with the Agency.

12 The Agency shall issue permits for such facilities upon 13 receipt of an application that includes a legal description of 14 the site, a topographic map of the site drawn to the scale of 15 200 feet to the inch or larger, a description of the operation, 16 including the area served, an estimate of the volume of 17 materials to be processed, and documentation that:

18 (1) the facility includes a setback of at least 200
19 feet from the nearest potable water supply well;

20 (2) the facility is located outside the boundary of the
21 10-year floodplain or the site will be floodproofed;

(3) the facility is located so as to minimize incompatibility with the character of the surrounding area, including at least a 200 foot setback from any residence, and in the case of a facility that is developed or the permitted composting area of which is expanded after

SB0009 Enrolled - 47 - LRB101 06168 JWD 51190 b

November 17, 1991, the composting area is located at least
 1/8 mile from the nearest residence (other than a residence
 located on the same property as the facility);

4 (4) the design of the facility will prevent any compost 5 material from being placed within 5 feet of the water 6 table, will adequately control runoff from the site, and 7 will collect and manage any leachate that is generated on 8 the site;

9 (5) the operation of the facility will include 10 appropriate dust and odor control measures, limitations on 11 operating hours, appropriate noise control measures for 12 shredding, chipping and similar equipment, management 13 procedures for composting, containment and disposal of 14 non-compostable wastes, procedures to be used for 15 terminating operations at the site, and recordkeeping 16 sufficient to document the amount of materials received, 17 composted and otherwise disposed of; and

18 (6) the operation will be conducted in accordance with19 any applicable rules adopted by the Board.

The Agency shall issue renewable permits of not longer than 10 years in duration for the composting of landscape wastes, as defined in Section 3.155 of this Act, based on the above requirements.

The operator of any facility permitted under this subsection (m) must submit a written annual statement to the Agency on or before April 1 of each year that includes an

SB0009 Enrolled - 48 - LRB101 06168 JWD 51190 b

1 estimate of the amount of material, in tons, received for 2 composting.

3 (n) The Agency shall issue permits jointly with the 4 Department of Transportation for the dredging or deposit of 5 material in Lake Michigan in accordance with Section 18 of the 6 Rivers, Lakes, and Streams Act.

(o) (Blank.)

7

8 (p) (1) Any person submitting an application for a permit 9 for a new MSWLF unit or for a lateral expansion under 10 subsection (t) of Section 21 of this Act for an existing MSWLF 11 unit that has not received and is not subject to local siting 12 approval under Section 39.2 of this Act shall publish notice of 13 the application in a newspaper of general circulation in the county in which the MSWLF unit is or is proposed to be located. 14 15 The notice must be published at least 15 days before submission 16 of the permit application to the Agency. The notice shall state 17 the name and address of the applicant, the location of the MSWLF unit or proposed MSWLF unit, the nature and size of the 18 19 MSWLF unit or proposed MSWLF unit, the nature of the activity 20 proposed, the probable life of the proposed activity, the date 21 the permit application will be submitted, and a statement that 22 persons may file written comments with the Agency concerning 23 the permit application within 30 days after the filing of the permit application unless the time period to submit comments is 24 25 extended by the Agency.

26

When a permit applicant submits information to the Agency
SB0009 Enrolled - 49 - LRB101 06168 JWD 51190 b

1 to supplement a permit application being reviewed by the 2 Agency, the applicant shall not be required to reissue the 3 notice under this subsection.

4 (2) The Agency shall accept written comments concerning the
5 permit application that are postmarked no later than 30 days
6 after the filing of the permit application, unless the time
7 period to accept comments is extended by the Agency.

8 (3) Each applicant for a permit described in part (1) of 9 this subsection shall file a copy of the permit application 10 with the county board or governing body of the municipality in 11 which the MSWLF unit is or is proposed to be located at the 12 same time the application is submitted to the Agency. The permit application filed with the county board or governing 13 body of the municipality shall include all documents submitted 14 15 to or to be submitted to the Agency, except trade secrets as 16 determined under Section 7.1 of this Act. The permit 17 application and other documents on file with the county board or governing body of the municipality shall be made available 18 19 for public inspection during regular business hours at the 20 office of the county board or the governing body of the 21 municipality and may be copied upon payment of the actual cost 22 of reproduction.

(q) Within 6 months after July 12, 2011 (the effective date of Public Act 97-95), the Agency, in consultation with the regulated community, shall develop a web portal to be posted on its website for the purpose of enhancing review and promoting

SB0009 Enrolled - 50 - LRB101 06168 JWD 51190 b

timely issuance of permits required by this Act. At a minimum, the Agency shall make the following information available on the web portal:

(1) Checklists and guidance relating to the completion
of permit applications, developed pursuant to subsection
(s) of this Section, which may include, but are not limited
to, existing instructions for completing the applications
and examples of complete applications. As the Agency
develops new checklists and develops guidance, it shall
supplement the web portal with those materials.

11 (2) Within 2 years after July 12, 2011 (the effective 12 date of Public Act 97-95), permit application forms or 13 portions of permit applications that can be completed and 14 saved electronically, and submitted to the Agency 15 electronically with digital signatures.

16 (3) Within 2 years after July 12, 2011 (the effective date of Public Act 97-95), an online tracking system where 17 18 an applicant may review the status of its pending 19 application, including the name and contact information of 20 the permit analyst assigned to the application. Until the 21 online tracking system has been developed, the Agency shall 22 post on its website semi-annual permitting efficiency 23 tracking reports that include statistics on the timeframes 24 for Agency action on the following types of permits 25 received after July 12, 2011 (the effective date of Public 26 Act 97-95): air construction permits, new NPDES permits and

SB0009 Enrolled - 51 - LRB101 06168 JWD 51190 b

1 associated water construction permits, and modifications 2 of major NPDES permits and associated water construction 3 permits. The reports must be posted by February 1 and 4 August 1 each year and shall include:

5 (A) the number of applications received for each 6 type of permit, the number of applications on which the 7 Agency has taken action, and the number of applications 8 still pending; and

9 (B) for those applications where the Agency has not 10 taken action in accordance with the timeframes set 11 forth in this Act, the date the application was 12 received and the reasons for any delays, which may 13 include, but shall not be limited to, (i) the 14 application being inadequate or incomplete, (ii) 15 scientific or technical disagreements with the 16 applicant, USEPA, or other local, state, or federal agencies involved in the permitting approval process, 17 (iii) public opposition to the permit, or (iv) Agency 18 19 staffing shortages. To the extent practicable, the 20 tracking report shall provide approximate dates when 21 cause for delay was identified by the Agency, when the 22 Agency informed the applicant of the problem leading to 23 the delay, and when the applicant remedied the reason 24 for the delay.

25 (r) Upon the request of the applicant, the Agency shall26 notify the applicant of the permit analyst assigned to the

SB0009 Enrolled - 52 - LRB101 06168 JWD 51190 b

1 application upon its receipt.

(s) The Agency is authorized to prepare and distribute guidance documents relating to its administration of this Section and procedural rules implementing this Section. Guidance documents prepared under this subsection shall not be considered rules and shall not be subject to the Illinois Administrative Procedure Act. Such guidance shall not be binding on any party.

9 (t) Except as otherwise prohibited by federal law or 10 regulation, any person submitting an application for a permit 11 may include with the application suggested permit language for 12 Agency consideration. The Agency is not obligated to use the 13 suggested language or any portion thereof in its permitting decision. If requested by the permit applicant, the Agency 14 15 shall meet with the applicant to discuss the suggested 16 language.

(u) If requested by the permit applicant, the Agency shall provide the permit applicant with a copy of the draft permit prior to any public review period.

20 (v) If requested by the permit applicant, the Agency shall 21 provide the permit applicant with a copy of the final permit 22 prior to its issuance.

(w) An air pollution permit shall not be required due to emissions of greenhouse gases, as specified by Section 9.15 of this Act.

26

(x) If, before the expiration of a State operating permit

SB0009 Enrolled - 53 - LRB101 06168 JWD 51190 b

that is issued pursuant to subsection (a) of this Section and 1 2 federally enforceable conditions contains limiting the potential to emit of the source to a level below the major 3 4 source threshold for that source so as to exclude the source 5 from the Clean Air Act Permit Program, the Agency receives a complete application for the renewal of that permit, then all 6 of the terms and conditions of the permit shall remain in 7 effect until final administrative action has been taken on the 8 9 application for the renewal of the permit.

10 <u>(y) The Agency may issue permits exclusively under this</u> 11 <u>subsection to persons owning or operating a CCR surface</u> 12 <u>impoundment subject to Section 22.59.</u>

13 All CCR surface impoundment permits shall contain those 14 terms and conditions, including, but not limited to, schedules of compliance, which may be required to accomplish the purposes 15 16 and provisions of this Act, Board regulations, the Illinois 17 Groundwater Protection Act and regulations pursuant thereto, and the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and regulations 18 pursuant thereto, and may include schedules for achieving 19 20 compliance therewith as soon as possible.

The Board shall adopt filing requirements and procedures that are necessary and appropriate for the issuance of CCR surface impoundment permits and that are consistent with this Act or regulations adopted by the Board, and with the RCRA, as amended, and regulations pursuant thereto.

26 The applicant shall make available to the public for

SB0009 Enrolled - 54 -LRB101 06168 JWD 51190 b inspection all documents submitted by the applicant to the 1 2 Agency in furtherance of an application, with the exception of 3 trade secrets, on its public internet website as well as at the office of the county board or governing body of the 4 5 municipality where CCR from the CCR surface impoundment will be permanently disposed. Such documents may be copied upon payment 6 7 of the actual cost of reproduction during regular business 8 hours of the local office. The Agency shall issue a written statement concurrent with 9 10 its grant or denial of the permit explaining the basis for its 11 decision. 12 (Source: P.A. 98-284, eff. 8-9-13; 99-396, eff. 8-18-15; 99-463, eff. 1-1-16; 99-642, eff. 7-28-16.) 13

14 (415 ILCS 5/40) (from Ch. 111 1/2, par. 1040)

15

Sec. 40. Appeal of permit denial.

16 (a) (1) If the Agency refuses to grant or grants with conditions a permit under Section 39 of this Act, the applicant 17 18 may, within 35 days after the date on which the Agency served its decision on the applicant, petition for a hearing before 19 20 the Board to contest the decision of the Agency. However, the 21 35-day period for petitioning for a hearing may be extended for 22 an additional period of time not to exceed 90 days by written 23 notice provided to the Board from the applicant and the Agency 24 within the initial appeal period. The Board shall give 21 days' 25 notice to any person in the county where is located the

SB0009 Enrolled - 55 - LRB101 06168 JWD 51190 b

1 facility in issue who has requested notice of enforcement 2 proceedings and to each member of the General Assembly in whose 3 legislative district that installation or property is located; and shall publish that 21-day notice in a newspaper of general 4 5 circulation in that county. The Agency shall appear as respondent in such hearing. At such hearing the rules 6 7 prescribed in Section 32 and subsection (a) of Section 33 of 8 this Act shall apply, and the burden of proof shall be on the 9 petitioner. If, however, the Agency issues an NPDES permit that 10 imposes limits which are based upon a criterion or denies a 11 permit based upon application of a criterion, then the Agency 12 shall have the burden of going forward with the basis for the 13 derivation of those limits or criterion which were derived 14 under the Board's rules.

15 (2) Except as provided in paragraph (a) (3), if there is no 16 final action by the Board within 120 days after the date on 17 which it received the petition, the petitioner may deem the permit issued under this Act, provided, however, that that 18 period of 120 days shall not run for any period of time, not to 19 20 exceed 30 days, during which the Board is without sufficient 21 membership to constitute the quorum required by subsection (a) 22 of Section 5 of this Act, and provided further that such 120 23 day period shall not be stayed for lack of quorum beyond 30 days regardless of whether the lack of quorum exists at the 24 25 beginning of such 120-day period or occurs during the running 26 of such 120-day period.

SB0009 Enrolled - 56 - LRB101 06168 JWD 51190 b

(3) Paragraph (a) (2) shall not apply to any permit which is
subject to subsection (b), (d) or (e) of Section 39. If there
is no final action by the Board within 120 days after the date
on which it received the petition, the petitioner shall be
entitled to an Appellate Court order pursuant to subsection (d)
of Section 41 of this Act.

7 (b) If the Agency grants a RCRA permit for a hazardous 8 waste disposal site, a third party, other than the permit 9 applicant or Agency, may, within 35 days after the date on 10 which the Agency issued its decision, petition the Board for a 11 hearing to contest the issuance of the permit. Unless the Board 12 determines that such petition is duplicative or frivolous, or 13 that the petitioner is so located as to not be affected by the permitted facility, the Board shall hear the petition in 14 accordance with the terms of subsection (a) of this Section and 15 16 its procedural rules governing denial appeals, such hearing to 17 be based exclusively on the record before the Agency. The burden of proof shall be on the petitioner. The Agency and the 18 19 permit applicant shall be named co-respondents.

The provisions of this subsection do not apply to the granting of permits issued for the disposal or utilization of sludge from publicly-owned sewage works.

(c) Any party to an Agency proceeding conducted pursuant to Section 39.3 of this Act may petition as of right to the Board for review of the Agency's decision within 35 days from the date of issuance of the Agency's decision, provided that such

SB0009 Enrolled - 57 - LRB101 06168 JWD 51190 b

appeal is not duplicative or frivolous. However, the 35-day 1 2 period for petitioning for a hearing may be extended by the 3 applicant for a period of time not to exceed 90 days by written notice provided to the Board from the applicant and the Agency 4 5 within the initial appeal period. If another person with standing to appeal wishes to obtain an extension, there must be 6 a written notice provided to the Board by that person, the 7 8 Agency, and the applicant, within the initial appeal period. 9 The decision of the Board shall be based exclusively on the 10 record compiled in the Agency proceeding. In other respects the 11 Board's review shall be conducted in accordance with subsection 12 (a) of this Section and the Board's procedural rules governing 13 permit denial appeals.

(d) In reviewing the denial or any condition of a NA NSR 14 15 permit issued by the Agency pursuant to rules and regulations 16 adopted under subsection (c) of Section 9.1 of this Act, the 17 decision of the Board shall be based exclusively on the record before the Agency including the record of the hearing, if any, 18 19 unless the parties agree to supplement the record. The Board 20 shall, if it finds the Agency is in error, make a final 21 determination as to the substantive limitations of the permit 22 including a final determination of Lowest Achievable Emission 23 Rate.

(e) (1) If the Agency grants or denies a permit under
subsection (b) of Section 39 of this Act, a third party, other
than the permit applicant or Agency, may petition the Board

SB0009 Enrolled LRB101 06168 JWD 51190 b - 58 -

within 35 days from the date of issuance of the Agency's 1 2 decision, for a hearing to contest the decision of the Agency.

3 4

(2) A petitioner shall include the following within a petition submitted under subdivision (1) of this subsection:

5

6

7

8

(A) a demonstration that the petitioner raised the issues contained within the petition during the public notice period or during the public hearing on the NPDES permit application, if a public hearing was held; and

9

(B) a demonstration that the petitioner is so situated 10 as to be affected by the permitted facility.

11 (3) If the Board determines that the petition is not 12 duplicative frivolous and contains or а satisfactory 13 demonstration under subdivision (2) of this subsection, the 14 Board shall hear the petition (i) in accordance with the terms 15 of subsection (a) of this Section and its procedural rules 16 governing permit denial appeals and (ii) exclusively on the 17 basis of the record before the Agency. The burden of proof shall be on the petitioner. The Agency and permit applicant 18 19 shall be named co-respondents.

20 (f) Any person who files a petition to contest the issuance 21 of a permit by the Agency shall pay a filing fee.

22 (g) If the Agency grants or denies a permit under subsection (y) of Section 39, a third party, other than the 23 24 permit applicant or Agency, may appeal the Agency's decision as 25 provided under federal law for CCR surface impoundment permits. (Source: P.A. 99-463, eff. 1-1-16; 100-201, eff. 8-18-17.) 26

SB0009 Enrolled - 59 - LRB101 06168 JWD 51190 b

1 Section 10. The State Finance Act is amended by adding 2 Section 5.891 as follows: (30 ILCS 105/5.891 new) 3 4 Sec. 5.891. The Coal Combustion Residual Surface 5 Impoundment Financial Assurance Fund. Section 97. Severability. The provisions of this Act are 6 severable under Section 1.31 of the Statute on Statutes. 7 8 Section 99. Effective date. This Act takes effect upon becoming law. 9